

C/CAG

CITY/COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS OF SAN MATEO COUNTY

*Atherton • Belmont • Brisbane • Burlingame • Colma • Daly City • East Palo Alto • Foster City • Half Moon Bay • Hillsborough • Menlo Park
Millbrae • Pacifica • Portola Valley • Redwood City • San Bruno • San Carlos • San Mateo • San Mateo County • South San Francisco • Woodside*

BOARD MEETING NOTICE

Meeting No. 242

- DATE:** Thursday, January 12, 2012
- TIME:** **6:30 P.M. Board Meeting**
- PLACE:** San Mateo County Transit District Office
1250 San Carlos Avenue, Second Floor Auditorium
San Carlos, CA
- PARKING:** Available adjacent to and behind building.
Please note the underground parking garage is no longer open.
- PUBLIC TRANSIT:** SamTrans Bus: Lines 261, 295, 297, 390, 391, 397, PX, KX.
CalTrain: San Carlos Station.
Trip Planner: <http://transit.511.org>

- 1.0 CALL TO ORDER/ ROLL CALL
- 2.0 **PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**
- 3.0 PUBLIC COMMENT ON ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA
Note: Public comment is limited to two minutes per speaker.
- 4.0 PRESENTATIONS/ ANNOUNCEMENTS
 - 4.1 SamTrans Service Plan update
 - 4.2 Presentation on the Shuttle Business Practices Study
 - 4.3 Update on CalTrain related issues
- 5.0 CONSENT AGENDA

Consent Agenda items are considered to be routine and will be enacted by one motion. There will be no separate discussion on these items unless members of the Board, staff or public request specific items to be removed for separate action.

- 5.1 Approval of the Minutes of Regular Business Meeting No. 241 dated December 08, 2011. ACTION p. 1
- 5.2 Review and Approval of Reallocation of \$83,500 in Transportation Development Act Article 3 Funds for the City of San Carlos' Old County Road Bike Lane Project. ACTION p. 7
- 5.3 Review and approval of Resolution 12-07 authorizing the C/CAG Chair to execute Amendment No. 2 to the Cooperative Agreement with Caltrans for the Design Phase of the San Mateo County Smart Corridors ACTION p. 11

NOTE: All items on the Consent Agenda are approved/accepted by a majority vote. A request must be made at the beginning of the meeting to move any item from the Consent Agenda to the Regular Agenda.

6.0 REGULAR AGENDA

- 6.1 Review and approval of C/CAG Legislative policy, priorities, positions, and legislative update. (A position may be taken on any legislation, including legislation not previously identified.) ACTION p. 19
- 6.2 Review and adoption of Resolutions 12-01 thru 12-06 Intention to Approve a Contract between the Board of Administration California Public Employees Retirement System (CALPERS) and the Board of Directors City/ County Association of Governments of San Mateo County (C/CAG). ACTION p. 31

Resolution 12-01	Declaring C/CAG's intent to contract with CALPERS
Resolution 12-02	Paying and Reporting the Value of Employer Paid Contributions
Resolution 12-03	Tax Defer Member Paid Contributions (Employer Pickup)
Resolution 12-04	Pre-Tax Payroll Deduction Plan for Service Credit Purchases
Resolution 12-05	Transfer funds from the CALPERS Redwood City account to the CALPERS C/CAG Account
Resolution 12-06	Payment of Unfunded CALPERS Liability

- 6.3 Review and comments on the Draft Countywide Transportation Plan for Low Income Populations. ACTION p. 55

7.0 COMMITTEE REPORTS

- 7.1 Committee Reports (oral reports).
- 7.2 Chairperson's Report
- 7.3 Boardmembers Report

- 8.0 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

9.0 COMMUNICATIONS - Information Only

Copies of communications are included for C/CAG Board Members and Alternates only. To request a copy of the communications, contact Nancy Blair at 650 599-1406 or nblair@co.sanmateo.ca.us or download a copy from C/CAG's website – www.ccag.ca.gov.

- 9.1 Letter from Richard Napier, Executive Director C/CAG, to Leanne Provost, Chief, Office of Program and Technical Services, Caltrans Division of Aeronautics, dated 12/1/11. RE: Submittal of Required Material for a Caltrans Funding Allocation to Prepare an Update of the ALUCP for the environs of San Carlos Airport (SQL). p. 59
- 9.2 Letter from Richard Napier, Executive Director C/CAG, to Brent Butler, City of East Palo Alto, dated 12/28/11. RE: C/CAG Support for East Palo Alto Strategic Growth Council Planning Targeted Grant. p. 61
- 9.3 Letter from Richard Napier, Executive Director C/CAG, ML Gordon, City Manager, City of East Palo Alto, dated 12/29/11. RE: C/CAG Support for East Palo Alto Strategic Growth Council Planning Targeted Grant. p. 63

10.0 ADJOURN

Next scheduled meeting: February 9, 2012 Regular Board Meeting.

PUBLIC NOTICING: All notices of C/CAG Board and Committee meetings will be posted at San Mateo County Transit District Office, 1250 San Carlos Ave., San Carlos, CA.

PUBLIC RECORDS: Public records that relate to any item on the open session agenda for a regular board meeting are available for public inspection. Those records that are distributed less than 72 hours prior to the meeting are available for public inspection at the same time they are distributed to all members, or a majority of the members of the Board. The Board has designated the City/ County Association of Governments of San Mateo County (C/CAG), located at 555 County Center, 5th Floor, Redwood City, CA 94063, for the purpose of making those public records available for inspection. The documents are also available on the C/CAG Internet Website, at the link for agendas for upcoming meetings. The website is located at: <http://www.ccag.ca.gov>.

NOTE: Persons with disabilities who require auxiliary aids or services in attending and participating in this meeting should contact Nancy Blair at 650 599-1406, five working days prior to the

meeting date.

If you have any questions about the C/CAG Board Agenda, please contact C/CAG Staff:

*Executive Director: Richard Napier 650 599-1420 Administrative Assistant:
Nancy Blair 650 599-1406*

FUTURE MEETINGS

January 13, 2012 Legislative Committee - SamTrans 2nd Floor Auditorium - 5:30 p.m.
January 13, 2012 C/CAG Board - SamTrans 2nd Floor Auditorium - 6:30 p.m.
January 17, 2012 NPDES Technical Advisory Committee - to be determined - 10:00 a.m.
January 19, 2012 Resource Management and Climate Protection Committee (RMCP)
January 19, 2012 CMP Technical Advisory Committee - SamTrans 2nd Floor Auditorium - 3:00 p.m.
Conference Room C - 7:00 p.m.
January 23, 2012 Administrators' Advisory Committee - 555 County Center, 5th Fl, Redwood City – Noon
January 26, 2012 Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee (BPAC) - San Mateo City Hall -
January 30, 2012 CMEQ Committee - San Mateo City Hall - Conference Room C - 3:00 p.m.

C/CAG

CITY/COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS OF SAN MATEO COUNTY

*Atherton • Belmont • Brisbane • Burlingame • Colma • Daly City • East Palo Alto • Foster City • Half Moon Bay • Hillsborough • Menlo Park
Millbrae • Pacifica • Portola Valley • Redwood City • San Bruno • San Carlos • San Mateo • San Mateo County • South San Francisco • Woodside*

Meeting No. 241
December 8, 2011

1.0 CALL TO ORDER/ROLL CALL

Carlos Romero called the meeting to order at 6:35 p.m. Roll Call was taken.

Jerry Carlson - Atherton
Christine Wozniak - Belmont
Sepi Richardson - Brisbane
Terry Nagel - Burlingame
Joe Silva - Colma
David Canepa - Daly City
Carlos Romero - East Palo Alto
Art Kiesel - Foster City
Naomi Patridge - Half Moon Bay
Tom Kasten - Hillsborough
Kirsten Keith - Menlo Park
Marge Colapietro - Millbrae
Maryann Moise Derwin - Portola Valley
Rosanne Foust - Redwood City, San Mateo County Transportation Authority
Irene O'Connell - San Bruno
Andy Klein - San Carlos
Brandt Grotte - San Mateo
Don Horsley - San Mateo County
Karyl Matsumoto - South San Francisco, San Mateo County Transit District (left 9:01)
Deborah Gordon - Woodside

Absent
Pacifica

Others:
Richard Napier, Executive Director, C/CAG
Sandy Wong, Deputy Director C/CAG
Inga Lintvedt, C/CAG Legal Counsel
Matt Fabry, C/CAG Staff
John Hoang, C/CAG Staff
Joe Kott, C/CAG Staff
Tom Madalena, C/CAG Staff
Linda Koelling, Foster City
Jim Cogan, PG&E

ITEM 5.1

Gus Khouri, Advocation
Frank Markowitz, San Mateo
Joel Slavit, San Carlos
Sabrina Brennan Moss Beach
Steve Schmidt, Menlo Park
Bill Huber, Moss Beach
Shandon Lloyd, Ladera
Robert Bear, Burlingame
Andrew Boone, East Palo Alto
David Krieger, Menlo Park
Adele Della Santina, Belmont

4.0 PRESENTATIONS/ ANNOUNCEMENTS

- 4.1 Certificate of Appreciation to Linda Koelling, C/CAG Board Member, for her years of dedicated service and contributions to C/CAG. INFORMATION
- 4.2 Certificate of Appreciation to Tom Kasten, past Chair of C/CAG Board, for his service as C/CAG Chair for two years. INFORMATION
- 4.3 Certificate of Appreciation to Christine Maley-Grubl, Peninsula Traffic Congestion Relief Alliance Executive Director, for her years of dedicated service and contributions to C/CAG. INFORMATION

5.0 CONSENT AGENDA

Board Member Richardson MOVED approval of Items 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 5.4 and 5.5.
Board Member Colapietro SECONDED. MOTION CARRIED 20-0.

- 5.1 Approval of the Minutes of Regular Business Meeting No. 240 dated November 10, 2011. APPROVED
- 5.2 Review and approval of update to the C/CAG Administration Assistant salary structure. APPROVED
- 5.3 Review and acceptance of C/CAG Audits.
- 5.3.1 Review and accept the C/CAG Single Audit Report for the year ended June 30, 2011. APPROVED
- 5.3.2 Review and accept the AB 1546 Fund Financial Statements (Audit) for the year ended June 30, 2011. APPROVED
- 5.3.3 Review and accept the Financial Statements (Complete Audit) for the Fiscal Year ended June 30, 2011. APPROVED
- 5.4 Review and approval of the appointment of Councilmember Nadia Holober to the Congestion Management and Environmental Quality (CMEQ) Committee. APPROVED

- 5.5 Review and approval of the appointment of Councilmember Len Stone to the Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee (BPAC). APPROVED

Item 5.6 was removed from the Agenda by the Executive Director.

- 5.6 Review and approval of C/CAG Executive Director to serve on the Board of Directors for Joint Venture Silicon Valley. REMOVED

6.0 REGULAR AGENDA

- 6.1 Review and approval of C/CAG Legislative priorities, positions, and legislative update. (A position may be taken on any legislation, including legislation not previously identified.)

