C/CAG

CITY/COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS OF SAN MATEO COUNTY

Atherton • Belmont • Brisbane • Burlingame • Colma • Daly City • East Palo Alto • Foster City • Half Moon Bay • Hillsborough • Menlo Park Millbrae • Pacifica • Portola Valley • Redwood City • San Bruno • San Carlos • San Mateo • San Mateo County • South San Francisco • Woodside

AGENDA

Congestion Management & Environmental Quality (CMEQ) Committee

Date:

Monday, April 30, 2012 at 3:00 p.m.

Place:

San Mateo City Hall

330 West 20th Avenue, San Mateo, California

Conference Room C (across from Council Chambers)

PLEASE CALL Sandy Wong (599-1409) IF YOU ARE UNABLE TO ATTEND.

Public comment on items not on the agenda 1. Presentations are limited to 3 mins 2. Minutes of February 27, 2012 meeting Action Pages 1 - 4 (Pierce) 3. Measure M (\$10 Motor Vehicle Fee) Annual Program Information Pages 5 - 11 Update (Hoang) 4. Review and recommend approval of projects to be funded Action Pages 12 -14 by the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) (Higaki) under the Cycle 3 Lifeline Transportation Program for a total of \$3,000,199 5. Presentation on the Bayshore Intermodal Station Access Information None Study (to be presented by San Francisco County (Chester Fung) Transportation Authority) 6. **Executive Director Report** Information (Napier) 7. Member comments and announcements. Information (Pierce) 8. Adjournment and establishment of next meeting date: Action May 21, 2012. (Pierce)

NOTE:

All items appearing on the agenda are subject to action by the Committee. Actions recommended by staff are subject to change by the Committee.

NOTE:

Persons with disabilities who require auxiliary aids or services in attending and participating in this meeting should contact Nancy Blair at 650 599-1406, five working days prior to the meeting date.

Other enclosures/Correspondence - None

CITY/COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS COMMITTEE ON CONGESTION MANAGEMENTAND ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY (CMEQ)

MINUTES MEETING OF FEBRUARY 27, 2012

The meeting was called to order by Vice Chair Garbarino in Conference Room A at City Hall of San Mateo at 3:00 pm.

Attendance sheet is attached.

1. Public comment on items not on the agenda. None.

2. Minutes of January 30, 2012 meeting.

Motion: To approve the Minutes of the January 30, 2012 meeting, Bigelow/Lloyd. Motion carried unanimously.

3. Update on the C/CAG Safe Routes to School Program (Information).

John Hoang introduced this item and mentioned that the contract between C/CAG and San Mateo County Office of Education (COE) was executed last March. However, there was a delay in the Federal funding, and work didn't get started until July. Ms. Mefula Fairley of COE made a presentation of the work done so far in relation to the C/CAG contract. By way of background, the presentation showed 41% of students walked/biked to school in 1969, while only 13% of students do that in 2001. The SR2S program aims to installing safe paths, training for parents/students, changing social norms, etc. COE staff have outreached to eight school districts (59 schools) that included 30,000 students from K to 8th grade. They met with Superintendents and School Principals. Schools that are interested are encouraged to submit grant applications to COE for up to \$15,000 for projects. The early implementers included Ravenswood City School District/City of East Palo Alto, Menlo Park City School District, Redwood City School District, Redwood City 20/20, Pacifica School District.

CMEQ members had the following questions/comments:

- The map showed a lot of blank spots where school districts have not been outreached. We must ensure balance in spending of funds throughout the county.
- COE should also work with the cities in addition to working with school districts, because many of the cities are already working with school districts on related issues.

Ms. Fairley also invited CMEQ members to attend "Principal for a Day" on March 22, 2012.

4. Review of the shuttle ridership performance for the first two quarters of FY 2011/12 (Information).

Tom Madalena provided an update on the shuttle ridership results for the first two quarters of fiscal year 2011/12. A question was asked regarding the Foster City shuttle's high cost per passenger. Tom responded that shuttle was actually performing very well last year. However, since they started charging riders a fee, the ridership decreased drastically.

5. Review and recommend approval of the Call for Projects for the C/CAG and SMCTA shuttle program for FY 2012/13 & FY 2013/14.