- 6.1.1 Review and approval of the proposed C/CAG legislative policies for 2012 CONTINUED

The Board provided comments. The staff will revise the Legislative Issues and bring it back to the Legislative Committee and C/CAG Board at the January meetings.

- 6.1.2 Presentation from Advocation. PRESENTATION

Gus Khouri, Advocation, provided an update on legislation and legislative activities in Sacramento.

- 6.2 Review and approval of appointments to the C/CAG Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee (BPAC) for two-year terms. APPROVED

The BPAC had three (three) vacant seats for public members. Staff distributed a recruitment letter, and received seven responses. The following individuals responded:

Frank Markowitz, San Mateo
Sabrina Brennan Moss Beach
Steve Schmidt, Menlo Park
Bill Huber, Moss Beach
Shandon Lloyd, Ladera

Joel Slavit, San Carlos
Robert Bear, Burlingame
Andrew Boone, East Palo Alto
David Krieger, Menlo Park
Adele Della Santina, Belmont

The Board voted by ballot. Frank Markowitz, Steve Schmidt, and Joel Slavit were elected to fill the three vacant seats for a public member.

- 6.3 Conduct public hearing and adoption of the Final 2011 Congestion Management Program (CMP) for San Mateo County. (Requires Special Voting Procedures)

- 6.3.1 Conduct public hearing and consider comments on the Final 2011 Congestion Management Program (CMP) for San Mateo County. INFORMATION

- 6.3.2 Review and approval of Resolution 11-65 adopting the 2011 Congestion Management Program (CMP) for San Mateo County. (Requires Special Voting Procedures) APPROVED

Board Member Grotte MOVED approval of Item 6.3.2. Board Member Canepa SECONDED.
MOTION CARRIED 20-0.

A Super Majority Vote was taken by roll call. MOTION CARRIED 20-0. Results: 20
Agencies approving. This represents 95% of the Agencies representing 95% of the population.

- 6.4 Review and endorse the final list of projects for San Mateo County to be submitted to the
Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) for inclusion in the Regional Transportation
Plan (RTP). APPROVED

Board Member Kasten MOVED approval of Item 6.4 Board Member Klein SECONDED.
MOTION CARRIED 20-0.

7.0 COMMITTEE REPORTS

7.1 Committee Reports (oral reports).

7.2 Chairperson's Report

Chair Romero thanked and praised the Executive Director for his hard work and service to the
C/CAG Board.

7.3 Boardmembers Report

Boardmember Richardson wished everyone a happy holiday season.

8.0 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

The Executive Director thanked C/CAG staff for their dedication and teamwork. The
Executive Director thanked the C/CAG Board and wished everyone a happy holiday.

9.0 COMMUNICATIONS - Information Only

Copies of communications are included for C/CAG Board Members and Alternates only. To
request a copy of the communications, contact Nancy Blair at 650 599-1406 or
nblair@co.sanmateo.ca.us or download a copy from C/CAG's website – www.ccag.ca.gov.

10.0 CLOSED SESSION (Pursuant to Government Code Sec. 54957):

10.1 Public Employee Performance Evaluation

Title: Executive Director

10.2 Conference with Labor Negotiators

C/CAG Representatives: Carlos Romero

Unrepresented Employee: Executive Director

11.0 RECONVENE OPEN SESSION

11.1 Report on Closed Session.

12.0 Action on Compensation Adjustment for Executive Director. Consideration of Amendment to the Agreement between the City/County Association of Governments (C/CAG) and Richard Napier regarding annual compensation for services as Executive Director.

APPROVED

Board Member Grotte MOVED to adopt the recommendation of the subcommittee and approve a base salary adjustment of 5.5% and a bonus of 3% for the past year. Board Member Richardson SECONDED. MOTION CARRIED 17-3. Board Members Colapietro, O'Connell, and Gordon opposing.

13.0 Approval of the Performance Objectives for FY 11-12 for the City/County Association of Governments (C/CAG) Executive Director.

APPROVED

Board Member Grotte MOVED approval of Item 13.0. Board Member Kasten SECONDED. MOTION CARRIED 20-0.

14.0 ADJOURN

The meeting adjourned at 9:04 p.m.

C/CAG AGENDA REPORT

Date: January 12, 2012
To: City/County Association of Governments Board of Directors
From: Richard Napier, Executive Director
Subject: Review and Approval of Reallocation of \$83,500 in Transportation Development Act Article 3 Funds for the City of San Carlos' Old County Road Bikeway Project

(For further information contact John Hoang at 363-4105)

RECOMMENDATION

That the C/CAG Board review and approves reallocation of \$83,500 in Transportation Development Act Article 3 Funds for the City of San Carlos' Old County Road Bikeway Project

FISCAL IMPACT

\$83,500 (funds allocated in FY 2009/10)

SOURCE OF FUNDS

Transportation Development Act Article 3 (TDA Article 3)

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION

The City of San Carlos was allocated \$83,500 in TDA Article 3 funds in FY 2009/10 for a project to construct Class II bicycle lanes and install bikeway signage on Old County Road. Per the TDA Article 3 guidelines, the funds would need to be expended within three years, by June 30, 2012, or be rescinded.

The City of San Carlos intends on implementing the bikeway project in conjunction with improvements associated with another project, the East Side Connect project, which is slated to begin construction in September 2012. The City would not be able to receive full reimbursement for cost incurred after June 30, 2012, unless the TDA Article 3 funds are reallocated to the current fiscal year program.

Staff recommends approval to reallocate \$83,500 to the FY 2011/12 TDA Article 3 program, which will enable the City to retain the funds. With approval, staff will coordinate with the Metropolitan Transportation Commission for the reallocation of funds.

ATTACHMENTS

- Letter from the City of San Carlos

ITEM 5.2

CITY HALL
600 ELM STREET
SAN CARLOS, CA 94070-1309



PLANNING DEPARTMENT
TELEPHONE (650) 802-4263
FAX (650) 595-6763
WEB: <http://www.cityofsancarlos.org>

November 23, 2011

John Hoang
City/County Association of Governments
555 County Center, 5th Floor
Redwood City, CA 94063

Via e-mail: jhoang@co.sanmateo.ca.us

Subject: Request for reallocation of TDA Article 3 funds

Dear Mr. Hoang;

The City of San Carlos was granted a Transportation Development Act Article 3 grant for North/South bike improvements on Old County Road. The funding is for signage, detectors and pavement markings for 0.9 miles of Class II Bikeway. The grant called for the project to be completed by June 30, 2012. In April 2011, the City was awarded a \$2,220,810 Transportation for Livable Communities Grant from MTC for the East Side Connect project. East Side Connect will provide for Bikeways for the length of Old County Road, a Bike Boulevard for East San Carlos Avenue and sidewalk, landscaping, lighting and other improvements. The City is in the design development phase of the project, with 90% drawings due to MTC in December and 100% drawings due to Caltrans in January 2012.

Although award of contract could occur within the current TDA Article 3 funds allocation period, construction of the project is expected to begin no sooner than September 2012. The City intends to implement the improvements of the TDA Article 3 grant funds in conjunction with the improvements in the TLC grant funded East Side Connect project.

Therefore, the City of San Carlos requests that the TDA Article 3 funds in the amount of \$83,500 be reallocated in the next three year cycle.

Respectfully,

Deborah Nelson, Planning Manager

Attachment: more information on the East Side Connect project can be found at:
<http://www.cityofsancarlos.org/eastsideconnect/default.asp>

cc. Ray Chan, Acting City Engineer

C/CAG AGENDA REPORT

Date: January 12, 2012
To: City/County Association of Governments Board of Directors
From: Richard Napier, Executive Director
Subject: Review and approval of Resolution 12-07 authorizing the C/CAG Chair to execute Amendment No. 2 to the Cooperative Agreement with Caltrans for the Design phase of the San Mateo County Smart Corridors project.

(For further information or questions contact John Hoang at 363-4105)

RECOMMENDATION

Review and approval of Resolution 12-07 authorizing the C/CAG Chair to execute Amendment No. 2 to the Cooperative Agreement with Caltrans for the design phase of the San Mateo County Smart Corridors project.

FISCAL IMPACT

\$650,000 (Amend #2); \$4,067,000 (Total for Design Coop Agreement Only)

SOURCE OF FUNDS

- \$3,000,000 Regional Transportation Improvement Program (STIP)
- \$ 367,000 Federal CMAQ funds
- \$ 700,000 AB 1546 \$4 Vehicle Registration Fee (VRF)
- \$4,067,000 Total

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION

The San Mateo County Smart Corridors project will implement inter-jurisdictional traffic management strategies by deploying integrated Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) elements and providing local jurisdictions the tools to manage recurring/non-recurring traffic congestion by improving traffic operations and mobility, optimizing existing roadway facilities, and addressing system efficiency and safety. The project shall implementation communication infrastructure, traffic signal improvements, signal system interconnect, trailblazer and changeable message signs, closed circuit television cameras, and vehicle detection system.

The project, located along portions of the US 101 corridor and SR 82 (El Camino Real) including local arterial streets, was originally planned to be from I-380 to Whipple Avenue in Redwood City. In June 2011, with Board approval, the project limits was extended to the Santa Clara County line in

ITEM 5.3

part due to the potential of additional construction funds made available by the State through cost savings accumulated statewide from the Traffic Light Synchronization Program (TLSP) Transportation Bond program.

Cooperative Agreement

On May 19, 2009, C/CAG executed the Cooperative Agreement with Caltrans in the amount of \$2,000,000 for design of the Smart Corridors Project, in which Caltrans was entitled to \$1,000,000 for design work (including right-of-way components as needed) performed on the state highway system (streets located within the State right-of-way). The original Agreement included project limits extending from I-380 to Whipple Avenue with a completion date of April 1, 2012.

On January 14, 2010, C/CAG executed Amendment No. 1 to the Cooperative Agreement with Caltrans, adding \$1,417,000 for a total Agreement amount of \$3,417,000. Caltrans was entitled to \$1,917,000 for design and associated right-of-way on the state highway system.

The proposed Amendment No. 2 to the Cooperative Agreement between C/CAG and Caltrans will add an additional \$650,000 in local funds (AB 1546) for Caltrans to complete the design (including right-of-way work) for the extended segment from Whipple Ave. to the Santa Clara County line. The new total amount for design covered under the Cooperative Agreement is \$4,067,000, with Caltrans entitled to \$2,567,000. The estimated of completion of work is revised to April 1, 2013. This amendment officially extends the project limits to be between I-380 and the Santa Clara County line in addition to officially splitting the Smart Corridors Project into four (4) contracts for programming, monitoring and administrative purposes, as defined in the amendment.

In the future, additional Cooperative Agreements between C/CAG and Caltrans for the construction phases of the Smart Corridors project will be developed separately.