Tom Madalena presented the staff recommendation, which was also recommended by the Congestion Management Program Technical Advisory Committee, on the Shuttle One Call for Projects by C/CAG and SMCTA. This is the first time C/CAG and SMCTA combine both agencies' shuttle funds into one single call for projects. It is proposed to be a two-year cycle with approximately \$7 million. Of that, \$6 million will come from SMCTA Measure A, \$1 million will come from C/CAG Congestion Relief Fund. A workshop will be held on March 21, 2012 for perspective applicants.

Member Bigelow introduced John Ford, new Executive Director for the Peninsula Traffic Congestion Relief Alliance, who will be a key person to shuttle programs/projects.

CMEQ members requested to add "accommodate bicycles" to the scoring criteria.

Motion: To recommend approval of the Call for Projects for the C/CAG and SMCTA shuttle program for FY 2012/13 & FY 2013/14, with corrections, Bigelow/O'Connell. Motion carried unanimously.

6. Review and recommend approval of the FY 2012/13 Expenditure Plan for TFCA County Program Manager Fund

Tom Madalena presented the staff recommendation for the TFCA County Program Manager Fund for fiscal year 2012/13. Approximately \$1,038,000 is available. The two recommended programs to be submitted to the Air District for funding are: the SamTrans Shuttle Program and the Alliance Countywide Voluntary Trip Reduction Program. Both projects must meet the Air District's cost effective requirements.

Motion: To recommend approval of the FY 2012/13 Expenditure Plan for TFCA County Program Manager Fund, Richardson/Bigelow. Motion carried unanimously.

7. Review and recommend approval of the Pre-Tax commute benefit model ordinance.

Sandy Wong presented the revised final draft pre-tax commute benefit model ordinance, which incorporated changes requested by the CMEQ committee at previous meeting. Upon CMEQ recommendation, this final draft will be forwarded to the C/CAG Board for approval. Sandy was also pleased that the new Alliance Executive Director, John Ford, is on board with this model ordinance since he will be a key person on the implementation.

Member Bigelow, who has conducted two rounds of outreach to the business sector with help from former Alliance Executive Director Christine Grubl, reported on the last round of outreach to businesses and chambers of commerce and SamCEDA, etc. There was no negative comment received. Many of the business entities are interested in going green by helping employees drive less. In addition, with the gas price going up, this is a real incentive. Member Bigelow also explained that at this time, Congress did not extend the limit to \$230 per month benefit cap, hence, it will go back to the \$125 per month limit.

Motion: To recommend approval of the Pre-Tax commute benefit model ordinance, Pierce/O'Connell. Motion carried unanimously.

8.	Executive	Director	Report.
		_ 11 00001	Tropores

None.

9. Member comments and announcements.

None.

10. Adjournment and establishment of next meeting date.

The next regular meeting was scheduled for March 26, 2012.

Meeting was adjourned at 4:10 pm.

	CMEQ 2	CMEQ 2012 Attendance Record				
Name						
	Jan 30	Feb 27				
Arthur Lloyd	Yes	Yes				
Barbara Pierce	Yes	Yes				
Gina Papan	Yes					
Irene O'Connell	Yes	Yes				
Jim Bigelow	Yes	Yes				
Kevin Mullin		Yes				
Lennie Roberts	Yes	Yes				
Nadia Holober	Yes	Yes				
Naomi Patridge	Yes	Yes				
Onnolee Trapp	Yes	Yes				
Richard Garbarino	Yes	Yes				
Sepi Richardson		Yes				
Steve Dworetzky		Yes				
Zoe Kersteen- Tucker						
Vacant						
Other attendees at the Feb	ruary 27, 2012 i	meeting:				
S Wong, JHoang, TMadale	na - C/CAG					
John Ford - Peninsula Traffi	c Congestion Re	lief Alliance				
Mefula Fairley - County Off	ice of Education					
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					-	
				-		

C/CAG AGENDA REPORT

Date:

April 30, 2012

To:

Congestion Management and Environmental Quality (CMEQ) Committee

From:

John Hoang

Subject:

Measure M Annual Program Update

(For further information contact John Hoang at 363-4105)

RECOMMENDATION

That the CMEQ Committee receive the Measure M Annual Program update.