ATTACHMENTS

- Resolution 12-07
- Cooperative Agreement – Amendment No. 2

RESOLUTION 12-07

* * * * *

**A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE
CITY/COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS
OF SAN MATEO COUNTY (C/CAG) AUTHORIZING THE CHAIR TO
EXECUTE AMENDMENT NO. 2 TO THE COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT
WITH CALTRANS FOR THE DESIGN PHASE OF THE SAN MATEO
COUNTY SMART CORRIDORS PROJECT**

* * * * *

RESOLVED, by the Board of Directors of the City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County (C/CAG), that

WHEREAS, C/CAG is the designated Congestion Management Agency for San Mateo County; and

WHEREAS, C/CAG has developed the San Mateo County Smart Corridors Project (PROJECT) to implement traffic management strategies with the deployment of Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS); and

WHEREAS, C/CAG and the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) are partners in the PROJECT and executed a Cooperative Agreement for the Project design phase on May 19, 2009 and Amendment No. 1 on January 14, 2010; and

WHEREAS, Amendment No. 2 will increase an addition \$650,000 for a new total of \$4,067,000; and

WHEREAS, C/CAG and Caltrans will continue the partnership in the design phase; and

WHEREAS, the Cooperative Agreement term is set to expire on April 1, 2013.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Directors of the City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County that the Chair is authorized to execute Amendment No. 2 to the Cooperative Agreement between C/CAG and Caltrans for the design phase of the Smart Corridors, and that the C/CAG Executive Director is authorized to negotiate the final terms of said Cooperative Agreement prior to its execution by the C/CAG Chair, subject to approval as to form by the C/CAG Legal Counsel.

PASSED, APPROVED, AND ADOPTED THIS 12TH DAY OF JANUARY 2012.

Bob Grassilli, Chair

AMENDMENT NO. 2 TO COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT

This Amendment No. 2 (AMENDMENT) entered into, and effective on, _____, 2011, is between the State of California, acting by and through its Department of Transportation, referred to as CALTRANS, and

City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County, a political subdivision of the State of California, referred to as C/CAG.

RECITALS

1. CALTRANS and C/CAG, collectively referred to as PARTNERS, entered into Agreement No. 4-2238 (AGREEMENT) on May 19, 2009, defining the terms and conditions for the PS&E and R/W phase of a project (PROJECT) that contributes toward the deployment of Intelligent Transportation System (ITS) elements along State routes and local streets in San Mateo County.
2. PARTNERS amended AGREEMENT on January 14, 2010 to adjust commitments to the PROJECT funding.
3. PROJECT was split into four (4) contracts per approval at the November 4, 2010 California Transportation Commission meeting. The four contracts are described as follows:
 - Contract 1: Demonstration Project (4A9211; PPNO 2140P)
 - Contract 2: Local Project (4A9221; PPNO 2140F)
 - Contract 3: State Project (4A9231; PPNO 2140Q)
 - Contract 4: Systems Integration (4A9241; PPNO 2140R)
4. The PROJECT limits defined in AGREEMENT, as amended under Amendment 1, have been expanded to encompass a larger area for ITS deployment.
5. PARTNERS now seek to amend AGREEMENT, as amended under Amendment 1, to (a) expand the PROJECT limits, (b) revise the FUNDING SUMMARY to reflect the latest funding changes to PROJECT and (c) extend the estimated date for COMPLETION OF WORK by one year.

IT IS THEREFORE MUTUALLY AGREED

6. The PROJECT limits for ITS deployment will now be:
 - 04-SM-101 PM 0.0/20.72
 - 04-SM-82 PM 0.0/18.96
7. Recital 7 of Agreement is hereby revised in its entirety to read as follows:
 7. *The estimated date for COMPLETION OF WORK is April 1, 2013.*
8. Article 80 is hereby revised in its entirety to read as follows:
 80. *CALTRANS is entitled to use the combined total CMAQ funding amount of \$367,000, \$1,500,000 of the total STIP/RIP funding amount of \$3,000,000 and local funds in the amount of \$700,000, shown in the attached FUNDING SUMMARY A-2, for performing its portion of WORK, as identified in the SCOPE SUMMARY of this Agreement. CALTRANS is under no obligation to perform or continue with its portion of the WORK, if CALTRANS does not receive all or any of these CMAQ, STIP/RIP or local funds. CALTRANS, upon execution of this Amendment, will submit a lump sum invoice for the locally funded work, consisting of PS&E, R/W capital and R/W support costs for PROJECT, in the amount of \$700,000, as shown in FUNDING SUMMARY A-2.*
9. Article 80a is hereby deleted in its entirety.
10. The attached FUNDING SUMMARY A-2 will replace FUNDING SUMMARY A-1 of AGREEMENT, as amended under Amendment 1, in its entirety. Any reference to FUNDING SUMMARY in AGREEMENT, as amended under Amendment 1, will be deemed a reference to FUNDING SUMMARY A-2.
11. All other terms and conditions of AGREEMENT, as amended under Amendment 1, shall remain in full force and effect.
12. AMENDMENT is deemed to be included and made a part of AGREEMENT, as amended under Amendment 1.

SIGNATURES

PARTNERS declare that:

1. Each PARTNER is an authorized legal entity under California state law.
2. Each PARTNER has the authority to enter into AMENDMENT.
3. The people signing AMENDMENT have the authority to do so on behalf of their public agencies.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

CITY/COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF
GOVERNMENTS OF SAN MATEO COUNTY

By: _____
Helena (Lenka) Culik-Caro
Deputy District Director - Design

By: _____
Bob Grassilli
Chair

CERTIFIED AS TO FUNDS:

Attest: _____
Richard Napier
Executive Director

By: _____
Kevin M. Strough
District Budget Manager

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND
PROCEDURE

By: _____
C/CAG Legal Counsel

FUNDING SUMMARY A-2

Funding Source	Funding Partner	Fund Type	PS&E	R/W Capital	R/W Support	Subtotal Support	Subtotal Capital	Subtotal Funds Type
FEDERAL	C/CAG	CMAQ	\$367,000	\$0	\$0	\$367,000	\$0	\$367,000
STATE	C/CAG	STIP/RIP (Match)	\$48,000	\$0	\$0	\$48,000	\$0	\$48,000
STATE	C/CAG	STIP/RIP	\$2,952,000	\$0	\$0	\$2,952,000	\$0	\$2,952,000
LOCAL	C/CAG	Local*	\$670,000	\$10,000	\$20,000	\$690,000	\$10,000	\$700,000
		Subtotals by Component	\$4,037,000	\$10,000	\$20,000	\$4,057,000	\$10,000	\$4,067,000

* Additional funds are added for the new work identified as part of the expanded project limits.

C/CAG AGENDA REPORT

Date: January 12, 2011
To: C/CAG Board of Directors
From: Richard Napier, Executive Director
Subject: Review and approval of the revised C/CAG Legislative Policies for 2012
(For further information or questions contact Joseph Kott at 599-1453)

RECOMMENDATION

That the C/CAG Board review and approve the revised Legislative Policies for 2012.

FISCAL IMPACT

Many of the policies listed in the attached document have the potential to greatly increase or decrease the fiscal resources available to C/CAG member agencies.

SOURCE OF FUNDS

New legislation.

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION

Each year, the C/CAG Board adopts a set of legislative policies to provide direction to its Legislative Committee, staff, and Lobbyist. In the past, the C/CAG Board established the policies that:

- Clearly defined a policy framework at the beginning of the Legislative Session.
- Identified specific policies to be accomplished during this session by the Lobbyist
- Limited the activities of C/CAG to areas where we can have the greatest impact.

The adoption of a list of policies will hopefully maximize the impact of having a Lobbyist represent C/CAG in Sacramento and will also significantly reduce the amount of C/CAG staff time needed to support the program.

On December 8, 2011, the C/CAG Board reviewed and commented upon an earlier draft set of Legislative Policies. Board comments are reflected in the revised set of Policies shown in Attachment A. In addition, Attachment B (\$4 Vehicle Registration Fee Program Summary) depicting expenditures and accomplishments of the \$4 Fee is provided in response to Board request.

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A: Revised C/CAG Legislative Policies for 2012
Attachment B: \$4 Vehicle Registration Fee Program Summary

ITEM 6.1

Attachment A

C/CAG LEGISLATIVE POLICIES FOR 2012 (Revised Jan. 2012)

Policy #1 -

Protect against the diversion of local revenues including the protection of redevelopment funds and programs.

- 1.1 Support League and CSAC Initiatives to protect local revenues.
- 1.2 Protect and preserve the 20% redevelopment housing funding set aside.
- 1.3 Protect and preserve the vital functions of redevelopment agencies.

Policy #2 -

Protect against increased local costs resulting from State action without 100% State reimbursement for the added costs.

- 2.1 Advocate for the appointment of Administration Officials who are sensitive to the fiscal predicament faced by local jurisdictions.
- 2.2 Oppose any State action that restricts local human resource (HR) decisions.
- 2.3 Oppose State action to restrict the ability of local jurisdictions to contract for services.
- 2.4 Advocate for State actions that are required to take into consideration the fiscal impact to local jurisdictions.
- 2.5 Require all State mandates to be 100% State reimbursed for added costs.
- 2.6 Ensure that adequate funding is made available by the State to address re-alignment responsibilities given to local jurisdictions.

Policy #3 -

Support actions that help to meet municipal stormwater permit requirements and secure stable funding to pay for current and future regulatory mandates.

- 3.1 Primary focus on securing additional revenue sources for both C/CAG and its member agencies for funding state and federally mandated stormwater compliance efforts.
 - a. Support efforts to exempt storm sewers from the super majority voting requirements imposed by Proposition 218, similar to water, sewer, and refuse services.
 - b. Include water quality and stormwater management as a priority for funding in new sources of revenues (e.g. water bonds) and protect against a geographically

unbalanced North-South allocation of resources.

- c. Track and advocate for resources for stormwater quality in State and Federal grant and loan programs.
 - d. Support renewal of the \$4 vehicle license fee for funding stormwater regulatory requirements in the Municipal Regional Permit.
 - e. Support stormwater fee reform to 1) direct regulatory permit fees back to Regional Water Quality Control Board, 2) eliminate fee setting under emergency regulations and coordinate process with local budgeting procedures, and 3) ensure fees are consistent with level of service provided by state agencies.
 - f. Support efforts to identify regulatory requirements that are unfunded state mandates and ensure provision of state funding for such requirements.
 - g. Pursue and support efforts that provide additional funding from Federal, State, or local governments outside the Bay Area to regional or statewide associations of stormwater quality agencies (i.e., BASMAA – regional and CASQA – statewide) for programs and projects that reduce or eliminate the need for C/CAG and its member agencies to fund and implement similar programs and projects locally.
- 3.2 Pursue and support efforts that help reduce trash and litter generation and promote true source control and extended producer responsibility, such as statewide plastic bag and polystyrene container bans and support for efforts such as the California Product Stewardship Council and the Green Chemistry Initiative.
- 3.3 Support efforts to place the burden/ accountability of reporting, managing, and meeting municipal stormwater requirements on the responsible source rather than the cities or county, such as properties that are known pollutant hot spots and third party utility purveyors.
- 3.4 Advocate for the development of statewide stormwater policies that establish consistent, practical, and progressive approaches for stormwater regulatory and management programs that help protect water quality and beneficial uses.
- 3.5 Pursue and support pesticide regulations that protect water quality and reduce pesticide toxicity.
- 3.6 Track stormwater-related regulatory initiatives that may impact member agencies, such as the proposed statewide trash policy, Caltrans stormwater permits, special exceptions for Areas of Special Biological Significance, and the Phase II General Permit.

Policy #4 -

Support lowering the 2/3rd super majority vote for local special purpose taxes and fees.

- 4.1 Support bills that reduce the vote requirements for special taxes and fees.

- 4.2 Oppose bills that impose restrictions on the expenditures, thereby reducing flexibility, for special tax category.
- 4.3 Support modification or elimination of Proposition 26 requirements.

Policy #5-
Encourage the State to protect transportation funding and develop an equitable cost-sharing arrangement to pay for any cost overruns on the construction of the Bay Bridge.

- 5.1 Urge the State to eliminate transfer of State transportation funds to the State General Fund.
- 5.2 Oppose efforts to divert any of the Regional Measure 2 funds to pay for any Bay Bridge cost overruns.
- 5.3 Support additional revenues for transportation funding.
- 5.4 Protect existing funding and support additional funding for maintenance of streets and roads.
- 5.5 Maintain funding for the State of California SHOPP program, which provides resources for maintenance of State highways.
- 5.6 Support revisions in the Peninsula Joint Powers Agreement that provide equitable funding among the Caltrain partners.
- 5.7 Support a dedicated funding source for CalTrain.

Policy #6 -
Advocate for revenue solutions to address State budget issues that are also beneficial to Cities/ Counties

- 6.1 Support measures to ensure that local governments receive appropriate revenues to service local communities.

Policy #7 -
Support reasonable climate action/Greenhouse Gas legislation

- 7.1 Support incentive approaches toward implementing AB32.
- 7.2 Support county-based planning for sustainable communities in SB 375.
- 7.3 Oppose climate legislation that would conflict with or override projects approved by the voters.

- 7.4 Support legislation that would require recording of vehicle miles of travel (VMT) as part of vehicle registration.
- 7.5 Support plastic bag ban.

***Policy #8 -
Support energy conservation***

- 8.1 Support local government partnerships to foster energy conservation, as well as the generation and use of renewable energy sources (wind, solar, etc.)

***Policy #9 –
Other***

- 9.1 Support/sponsor legislation to allow transportation planning funds to be used to fund comprehensive land use plans for airports.
- 9.2 Support efforts that will engage the business community in transportation demand management.

AB 1546 - \$4 Vehicle Registration Fee
Program Summary

Program Budget

(July 1, 2005 - current)

AB 1546 Program		Budget	Actual	Committed	Balance
Revenue					
Total VRF Collected (as of Nov 2011)		\$ 17,023,162			
DMV fees		\$ (121,565)			
To C/CAG		\$ 16,901,595			
Investment Income (through FY 2011)		\$ 410,308			
TOTAL		\$ 17,311,903			
Expenditure (exclude investment income)					
Program Administration (off the top)	5%	\$ 845,080			
Admin and Professional Services			\$ (275,242)		\$ 569,838
Net Available		\$ 16,056,515			
Local Streets and Roads					
Traffic Congestion	25%	\$ 4,014,129	\$ (3,581,599)		\$ 432,530
Stormwater Pollution Prevention	25%	\$ 4,014,129	\$ (3,529,877)		
Countywide Transportation Programs					
Traffic Congestion	25%	\$ 4,014,129			
Countywide ITS			\$ (1,211,280)		
Hydrogen Shuttle Pilot Program			\$ (516,881)		
Smart Corridors				\$ 2,285,968	
Stormwater Pollution Prevention	25%	\$ 4,014,129			
Sustainable Green Streets Design			\$ (285,112)		
Green Streets and Parking Lots		\$ (1,293,218)	\$ (793,218)	\$ 500,000	
WPPP Program Administration				\$ 700,000	
Consultant Services					
Unprogrammed					\$ 1,735,798
TOTAL				\$ 3,485,968	\$ 2,738,166

Local Cities and County – Allocations

Jurisdictions are reimbursed for work performed and are required to expend fifty percent (50%) of the funds for traffic congestion management and 50% towards stormwater pollution prevention projects. Funds are allocated to local cities and the County bi-annually based on population estimates as a percentage of the total population within the County.

Funds are allocated approximately every six months. The last allocation was made in September 2011 for revenue collected between January 1, 2011 and June 2011 (Fiscal Year 2011). The following table summarizes the fund allocations and expenditures from July 1, 2005 to December 20, 2011.

Jurisdiction	% Share	Total Allocation	Total Expended
Atherton	1.0%	\$ 75,083	\$ 75,083
Belmont	3.5%	\$ 262,900	\$ 242,398
Brisbane	0.5%	\$ 37,883	\$ 31,571
Burlingame	3.9%	\$ 292,638	\$ 292,638
Colma	0.2%	\$ 15,216	\$ 12,628
Daly City	14.4%	\$ 1,086,892	\$ 1,086,892
East Palo Alto	4.4%	\$ 337,134	\$ 108,031
Foster City	4.1%	\$ 307,443	\$ 305,167
Half Moon Bay	1.8%	\$ 134,793	\$ 113,655
Hillsborough	1.5%	\$ 112,951	\$ 104,028
Menlo Park	4.3%	\$ 316,075	\$ 291,182
Millbrae	2.9%	\$ 217,839	\$ 217,839
Pacifica	5.4%	\$ 404,881	\$ 404,961
Portola Valley	0.6%	\$ 45,355	\$ 44,993
Redwood City	10.4%	\$ 787,192	\$ 787,193
San Bruno	5.9%	\$ 436,244	\$ 366,222
San Carlos	3.9%	\$ 292,342	\$ 292,342
San Mateo	12.9%	\$ 975,031	\$ 975,031
South San Francisco	8.7%	\$ 640,839	\$ 640,838
Woodside	0.8%	\$ 59,584	\$ 50,513
County of San Mateo	8.9%	\$ 668,269	\$ 668,269
TOTAL		\$ 7,506,585	\$ 7,111,476

Local Cities and County – Performance Measures

Jurisdiction	Category	Project Types (% of funds applied)	Total Expended	Total Project Cost	Leveraged	Performance Measures
Atherton	Stormwater	Street Sweeping (34%) , Drain/Inlet Cleaning (66%)	\$ 37,542	\$ 63,511	2	110 lane miles street swept monthly, 344 inlets inspected
	Traffic	Resurfacing (75%) , Signal Upgrade (25%)	\$ 37,542	\$ 1,364,958	36	10 miles street resurfaced, 6 signals installed
Belmont	Stormwater	Street Sweeping (100%)	\$ 131,450	\$ 263,673	2	862 curb miles swept monthly
	Traffic	Resurfacing (51%), Signal Upgrade/Striping/Signage (49%)	\$ 131,450	\$ 1,413,204	11	2 miles street resurfaced, 12 signals/signs upgraded
Brisbane	Stormwater	Street Sweeping (100%)	\$ 15,785	37,333	2	76 curb miles swept monthly
	Traffic	Resurfacing (80%) , Signage (20%)	\$ 15,785	\$ 1,787,832	113	78 signs installed
Burlingame	Stormwater	Street Sweeping (100%)	\$ 146,319	\$ 3,233,065	22	1300 curb miles swept monthly
	Traffic	Resurfacing (100%)	\$ 146,319	\$ 6,208,777	42	26 miles street resurfaced
Colma	Stormwater	Street Sweeping (100%)	\$ 6,314	\$ 148,263	23	63 curb miles swept monthly
	Traffic	Resurfacing (80%) , Signal Upgrade (20%)	\$ 6,314	\$ 3,637,536	576	2.5 miles resurfaced, 2 signals upgraded
Daly City	Stormwater	Street Sweeping (100%)	\$ 543,446	\$ 2,219,163	4	374 curb miles swept weekly
	Traffic	Resurfacing (92%) , Restriping/Signage (8%)	\$ 543,446	\$ 6,515,668	12	42 miles street resurfaced
East Palo Alto	Stormwater	Drain/Inlet Cleaning (100%)	\$ 28,025	not available	1	Inlets cleaned
	Traffic	Restriping, Signage	\$ 80,006	not available	1	Restriping and signage
Foster City	Stormwater	Street Sweeping (16%), Drain/Inlet Cleaning (84%)	\$ 152,572	\$ 367,769	2	416 curb miles swept monthly, 552 catch basins/inlets cleaned
	Traffic	Resurfacing (100%)	\$ 152,594	\$ 4,191,483	27	15.4 miles street resurfaced
Half Moon Bay	Stormwater	Drain/Inlet Cleaning (100%)	\$ 56,828	\$ 72,650	1	105 inlets cleaned regularly
	Traffic	Resurfacing (51%), Striping (29%), Signage (20%)	\$ 56,828	\$ 531,265	9	5 miles street resurfaced, 3.8 miles restriped, 5 miles signaged installed
Hillsborough	Stormwater	Drain/Inlet Cleaning (100%)	\$ 52,014	\$ 1,441,830	28	1,665 storm inlets and catch basins cleaned
	Traffic	Resurfacing (100%)	\$ 52,014	\$ 2,438,485	47	38 miles street resurfaced
Menlo Park	Stormwater	Street Sweeping (100%)	\$ 145,591	\$ 264,473	2	200 curb miles (Jul-Aug) and 400 curb miles (Sep-Dec) swept
	Traffic	Restriping (19%), Signal Upgrade (81%)	\$ 145,591	\$ 646,411	4	9.3 miles restriped, 26 signals upgraded
Millbrae	Stormwater	Street Sweeping (90%) , Drain/Inlet Cleaning (10%)	\$ 108,920	\$ 623,666	6	220 curb miles swept monthly
	Traffic	Signal Upgrade (9%), Resurfacing (16%), Restriping (75%)	\$ 108,920	\$ 241,150	2	59 signals upgraded, .56 miles resurfaced, 5 miles restriped
Pacifica	Stormwater	Drain/Inlet Cleaning (8%) , Street Sweeping (82%)	\$ 202,440	\$ 926,328	5	178 miles street swept monthly
	Traffic	Signal Upgrade (7%) , Restriping (8%) , Resurfacing (85%)	\$ 202,521	\$ 4,212,912	21	5 signals upgraded, .36 miles restriped, 6 miles street resurfaced
Portola Valley	Stormwater	Street Sweeping (100%)	\$ 18,958	\$ 46,208	2	11 miles of road swept per month
	Traffic	Restriping (32%) ,Resurfacing (68%)	\$ 22,315	\$ 2,081,383	93	.63 miles restriped, 14 miles streets resurfaced
Redwood City	Stormwater	Street Sweeping (48%) , Drain/Inlet Cleaning (52%)	\$ 398,041	\$ 1,084,280	3	735 miles streets swept monthly, 2292 catch basins inspected/cleaned
	Traffic	Signage (45%) , Signal Upgrade (14%) , Resurfacing (41%)	\$ 443,553	\$ 2,884,986	7	33.6 miles signs installed, 25 signals upgraded, 1.3 miles street resurfaced
San Bruno	Stormwater	Street Sweeping (100%)	\$ 183,111	\$ 276,488	2	296 curb lane miles swept per month
	Traffic	Signal Upgrade (100%)	\$ 180,037	\$ 273,493	2	24 signals upgraded
San Carlos	Stormwater	Drain/Inlet Cleaning (49%), Street Sweeping (51%)	\$ 146,171	\$ 690,176	5	1000 drain inlets cleaned, 300 miles street swept monthly
	Traffic	Signal Upgrade (34%), Resurfacing (66%)	\$ 146,171	\$ 3,722,406	25	52 signals upgraded, 20 miles street resurfaced
San Mateo	Stormwater	Street Sweeping (100%)	\$ 487,515	\$ 2,309,636	5	204 curb miles street swept monthly
	Traffic	Signal Upgrade (16%), Resurfacing (84%)	\$ 487,515	\$ 3,273,500	7	5 signals upgraded, 10.6 miles street resurfaced
South San Francisco	Stormwater	Drain/Inlet Cleaning (83%), Street Sweeping (17%)	\$ 320,419	\$ 1,845,869	6	2160 inlets inspected/cleaned annually, 1600 miles street swept monthly
	Traffic	Signal Upgrade (41%) , Video Camera (6%) , Resurface (53%)	\$ 320,419	\$ 3,292,143	10	71 signals upgraded, 4 cameras upgraded, 22 miles street resurfaced
Woodside	Stormwater	Street Sweeping (100%)	\$ 25,257	not available	1	21.62 miles of street swept per month
	Traffic	Restriping (30%), Signal Upgrade (10%), Resurfacing (60%)	\$ 25,257	\$ 1,982,416	78	7.4 miles restriped, 1 signal upgraded, 6 miles resurfaced
County of San Mateo	Stormwater	Street Sweeping (100%)	\$ 334,134	\$ 2,781,128	8	275 miles of road swept monthly
	Traffic	Resurfacing (100%)	\$ 334,134	\$ 6,806,220	20	5.78 miles street resurfaced
TOTAL	Stormwater		\$ 3,540,854	\$ 18,695,510	5	
	Traffic		\$ 3,638,732	\$ 57,506,226	16	