FISCAL IMPACT

Approximately \$6.7 million annually

SOURCE OF FUNDS

Measure M - \$10 Vehicle Registration Fee (VRF)

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION

The C/CAG sponsored Measure M, approved by the voters of San Mateo County in 2010, impose an annual fee of ten dollars (\$10) on motor vehicles registered in San Mateo County for transportation-related traffic congestion and water pollution mitigation programs. The revenue is estimated at \$6.7 million annually over a 25 year period. Per the Expenditure Plan, 50% of the net proceeds will be allocated to cities/County for local streets and roads and 50% will be used for countywide transportation programs such as transit operations, regional traffic congestion management, water pollution prevention, and safe routes to school.

A 5-Year Implementation Plan, approved by the C/CAG Board on March 10, 2011, established the percentage breakdown and estimated revenue for the respective categories and programs as follows:

	Category / Programs	Allocation	Annual Revenue (Million)	5-Year Revenue (Million)
•	Program Administration	5%	\$0.34	\$1.70
•	Local Streets and Roads	50% of net revenue	\$3.18	\$15.90
•	Transit Operations and/or Senior Transportation*	22%	\$1.40	\$7.00
٠	Intelligent Transportation System (ITS) and Smart Corridors*	10%	\$0.64	\$3.18
X	Safe Routes to Schools (SR2S)*	6%	\$0.38	\$1.90
•	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) and Municipal Regional Permit (MRP)*	12%	\$0.76	\$3.82
		Total	\$6.70	\$33.50

^{*} Countywide Transportation Programs (50% of net revenue)

The allocations for the Countywide Transportation Programs are derived based on anticipated needs and estimated implementation cost to fund each respective programs and projects, annually and over the 5-Year implementation period. It is the intent that each Countywide Transportation programs and projects will be evaluated at the end of each year to determine whether the initial funding level (allocations) was adequate or whether it requires adjustments based on the actual expenditures incurred during the previous year.

The Measure M Annual Program Update for 2012 is attached.

ATTACHMENTS

- Measure M Annual Program Update - April 2012

MEASURE M - \$10 VEHICLE REGISTRATION FEE

ANNUAL PROGRAM UPDATE

April 2012

REVENUE

Collection of the \$10 Vehicle Registration Fee (VRF) commenced in May 2011. The annual program budget estimates about \$6.7M in revenue. The Fiscal Year 2011-12 includes the fees collected in May and June 2011 therefore the total revenue for the fiscal year will be higher. Five percent (5%) of the revenues are allocated for Program Administration off the top with the net amount distributed to the Local Streets and Roads and Countywide Transportation Programs. The following table summarizes the revenue collected as of April 3, 2012, and distribution amounts to the various program categories.

REVENUE	Total to Date		
AND SETS WORD TO THE MEDICAL SETS OF THE		(Maril	The state of
Total VRF Collected		\$	5,048,702.91
DMV fees		\$	(57,596.45)
To C/CAG		\$	4,991,106.46
	(99025)(5)	125	
DISTRIBUTION		76	
Program Administration	5%	\$	249,555.32
Net Available		\$	4,741,551.14
Local Streets and Roads	50%	\$	2,370,775.57
Traffic Congestion			
Stormwater Pollution Prevention			
Countywide Transportation Programs	50%	\$	2,370,775.57
Transit Operations/Senior Programs	22%	\$	1,043,141.25
ITS / Smart Corridors	10%	\$	474,155.11
Safe Routes to School	6%	\$	284,493.07
NPDES and MRP admin and projects	12%	\$	568,986.14
Total		\$	4,741,551.14

The total revenue indicated above is for vehicle registration fees collected only and does not include any interest income that has accrued during this period. The DMV fees, which are recurring administration fees, include the initial \$55,072.30 set-up cost.

DISTRIBUTION

Program Administration

Funds allocated for administration pays for program management and administration. The funds are also used to reimburse the cost of the November 2, 2010, election and cost of setting up the process for collection of the \$10 motor vehicle registration fee. The cost for the San Mateo County Assessors Election Office was \$549,527.25. That cost was paid for by AB1546 (\$4 VRF) funds therefore will be repaid to the AB1546 account at the end of the fiscal year.