REGIONAL PROGRAMS

Traffic Congestion Management

- Intelligent Transportation System (ITS) - Distributed \$1,211,279.78 to eleven jurisdictions for projects to upgrade signal controller (66 locations) and video detection systems (16 locations).

Jurisdiction	Description	Qty	Cost
Atherton	Signal Controller	4	\$85,000.00
Brisbane	Video Detection	7	\$187,345.53
Burlingame	Signal Controller	7	\$155,000.00
Daly City	Signal Controller	13	\$195,000.00
Foster City	Video Detection	5	\$109,053.25
Menlo Park	Video Detection	4	\$96,195.00
Pacifica	Signal Controller	4	\$57,365.00
Redwood City	Signal Controller	4	\$47,411.00
San Carlos	Signal Controller	10	\$58,210.00
San Mateo	Signal Controller	20	\$200,000.00
County of San Mateo	Signal Controller	4	\$20,700.00
TOTAL			\$1,211,279.78

- Alternative Fuel Program – Expended \$516,881 on deployment of the pilot hydrogen shuttle service program (service commenced on December 3, 2007). The Shuttle operates on a full morning (A.M.) schedule of four (4) round trips per day carrying an average of over 7 passengers per trip.
- San Mateo County Smart Corridors Project – Remainder of available Countywide Traffic Congestion Management funds programmed for construction of the Smart Corridors project.

Stormwater Pollution Prevention

- Consultant support
- Developed of a Sustainable, Green Streets and Parking Lots Technical Design Guidebook, which provide strategies for incorporating innovative stormwater treatment measures in streets and parking lot projects.
- Green Streets and Parking Lots Construction Project – Programmed \$1,293,218 for six small-scaled demonstration projects to construct green streets related and related to roadside stormwater pollution prevention improvements.

Jurisdiction	Description	Awarded	Reimbursed
County of San Mateo	Fitzgerald Marine Reserve	\$250,000.00	
Brisbane	Brisbane	\$250,000.00	\$250,000.00
San Bruno	San Bruno	\$71,300.00	\$71,300.00
Burlingame	Burlingame	\$221,918.00	\$221,918.00
Belmont	Belmont	\$250,000.00	
Daly City	Daly City	\$250,000.00	\$250,000.00
TOTAL		\$1,293,218.00	\$793,218.00

- Green Streets and Parking Lots Construction Project (Phase II) - Remainder of Stormwater Pollution Prevention funds to be programmed for additional projects.

C/CAG AGENDA REPORT

Date: January 12, 2012
TO: C/CAG Board
From: Inga Lintvedt - C/CAG Legal Counsel
Subject: Review and adoption of Resolutions 12-01 thru 12-06 Intention to Approve a Contract between the Board of Administration California Public Employees Retirement System (CALPERS) and the Board of Directors City/ County Association of Governments of San Mateo County (C/CAG)

(For further information or response to questions, contact Inga Lintvedt at 650 599-4762)

Recommendation:

Review and adopt Resolutions 12-01 thru 12-06 Intention to Approve a Contract between the Board of Administration California Public Employees Retirement System (CALPERS) and the Board of Directors City/ County Association of Governments of San Mateo County (C/CAG), and authorize execution by the C/CAG Chair as substantially attached hereto and as may be amended by C/CAG staff.

Resolution 12-01	Declaring C/CAG's intent to contract with CALPERS
Resolution 12-02	Paying and Reporting the Value of Employer Paid Contributions
Resolution 12-03	Tax Defer Member Paid Contributions (Employer Pickup)
Resolution 12-04	Pre-Tax Payroll Deduction Plan for Service Credit Purchases
Resolution 12-05	Transfer funds from the CALPERS Redwood City Account to the CALPERS C/CAG Account
Resolution 12-06	Payment of Unfunded CALPERS Liability

Fiscal Impact:

The total base contributions to the California Public Employees Retirement System (CALPERS) for the C/CAG employees are included in the adopted FY 2011-12 Budget. There is an additional cost of \$284,655 for the Unfunded Liability (defined below). C/CAG staff proposes to pay the \$284,655 in a lump sum; amortizing the Unfunded Liability over four years with a 7.5% interest rate would result in an additional cost of \$50,000 for a total of \$334,655.

Source of Revenue:

All C/CAG revenue sources.

Background:

The C/CAG Executive Director has an employment contract with the C/CAG Board that specifies

ITEM 6.2

the benefits are determined by the City of Redwood City. The Administrative Assistant was also provided the same benefits as the Executive Director. Therefore, the C/CAG Executive Director and Administrative Assistant (the "C/CAG Employees") are covered by the City of Redwood City payroll with the employment costs to Redwood City reimbursed by C/CAG. All benefits provided to the employees are provided through the City of Redwood City.

CALPERS recently performed an audit on the City of Redwood City and requested that the CALPERS benefits for the C/CAG Employees be addressed in a contract directly between C/CAG and CALPERS instead of indirectly between C/CAG's contract with Redwood City and Redwood City's contract with CALPERS. At the September 16, 2010 meeting the C/CAG Board approved executing the agreement with CALPERS, and C/CAG applied to CALPERS as requested. On September 22, 2010, C/CAG submitted the agreement to CALPERS for execution. The effect of this agreement was to create direct C/CAG liability to CALPERS moving forward.

On or about September 23, 2010, CALPERS decided that it wanted to amend the C/CAG-CALPERS agreement signed on September 16, 2010. CALPERS wanted to do two things: (1) retroactively address the 26 combined years of prior service by the C/CAG Employees, which was not addressed in the prospective September 16, 2010 agreement; and (2) address the unfunded liability created by updated actuarial valuations, lowered CALPERS investment return, and increase in the Redwood City retirement contribution from 2% to 2.7%, which unfunded liability amount is anticipated at \$284,655 (the "Unfunded Liability"). These changes fulfill the same objective as the Board approval on September 16, 2010: to ensure the C/CAG Employees receive the employer-side retirement contribution benefits to which they are entitled as part of their employment with C/CAG.

Future Annual Costs:

In the proposed amended CALPERS - C/CAG contract, the employer contribution is 14.762% of the employee salary and the employee contribution is 8% of the employee salary,

As noted above, there is currently an Unfunded Liability to CALPERS for \$284,655. Based on the average age of the C/CAG Employees, CALPERS amortized the Unfunded Liability over a four-year period with a 7.5% annual interest rate. Most cities are assigned an interest rate of 5-15%, but C/CAG's is a bit higher because it has a smaller number of employees with a higher average age. Given the high interest rate, C/CAG staff recommends that after the amended C/CAG - CALPERS agreement is executed, C/CAG pay the Unfunded Liability in a lump sum upfront such that there would be no interest fees and amortization. Paying in a lump sum would save C/CAG approximately \$50,000 in interest fees, which is 17.6% of the total Unfunded Liability. This would result in an Employer Side Fund of 0% (none) versus 28.69% if C/CAG pays interest and amortization. The Unfunded Liability will be paid with available C/CAG funds so there would be no cost to the C/CAG member agencies.

C/CAG Board Action:

Staff recommends the Board approve the following resolutions and their enclosures, as substantially attached hereto and as may be amended as deemed necessary by the C/CAG Chair:

Resolution 12-01	Declaring C/CAG's intent to contract with CALPERS
Resolution 12-02	Paying and Reporting the Value of Employer Paid Contributions
Resolution 12-03	Tax Defer Member Paid Contributions (Employer Pickup)
Resolution 12-04	Pre-Tax Payroll Deduction Plan for Service Credit Purchases
Resolution 12-05	Transfer funds from the CALPERS Redwood City Account to the CALPERS C/CAG Account
Resolution 12-06	Payment of Unfunded CALPERS Liability

Resolutions 12-01 thru 12-04 are standard CALPERS forms that cannot be modified. The final contract with CALPERS will be submitted for Board adoption at the February 9, 2011 Board Meeting.

The resolutions ensure the C/CAG Employees receive the employer-side retirement contribution benefits to which they are entitled as part of their employment with C/CAG. This is an administrative action to retain current benefit levels. A change in the employer contribution rate would require contract negotiations that are not agendized for this meeting.

Attachment:

Minutes of C/CAG Board Meeting of September 16, 2010

Staff Report Dated 9/16/2010 - Review and adoption of Resolution 10-52: Resolution to Approve a Contract between the Board of Administration California Public Employees Retirement System and the Board of Directors City/ County Association of Governments of San Mateo County (C/CAG)

Resolution 12-01	Declaring C/CAG's intent to contract with CALPERS
Resolution 12-02	Paying and Reporting the Value of Employer Paid Contributions
Resolution 12-03	Tax Defer Member Paid Contributions (Employer Pickup)
Resolution 12-04	Pre-Tax Payroll Deduction Plan for Service Credit Purchases
Resolution 12-05	Transfer funds from the CALPERS Redwood City Account to the CALPERS C/CAG Account
Resolution 12-06	Payment of Unfunded CALPERS Liability

Alternatives:

- 1- Review and adoption of

Resolution 12-01	Declaring C/CAG's intent to contract with CALPERS
Resolution 12-02	Paying and Reporting the Value of Employer Paid Contributions
Resolution 12-03	Tax Defer Member Paid Contributions (Employer Pickup)
Resolution 12-04	Pre-Tax Payroll Deduction Plan for Service Credit Purchases
Resolution 12-05	Transfer funds from the CALPERS Redwood City Account to the CALPERS C/CAG Account
Resolution 12-06	Payment of Unfunded CALPERS Liability

 in accordance with the staff recommendation.