Local Streets and Roads

For the Fiscal Year 2011-12, an allocation in the amount of \$2,113,377.73 was issued to the local jurisdictions in February 2012. Funds for this initial allocation were collected during the period from May 2011 to December 2011 (or 1st Half FY 2011-12).

Juris diction	% of Total Allocation*	FY 2012 1st Half		
ATHERTON	2.36%	\$	49,804.66	
BELMONT	3.29%	\$	69,443.90	
BRISBANE	2.36%	\$	49,804.66	
BURLINGAME	3.95%	\$	83,451.06	
COLMA	2.36%	\$	49,804.66	
DALY CITY	9.62%	\$	203,202.34	
EAST PALO ALTO	3.06%	\$	64,709.09	
FOSTER CITY	3.12%	\$	65,892.80	
HALF MOON BAY	2.36%	\$	49,804.66	
HILLSBOROUGH	2.81%	\$	59,382.43	
MENLO PARK	4.50%	\$	95,023.98	
MILLBRAE	2.74%	\$	57,804.16	
PACIFICA	4.84%	\$	102,193.02	
PORTOLA VALLEY	2.36%	\$	49,804.66	
REDWOOD CITY	8.82%	\$	186,433.21	
SAN BRUNO	4.76%	\$	100,614.75	
SAN CARLOS	4.03%	\$	85,226.61	
SAN MATEO	11.02%	\$	232,794.91	
SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO	7.17%	\$	151,513.97	
WOODSIDE	2.36%	\$	49,804.66	
SAN MATEO COUNTY	12.15%	\$	256,863.53	
Total	100%	\$ 2	,113,377.73	

Future biennial allocations will be for funds collected for 6-month periods, from July to December and January to June. The next allocation (2nd Half FY 201-12) will be issued in September 2012.

Countywide Transportation Programs

Transit Operations/Senior Mobility Programs

The San Mateo County Transit District (SamTrans) will be using Measure M funding to cover costs related to paratransit (disabled and senior) service provided by SamTrans. For FY 2011-12, SamTrans' total paratransit budget is \$14M. The programs under consideration for FY 2011-12 are Senior Mobility and RediWheels. The two programs are described as follows.

The Senior Mobility Program provides the following services:

- o Community Transit promote/coordinate community shuttles
- o Community-Based Transportation provide rides through a network of coordinated transportation providers and maximize existing vehicle resources
- o Encouraging Use of Transit provide through volunteer Mobility Ambassadors
- o Information and Assistance provide guides, mobility assessments and trip planning, and older driver safety programs
- o Taxicab Services promote acquisition of accessible taxi vehicles
- o Walking promote improvements to remove barriers to pedestrian activities by older adults

The RediWheels program is a fixed-route paratransit service for persons with disabilities who cannot independently use regular SamTrans bus service. The RediWheels service is provided on the bayside of the County (RediCoast on the coast side). SamTrans offers paratransit customers a financial incentive to use the services by allowing ADA (American with Disabilities Act) certified customers and personal care attendants to ride all regular fixed-route SamTrans trip without paying a fare.

A funding agreement is being developed between C/CAG and SamTrans for approximately \$1.4M annually, providing \$125,000 for Senior Mobility and \$1.2M for RediWheels. C/CAG is working with SamTrans to execute the funding agreement this quarter. Payment for the programs described above will be on a reimbursement basis for expense incurred during FY 2011-12.

Intelligent Transportation System (ITS)/Smart Corridors

Funds are being accumulated under this program category to be used for the San Mateo County Smart Corridors project construction and maintenance in addition to funding other countywide ITS projects.

The Smart Corridors project deploys and integrates ITS elements, including communication network, signal system upgrade, signage and close circuit cameras along state routes (El Camino Real) and major local streets enabling Caltrans and local cities to implement strategies to manage recurring and non-recurring traffic congestion to reduce delays and improve mobility. The project is located from I-380 to the Santa Clara County line and includes local arterials connecting US 101 and SR 82 (El Camino Real).

Of the current \$33M budget for the remaining construction phases, approximately \$3.5M is budgeted as local funds, which is provided through a combination of AB1546 (\$4 VRF) and Measure M. These local funds are used as leverage for additional funds. Construction of the Smart Corridors is expected to be completed in April 2013. An annual maintenance program will be developed for the Smart Corridors.