- 2- Review and adoption of

Resolution 12-01	Declaring C/CAG's intent to contract with CALPERS
------------------	---

Resolution 12-02 Paying and Reporting the Value of Employer Paid Contributions
Resolution 12-03 Tax Defer Member Paid Contributions (Employer Pickup)
Resolution 12-04 Pre-Tax Payroll Deduction Plan for Service Credit Purchases
Resolution 12-05 Transfer funds from the CALPERS Redwood City Account to the
CALPERS C/CAG Account
Resolution 12-06 Payment of Unfunded CALPERS Liability
in accordance with the staff recommendation with modifications.

3- No action.

RESOLUTION 12-01

**RESOLUTION OF INTENTION TO APPROVE A CONTRACT
BETWEEN THE BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION CALIFORNIA PUBLIC
EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM AND THE
BOARD OF DIRECTORS CITY/ COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS
OF SAN MATEO COUNTY (C/CAG)**

WHEREAS, the Public Employees' Retirement Law permits the participation of public agencies in the Public Employees' Retirement System, making their employees members of said System, and sets forth the procedure by which participation may be accomplished; and

WHEREAS, one of the steps required in the procedure is the adoption by the governing body of the of the public agency of a resolution giving notice of intention to approve a contract for such participation of said agency in the Public Employees' Retirement System, which resolution shall contain a summary of the major provisions of the proposed retirement plan; and

WHEREAS, attached is a summary of the major provisions of the proposed plan;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the governing body of the above agency gives, and it does hereby give notice of intention to approve a contract between said governing body and the Board of Administration of the Public Employees' Retirement System, providing for participation of said agency in said retirement system, a copy of said contract and a copy of the major provisions of the proposed plan being attached hereto, as an "Exhibit" ., and by this reference made a part hereof.

BY:

Bob Grassilli

Presiding Officer

Chairperson - C/CAG Board of Directors

Date adopted and approved

Title



EXHIBIT

California
Public Employees' Retirement System

CONTRACT

Between the
Board of Administration
California Public Employees' Retirement System
and the
Board of Directors
City/County Association of Governments of San
Mateo County (C/CAG)

In consideration of the covenants and agreement hereafter contained and on the part of both parties to be kept and performed, the governing body of above public agency, hereafter referred to as "Public Agency", and the Board of Administration, Public Employees' Retirement System, hereafter referred to as "Board", hereby agree as follows:

1. All words and terms used herein which are defined in the Public Employees' Retirement Law shall have the meaning as defined therein unless otherwise specifically provided. "Normal retirement age" shall mean age 55 for local miscellaneous members.
2. Public Agency shall participate in the Public Employees' Retirement System from and after _____ making its employees as hereinafter provided, members of said System subject to all provisions of the Public Employees' Retirement Law except such as apply only on election of a contracting agency and are not provided for herein and to all amendments to said Law hereafter enacted except those, which by express provisions thereof, apply only on the election of a contracting agency.

3. Public Agency agrees to indemnify, defend and hold harmless the California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS) and its trustees, agents and employees, the CalPERS Board of Administration, and the California Public Employees' Retirement Fund from any claims, demands, actions, losses, liabilities, damages, judgments, expenses and costs, including but not limited to interest, penalties and attorneys fees that may arise as a result of any of the following:
 - (a) Public Agency's election to provide retirement benefits, provisions or formulas under this Contract that are different than the retirement benefits, provisions or formulas provided under the Public Agency's prior non-CalPERS retirement program.
 - (b) Public Agency's election to amend this Contract to provide retirement benefits, provisions or formulas that are different than existing retirement benefits, provisions or formulas.
 - (c) Public Agency's agreement with a third party other than CalPERS to provide retirement benefits, provisions, or formulas that are different than the retirement benefits, provisions or formulas provided under this Contract and provided for under the California Public Employees' Retirement Law.
 - (d) Public Agency's election to file for bankruptcy under Chapter 9 (commencing with section 901) of Title 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code and/or Public Agency's election to reject this Contract with the CalPERS Board of Administration pursuant to section 365, of Title 11, of the United States Bankruptcy Code or any similar provision of law.
 - (e) Public Agency's election to assign this Contract without the prior written consent of the CalPERS' Board of Administration.
 - (f) The termination of this Contract either voluntarily by request of Public Agency or involuntarily pursuant to the Public Employees' Retirement Law.
 - (g) Changes sponsored by Public Agency in existing retirement benefits, provisions or formulas made as a result of amendments, additions or deletions to California statute or to the California Constitution.
4. Employees of Public Agency in the following classes shall become members of said Retirement System except such in each such class as are excluded by law or this agreement:
 - a. Employees other than local safety members (herein referred to as local miscellaneous members).

5. Any exclusion(s) shall remain in effect until such time as the Public Employees' Retirement System determines that continuing said exclusion(s) would risk a finding of non-compliance with any federal tax laws or regulations. If such a determination is contemplated, the Public Employees' Retirement System will meet with the Public Agency to discuss the matter and coordinate any required changes or amendments to the contract.

In addition to the classes of employees excluded from membership by said Retirement Law, the following classes of employees shall not become members of said Retirement System:

- a. **SAFETY EMPLOYEES; AND**
 - b. **MEMBERS OF THE GOVERNING BODY FIRST ELECTED OR APPOINTED PRIOR TO JULY 1, 1994. (Elected or appointed officials who are first elected or appointed on or after July 1, 1994 or to a term of office not consecutive with a term held on June 30, 1994 are excluded pursuant to Government Code Section 20322).**
6. The percentage of final compensation to be provided for each year of credited prior and current service as a local miscellaneous member shall be determined in accordance with Section 21354.5 of said Retirement Law (2.7% at age 55 Full).
 7. Public Agency elects to be subject to the following optional provisions:
 - a. Section 20042 (One-Year Final Compensation).
 - b. Section 20903 (Two Years Additional Service Credit).
 8. Public Agency shall contribute to said Retirement System the contributions determined by actuarial valuations of prior and future service liability with respect to local miscellaneous members of said Retirement System.
 9. Public Agency shall also contribute to said Retirement System as follows:
 - a. Contributions required per covered member on account of the 1959 Survivor Benefits provided under Section 21574 of said Retirement Law. (Subject to annual change.) In addition, all assets and liabilities of Public Agency and its employees shall be pooled in a single account, based on term insurance rates, for survivors of all local miscellaneous members.

- b. A reasonable amount, as fixed by the Board, payable in one installment within 60 days of date of contract to cover the costs of administering said System as it affects the employees of Public Agency, not including the costs of special valuations or of the periodic investigation and valuations required by law.
 - c. A reasonable amount, as fixed by the Board, payable in one installment as the occasions arise, to cover the costs of special valuations on account of employees of Public Agency, and costs of the periodic investigation and valuations required by law.
10. Contributions required of Public Agency and its employees shall be subject to adjustment by Board on account of amendments to the Public Employees' Retirement Law, and on account of the experience under the Retirement System as determined by the periodic investigation and valuation required by said Retirement Law.
11. Contributions required of Public Agency and its employees shall be paid by Public Agency to the Retirement System within fifteen days after the end of the period to which said contributions refer or as may be prescribed by Board regulation. If more or less than the correct amount of contributions is paid for any period, proper adjustment shall be made in connection with subsequent remittances. Adjustments on account of errors in contributions required of any employee may be made by direct payments between the employee and the Board.

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION
PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

BOARD OF DIRECTORS
CITY/COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF
GOVERNMENTS OF SAN MATEO
COUNTY (C/CAG)

BY _____
KAREN DE FRANK, CHIEF
EMPLOYER SERVICES DIVISION
PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

BY _____
PRESIDING OFFICER

Witness Date

Attest:

Clerk

NEW AGENCY CalPERS ID #7895043818
PERS-CON-702N

**RESOLUTION 12-02
OF THE CITY/ COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS OF
SAN MATEO COUNTY (C/CAG) FOR PAYING AND REPORTING THE VALUE
OF EMPLOYER PAID MEMBER CONTRIBUTIONS**

WHEREAS, the governing body of the City/ County Association of Governments of San Mateo County - C/CAG (Name of Agency) has the authority to implement Government Code Section 20636(c) (4) pursuant to Section 20691 through the City of Redwood City;

WHEREAS, the governing body of the City/ County Association of Governments of San Mateo County - C/CAG (Name of Agency) has a written labor policy or agreement which specifically provides for the normal member contributions to be paid by the employer, and reported as additional compensation;

WHEREAS, one of the steps in the procedures to implement Section 20691 is the adoption by the governing body of the City/ County Association of Governments of San Mateo County - C/CAG (Name of Agency) of a Resolution to commence paying and reporting the value of said Employer Paid Member Contributions (EPMC);

WHEREAS, the governing body of the City/ County Association of Governments of San Mateo County - C/CAG (Name of Agency) has identified the following conditions for the purpose of its election to pay EPMC;

- **This benefit shall apply to all employees of City/ County Association of Governments of San Mateo County - C/CAG (Membership Category OR Group or Class).**
- **This benefit shall consist of paying seven (Percent) of the normal contributions as EPMC, and reporting the same percent (value) of compensation earnable** {excluding Government Code Section 20636(c)(4)} as additional compensation.**
- **The effective date of this Resolution shall be 3/12/12 (Date).**

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the governing body of the City/ County Association of Governments of San Mateo County - C/CAG (Name of Agency) elects to pay and report the value of EPMC, as set forth above.

BY

Bob Grassilli

Chairperson - C/CAG Board of Directors

(Date adopted and approved)

(Title of Official)

* Note: Payment of EPMC and reporting the value of EPMC on compensation earnable is on pay rate and special compensation except special compensation delineated in Government Code Section 20636(c)(4) which is the monetary value of EPMC on compensation earnable.

**RESOLUTION 12-03
OF THE CITY/ COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS OF SAN MATEO
COUNTY (C/CAG) TO TAX DEFER MEMBER PAID CONTRIBUTIONS – IRC
414(H)(2) EMPLOYER PICK-UP**

WHEREAS, the governing body of the City/ County Association of Governments of San Mateo County - C/CAG (Name of Agency) has the authority to implement the provisions of section 414(h)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code (IRC) through the City of Redwood City; and

WHEREAS, the City/ County Association of Governments of San Mateo County - C/CAG (Name of Agency) has determined that even though the implementation of the provisions of section 414(h)(2) IRC is not required by law, the tax benefit offered by section 414(h)(2) IRC should be provided to the City/ County Association of Governments of San Mateo County - C/CAG (All Employees, or All Employees In A Recognized Group or Class of Employment) who are members of the California Public Employees' Retirement System:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

- I. That the City/ County Association of Governments of San Mateo County - C/CAG (Name of Agency) will implement the provisions of section 414(h)(2) Internal Revenue Code by making employee contributions pursuant to California Government Code Section 20691 to the California Public Employees' Retirement System on behalf of all its employees or all its employees in a recognized group or class of employment who are members of the California Public Employees Retirement System. "Employee contributions" shall mean those contributions to the Public Employees' Retirement System which are deducted from the salary of employees and are credited to individual employee's accounts pursuant to California Government Code section 20691.
- II. That the contributions made by the City/ County Association of Governments of San Mateo County - C/CAG (Name of Agency) to the California Public Employees' Retirement System, although designated as employee contributions, are being paid by the City/ County Association of Governments of San Mateo County - C/CAG (Name of Agency) in lieu of contributions by the employees who are members of the California Public Employees' Retirement System.
- III. That employees shall not have the option of choosing to receive the contributed amounts directly instead of having them paid by the City/ County Association of Governments of San Mateo County - C/CAG (Name of Agency) to the California Public Employees' Retirement System.
- IV. That the City/ County Association of Governments of San Mateo County - C/CAG (Name of Agency) shall pay to the California Public Employees' Retirement System the contributions designated as employee contributions from the same source of funds as used in paying salary.
- V. That the amount of the contributions designated as employee contributions and paid by the City/ County Association of Governments of San Mateo County - C/CAG (Name of Agency) to the California Public Employees' Retirement System on behalf of an employee shall be the entire contribution required of the employee by the California

Public Employees' Retirement Law (California Government Code Sections 20000, et seq.).

- VI. That the contributions designated as employee contributions made by City/ County Association of Governments of San Mateo County - C/CAG (Name of Agency) to the California Public Employees' Retirement System shall be treated for all purposes, other than taxation, in the same way that member contributions are treated by the California Public Employees' Retirement System.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the governing body of the City/ County Association of Governments of San Mateo County - C/CAG (Name of Agency)

this day of 12th of January, 2012.

BY

Bob Grassilli

Chairperson - C/CAG Board of Directors

RETURN ADDRESS:

City/ County Association of Governments of San Mateo County
555 County Center Fifth Floor
Redwood City, CA 94063
650 599-1420

FOR CALPERS USE ONLY

RESOLUTION TO TAX DEFER MEMBER PAID CONTRIBUTIONS - IRC 414(h)(2)

Approved by: _____

Title: _____

RESOLUTION 12-04

City/ County Association of Governments of San Mateo County

**Standard California Public Employee Retirement System (PERS) Resolution
Form for Pre-Tax Payroll Deduction Service Credit Purchase**

**EMPLOYER PICKUP RESOLUTION
PRE-TAX PAYROLL DEDUCTION PLAN
FOR SERVICE CREDIT PURCHASES
(CONTRIBUTION CODE 14)**

Employer code: TBD

**EMPLOYER PICKUP RESOLUTION
PRE-TAX PAYROLL DEDUCTION PLAN
FOR SERVICE CREDIT PURCHASES
(CONTRIBUTION CODE 14)**

WHEREAS, the Board of Administration of the California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS) at the April 1996 meeting approved a pre-tax payroll deduction plan for service credit purchases under Internal Revenue Code (IRC) section 414(h)(2); and

WHEREAS, the City/ County Association of Governments of San Mateo County has the authority to implement the provisions of IRC section 414(h)(2) and has determined that even though implementation is not required by law, the tax benefit offered by this section should be provided to those employees who are members of CalPERS; and

WHEREAS, the City/ County Association of Governments of San Mateo County elects to participate in the pre-tax payroll deduction plan for all employees in the following CalPERS Coverage Group(s):

All Miscellaneous

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

I. That the City/ County Association of Governments of San Mateo County will implement the provisions of IRC section 414(h)(2) by making employee contributions for service credit purchases pursuant to the California State Government Code on behalf of its employees who are members of CalPERS and who have made a binding irrevocable election to participate in the pre-tax payroll deduction plan. "Employee contributions" shall mean those contributions reported to CalPERS which are deducted from the salary of employees and are credited to individual employee accounts for service credit purchases, thereby resulting in tax deferral of employee contributions.

II. That the contributions made by the City/ County Association of Governments of San Mateo County to CalPERS, although designated as employee contributions, are being paid by the City/ County Association of Governments of San Mateo County in lieu of contributions by the employees who are members of CalPERS.

- III. That the employees shall not have the option of choosing to receive the contributed amounts directly instead of having them paid by the City/ County Association of Governments of San Mateo County to CalPERS.
- IV. That the City/ County Association of Governments of San Mateo County shall pay to CalPERS the contributions designated as employee contributions from the same source of funds as used in paying salary, thereby resulting in tax deferral of employee contributions.
- V. That the effective date for commencement of the pre-tax payroll deduction plan cannot be any earlier than the date the completed resolution is received and approved by CalPERS.
- VI. That the governing body of the City/ County Association of Governments of San Mateo County shall participate in and adhere to requirements and restrictions of the pre-tax payroll deduction plan by reporting pre-tax payroll deductions when authorized by CalPERS for those employees of the above stated Coverage Group(s) who have elected to participate in this plan.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the governing body of the City/ County Association of Governments of San Mateo County

this 12th day of August, 2010

BY _____
 Bob Grassilli

 Chairperson - C/CAG Board of Directors

RETURN ADDRESS:

City/ County Association of Governments of San Mateo County
 555 County Center Fifth Floor
 Redwood City, CA 94063
 650 599-1420

FOR CALPERS USE ONLY
Pre-tax payroll deduction plan effective date: _____
Approved By: _____ Title: _____
MEMBER SERVICES DIVISION, Service credit section-Unit 830

RESOLUTION 12-05

**RESOLUTION OF INTENTION TO TRANSFER FUNDS
BETWEEN THE CALPERS CITY OF REDWOOD CITY ACCOUNT AND THE
CALPERS CITY/ COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS OF SAN
MATEO COUNTY (C/CAG) ACCOUNT IN THE CALIFORNIA PUBLIC
EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM**

WHEREAS, The C/CAG Executive Director and the Administrative Assistant are employees of the City of Redwood City, and

WHEREAS, The Executive Director and the Administrative Assistant are covered under the City of Redwood City California Public Employee Retirement System (CALPERS) contract, and

WHEREAS, The California Public Employee Retirement System (CALPERS) has requested that the C/CAG employees be covered by a C/CAG contract with CALPERS, and

WHEREAS, C/CAG intends to enter into a contract with the California Public Employee Retirement System to provide retirement benefits, and

WHEREAS, There are funds in the Redwood City CALPERS account for the two C/CAG Employees, and

WHEREAS, CALPERS requires the funds in the CALPERS Redwood City account to be transferred to the CALPERS C/CAG account,

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the *Chair of the C/CAG* Board of Directors is hereby authorized to execute an agreement to transfer the CALPERS funds for the C/CAG Executive Director and Administrative Assistant from the CALPERS Redwood City account to the CALPERS C/CAG account. The Chair is authorized to execute any and all documents necessary to complete the transfer of funds.

PASSED, APPROVED, ADOPTED THIS 12TH DAY OF JANUARY 2012.

Bob Grassilli
Chair - C/CAG Board of Directors

RESOLUTION NO. 12-06

* * * * *

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE CITY/COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS OF SAN MATEO COUNTY (C/CAG) AUTHORIZING THE CHAIR OF THE C/CAG BOARD TO APPROVE THE PAYMENT OF UP TO \$284,655 TO THE CALIFORNIA PUBLIC EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT SYSTEM (CALPERS) TO PAY IN FULL THE CALPERS UNFUNDED LIABILITY

* * * * *

WHEREAS, The C/CAG Executive Director and the Administrative Assistant are employees of the City of Redwood City, and

WHEREAS, The Executive Director and the Administrative Assistant are covered under the City of Redwood City California Public Employee Retirement System (CALPERS) contract, and

WHEREAS, The, California Public Employee Retirement System (CALPERS) has requested that the C/CAG employees be covered by a C/CAG contract with CALPERS, and

WHEREAS, The CALPERS Actuarial Valuation for the C/CAG contract identified an Unfunded Liability of \$284,655, and

WHEREAS, The cost of an Employer Side Fund with CALPERS for four years would be approximately \$50,000, and

WHEREAS, C/CAG has the option to pay the Unfunded Liability in full which will save approximately \$50,000,

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the *Chair of the C/CAG* Board of Directors is hereby authorized to approve the payment of up to \$284,655 to the California Public Employee Retirement System to pay in full the CALPERS Unfunded Liability. Exhibit A shows the Unfunded CALPERS Liability Fund Distribution. Since this is an estimate the Chair is also granted the authority to pay up to 10 percent additional amount as determined by CALPERS.

PASSED, APPROVED, ADOPTED THIS 12TH DAY OF JANUARY 2012.

Bob Grassilli
Chairperson - C/CAG Board of Directors

Exhibit A

Unfunded CALPERS Liability Fund Distribution

UNFUNDED PERS LIABILITY FUND DISTRIBUTION						
		Unfunded PERS Liability		\$284,655.00		
Fund Description	Fund Number	Admin	% of Total	Amount	Reserve	From Fund
General	C001	\$111,963.00	0.252026	\$71,740.43	\$43,346.00	\$28,394.43
Transportation	C002	\$122,366.00	0.275443	\$78,406.16	\$78,406.16	\$0.00
SMCRP	C004	\$50,891.00	0.114554	\$32,608.47	\$0.00	\$32,608.47
Smart Corridor	C005	\$77,377.00	0.174174	\$49,579.41	\$0.00	\$49,579.41
Energy Watch	C006	\$3,794.00	0.00854	\$2,431.01	\$0.00	\$2,431.01
TFCA	C032	\$7,001.00	0.015759	\$4,485.90	\$0.00	\$4,485.90
NPDES	C007	\$36,850.00	0.082948	\$23,611.68	\$23,611.68	\$0.00
AVA	C009	\$0.00	0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
AB 1546	C008	\$34,010.00	0.076556	\$21,791.95	\$0.00	\$21,791.95
Measure M	C010	\$0.00	0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
TOTAL		\$444,252.00	\$1.00	\$284,655.00	\$145,363.84	\$139,291.16

C/CAG AGENDA REPORT

Date: January 12, 2011
To: City/County Association of Governments Board of Directors
From: Richard Napier, C/CAG Executive Director
Subject: Review and comment on the “*Draft Countywide Transportation Plan for Low Income Populations*”.

(For further information contact Jean Higaki at 599-1462)

RECOMMENDATION

That the C/CAG Board review and comment on the “*Draft Countywide Transportation Plan for Low Income Populations*”.

FISCAL IMPACT

\$45,000 from C/CAG Congestion Relief Funds, which is included in the fiscal year 11/12 Budget.

SOURCE OF FUNDS

\$96,507 from a Caltrans Environmental Justice planning grant, \$60,000 from the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) Community Based Transportation Planning (CBTP) Program, and \$45,000 in C/CAG Local match from Congestion Relief Funds is funding the development of two planning documents, the “*Countywide Transportation Plan for Low Income Populations*” and the Community Based Transportation Plan for South San Francisco/ San Bruno.

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION

Community-Based Transportation Planning Services Using Caltrans and MTC funds

In March 11, 2010, the C/CAG Board approved Resolution 10-09 and amendment Resolution 11-38 authorizing the C/CAG Chair to execute a Funding Agreement with the San Mateo County Transit District (SamTrans) for an amount not to exceed \$200,000 for Community-Based Transportation Planning Services.

Under the agreement SamTrans is to deliver two planning documents. One plan, the “*Countywide Transportation Plan for Low Income Populations*,” would address transportation needs for low-income populations countywide. The other plan, the “Community Based

Transportation Plan for South San Francisco and San Bruno,” would address the transportation needs of an MTC identified “community of concern.”

Under the agreement, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) Community Based Transportation Planning (CBTP) Program is providing \$60,000 in funds to mostly fund the South San Francisco and San Bruno CBTP. \$96,507 will come from a Caltrans Environmental Justice planning grant award to mostly fund the “*Countywide Transportation Plan for Low Income Populations*”. C/CAG is providing up to \$45,000 in local match from Congestion Relief Funds.

The Caltrans Environmental Justice planning grant requires that both final planning documents be completed and delivered to Caltrans by the end of February 2012. In order to prepare the final plan for the February board meeting, staff requests that comments be received no later than January 20th, 2012.

Community Based Transportation Plan for South San Francisco and San Bruno

Planning staff from both South San Francisco and San Bruno have been active in the development of the CBTP. The CBTP will be presented to each respective City for acceptance. The CBTP will be presented to the C/CAG Board in February with a request to accept the plan conditioned, on the acceptance of the CBTP by both the Cities of South San Francisco and San Bruno.

“Countywide Transportation Plan for Low Income Populations”

During the development of past CBTPs it was discovered that the “communities of concern” identified by MTC do not include ~84% of the population living below the poverty line in San Mateo County. The objective of the “*Countywide Transportation Plan for Low Income Populations*” is to utilize community outreach to identify, assess, and develop strategies to bridge gaps in the transportation needs of these disadvantaged communities. The Countywide plan is a planning tool, designed to influence funding decisions of the MTC Lifeline Transportation Program, with the objective to fund strategies developed in the plan.

SamTrans is the sub-recipient and acting consultant, in preparing the “*Countywide Transportation Plan for Low Income Populations*”, based upon their successful development of the East Palo Alto, Daly City/ Bayshore, and North Central San Mateo CBTPs in addition to their key knowledge of the existing public transportation system, and their ability to confirm transit gaps identified during the outreach process.

The transportation strategies emerging from the outreach process includes:

1. Improve Transit Stop Amenities
2. Increase Public Understanding of How to Use Transit
3. Provide Free or Discounted Fares for Low-income Transit Users
4. Improve SamTrans Connections and Service (Operational Transit Improvements)
5. Improve Pedestrian Safety and Amenities
6. Improve Bicycle Safety and Amenities
7. Provide Free or Discounted Bicycles to Low-income Persons
8. Expand Existing Programs and Develop New Programs to Support Mobility

- A. Create a Volunteer Driver Program
- B. Reinstate the Emergency Taxi Voucher Program
- C. Create Additional Shuttle Services
- D. Supplement the Ways to Work Loan Program

The “*Countywide Transportation Plan for Low Income Populations*” is a concept level document intended to be used as a tool by potential implementing agencies. It does not commit any agency to implement a project; however it does make an effort to identify agencies that are in the best position to implement the listed strategies.

It should be understood that many of the concept level strategies proposed by the community would need to be vetted through a project analysis and development process prior to implementation. The plan recognizes the fiscal and resource constraints faced by potential implementing agencies for many of the strategies. These limitations and constraints were reflected in a feasibility matrix associated with each strategy.

Projects emerging from strategies listed on both the CBTP and Countywide Plan are at an advantage when seeking funds through the MTC Lifeline program or other funding programs, as there is a documented outreach based process, used to identify projects, and there is documented community support for the listed strategies. It is hoped that the potential implementing agencies, identified in the document, will apply for Lifeline Program funds or other program funds to implement projects that support the strategies identified in this plan.

ATTACHMENTS

- Draft “*Countywide Transportation Plan for Low Income Populations*” is available at: <http://www.smclowincometransportationplan.com/p/documents.html>
A printed copy of the report will be available to board members upon request.

C/CAG

CITY/COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS OF SAN MATEO COUNTY

Atherton • Belmont • Brisbane • Burlingame • Colma • Daly City • East Palo Alto • Foster City • Half Moon Bay • Hillsborough • Menlo Park • Millbrae • Pacifica • Portola Valley • Redwood City • San Bruno • San Carlos • San Mateo • San Mateo County • South San Francisco • Woodside

December 1, 2011

Leanne Provost, Chief
Office of Program and Technical Services
Caltrans Division of Aeronautics
1120 N Street
P.O. Box 942873
Sacramento, CA 94273-0001

Dear Ms. Provost:

RE: Submittal of Required Material for a Caltrans Funding Allocation to Prepare an Update of the ALUCP for the environs of San Carlos Airport (SQL)

The City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County (C/CAG), created by a joint powers agreement (JPA) in 1990, serves as the state-mandated Airport Land Use Commission for San Mateo County. In that role, it is responsible for preparing and updating the airport land use compatibility plans (ALUCPs) for the environs of Half Moon Bay Airport (HAF), San Carlos Airport (SQL), and San Francisco International Airport (SFO).

The purpose of this letter is to submit the required documentation to Caltrans to obtain a funding allocation to prepare an update of the ALUCP for the environs of San Carlos Airport (SQL). The enclosed documentation includes the following: (1) City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County (CCAG) Agenda Report, dated October 13, 2011, (2) signed C/CAG Resolution No. 11-53, (3) approved minutes from the C/CAG Regular Board Meeting on October 13, 2011, and (4) State of California Department of Transportation DOA-0010 Acquisition and Development Grant – Application, per direction from your office.

Thank you for your assistance in helping C/CAG secure state funding for this important project. If you have any questions or need more information, please contact me at 650/599-1420.

Sincerely,



Richard Napier, C/CAG Executive Director

Enclosures (see list above)

cc: Jim Porter, San Mateo County Public Works Director, w/o enclosures
Mark Larson, San Mateo County Airports Manager, w/o enclosures
Steve Monowitz, Deputy Director, San Mateo County Planning and Building Department, w/o enclosures

ITEM 9.1

C/CAG

CITY/COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS OF SAN MATEO COUNTY

*Atherton • Belmont • Brisbane • Burlingame • Colma • Daly City • East Palo Alto • Foster City • Half Moon Bay • Hillsborough • Menlo Park • Millbrae • Pacifica •
Portola Valley • Redwood City • San Bruno • San Carlos • San Mateo • San Mateo County • South San Francisco • Woodside*

December 28, 2011

City of East Palo Alto
1960 Tate Street
East Palo Alto, CA 94303

Attention: Brent Butler

Reference: C/CAG Support for East Palo Alto Strategic Growth Council Planning Targeted Grant

Dear Mr. Butler:

The City/ County Association of Governments of San Mateo County (C/CAG) is the Congestion Management Agency (CMA) for San Mateo County. In that role C/CAG has been working co-operatively with the City of East Palo Alto to better link land use and transportation.

It is my understanding the East Palo City Council adopted a resolution supporting the submittal of an application for the Sustainable Communities Planning Grant and Incentives Program. The objective is to update the General Plan to decrease health disparity between East Palo Alto and the surrounding communities.

C/CAG strongly supports this application since it compliments a current C/CAG partnership with East Palo Alto and will further address the impact regional traffic has on East Palo Alto. Key justification for this support and the grant include the following:

- 1- University Avenue, which goes through the center of East Palo Alto, carries 29,000 average daily trips with much of the traffic being regional going from US 101 to the Dumbarton Bridge. This is the only city in the Bay Area where the primary Bridge approach goes through the center of the city. As a result many intersections in East Palo Alto are Level of Service F. This regional traffic creates a disproportionate negative impact on East Palo Alto.
- 2- As part of the Gateway 2020 Study C/CAG is working with East Palo Alto to provide funding for short term operational transportation projects for University Avenue and Willow Road.
- 3- The proposed grant would build upon the gateway C/CAG sponsored 2020 Study.
- 4- The negative impact of high density transportation systems near certain land uses is becoming more of a health issue. This would allow the General Plan to be updated to consider these health issues.

C/CAG supports this grant application and encourages the State of California to fund this application.. If you need additional clarification , please contact me at 650 599-1420.

Sincerely;



Richard Napier
Executive Director

ITEM 9.2

C/CAG

CITY/COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS OF SAN MATEO COUNTY

*Atherton • Belmont • Brisbane • Burlingame • Colma • Daly City • East Palo Alto • Foster City • Half Moon Bay • Hillsborough • Menlo Park • Millbrae • Pacifica •
Portola Valley • Redwood City • San Bruno • San Carlos • San Mateo • San Mateo County • South San Francisco • Woodside*

December 29, 2011

City Manager, ML Gordon
East Palo Alto Government Center
2415 University Avenue
East Palo Alto, CA 94303

Attention: Planning Division, Brent Butler

Reference: C/CAG Support for East Palo Alto Strategic Growth Council Planning Targeted Grant

Dear ML Gordon:

The City/ County Association of Governments of San Mateo County (C/CAG) is the Congestion Management Agency (CMA) for San Mateo County. In that role C/CAG has been working co-operatively with the City of East Palo Alto to better link land use and transportation.

East Palo City Council Resolution 4022, which was adopted pursuant to the Sustainable Communities Planning grant guidelines, embraces a collaborative approach that links land use and transportation. It also strengthens C/CAG's partnership with the City, as envisioned by the Safe Drinking Water, Water Quality and Supply, Flood Control, and River and Costal Protection Bond Act of 2006 (Proposition 84). For these reasons, this letter fully endorses the City's grant application to update the General Plan in a way that decreases the health disparity between East Palo Alto and the surrounding communities.

C/CAG strongly supports this application since it compliments a current C/CAG partnership with East Palo Alto and will further address the impact regional traffic has on East Palo Alto. Key justification for this support and the grant include the following:

- 1- University Avenue, which goes through the center of East Palo Alto, carries 29,000 average daily trips with much of the traffic being regional going from US 101 to the Dumbarton Bridge. This is the only city in the Bay Area where the primary Bridge approach goes through the center of the city. As a result many intersections in East Palo Alto are Level of Service F. This regional traffic creates a disproportionate negative impact on East Palo Alto.
- 2- As part of the Gateway 2020 Study C/CAG is working with East Palo Alto to provide funding for short term operational transportation projects for University Avenue and Willow Road.
- 3- The proposed grant would build upon the gateway C/CAG sponsored 2020 Study.
- 4- The negative impact of high density transportation systems near certain land uses is becoming more of a health issue. The General Plan updated will consider these health issues.

C/CAG supports this grant application and encourages the State of California to fund this application.. If you need additional clarification , please contact me at 650 599-1420.

Sincerely;



Richard Napier
Executive Director

ITEM 9.3