For other ITS projects in the County, an assessment will be performed before the end of the fiscal year to prioritize needs for ITS for San Mateo County for the next year and beyond.

Safe Routes to School (SR2S)

The San Mateo County Safe Routes to School (SR2S) program is a new countywide effort to promote activities that increase the number of students walking, biking and carpooling to schools as ways of promoting students' health and fitness, in addition to reducing traffic congestion around schools and improving air quality. The program focuses on non-infrastructure project outreach activities such as education, encouragement, and evaluation.

The San Mateo County Office of Education (COE) is managing the San Mateo County SR2S program on behalf of C/CAG. Work on the program officially commenced in July 2011. During the first half of FY 11-12, COE staff performed outreach to various school districts, cities, and other agencies throughout the county providing information regarding type of projects available to schools and funding process.

The SR2S program is established as a non-competitive grant program. Up to \$15,000 has been set aside per school. A system for implementing grants and action plans was established that require schools and districts to develop action plans to address their needs and followed up by submitting proposed projects to support their plans. Proposals are reviewed by the COE and revised accordingly prior to approval. Once the project is approved for funding, schools are required to enter into contracts with COE.

As of February 2012, 39 schools (1 private school) and seven districts have participated in the grant program with the majority of the projects involving performance of systematic walking and bicycle audits to assess conditions, identify priority needs/issues, and develop recommendations. The audits also engage students, parent leaders, school officials, and other community members and inform about traffic, safety and environmental issues related to the schools.

Two committees have been established to oversee and guide the development of the SR2S Program. The Policy Advisory Committee comprises of COE, C/CAG, County Health System, school officials, cities, and other interested parties. This committee guides the development and implementation of the SR2S Program. An Operations Committee comprises of officials from schools that are participating in the program, COE, and C/CAG and serves as a forum to discuss specific project performance and issues. The committees meet once every quarter. The next meetings are scheduled for May 2012.

The current San Mateo County SR2S Program is a 2-Year (FY 11-12 to FY 12-13) \$2M program, funded by \$1.42M STP/CMAQ with the remaining from Measure M. The next step will be to work with COE to evaluate FY 11-12 program at the conclusion of the school year and plan for the FY 12-13 program.

National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES)/Municipal Regional Permit (MRP)

Funds accumulating under this program category are designated for pollution mitigation programs and projects, as allowed under Measure M's authorizing legislation, Government Code Section 65089.20. C/CAG staff is working with legal counsel to develop a revised Expenditure Plan for C/CAG Board consideration that would allow unrestricted use of this category of funds for all mandated compliance activities in the Municipal Regional Permit (MRP). This represents a significant change from the \$4 VRF, which was more restricted by its authorizing legislation to programs and projects that directly addressed the pollution impacts from vehicles and transportation infrastructure. Should the C/CAG

Board adopt a revised Expenditure Plan allowing unrestricted use of these funds for MRP compliance, these funds would be directed toward countywide compliance activities through C/CAG's Countywide Water Pollution Prevention Program. Approval of unrestricted use of these would also allow the local jurisdictions to use any portion of their annual allocations under the Local Streets and Roads portion of funding for MRP compliance activities, rather than just specific activities such as street sweeping or catch basin inlet cleaning, as is the current practice with the \$4 VRF.

In the event there is an accumulation of Measure M funds in this program category that are not needed for MRP compliance activities, C/CAG staff anticipates using the funds to either further expand C/CAG's Green Streets and Parking Lots Program or to assist local jurisdictions with MRP compliance efforts, such as providing funding for trash capture devices. Determining whether surplus funds are available will likely not be possible until the MRP is reissued and C/CAG can estimate countywide compliance costs for the next five-year permit term. C/CAG staff anticipates, however, using accumulated \$4 VRF for these types of programs where a clear nexus to pollution impacts from vehicles and transportation infrastructure can be shown.

C/CAG AGENDA REPORT

Date:

April 30, 2012

To:

Congestion Management and Environmental Quality Committee (CMEQ)

From:

C/CAG CMP Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)

Subject:

Review and recommend approval of projects to be funded by the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) under the Cycle 3 Lifeline Transportation

Program for a total amount of \$3,000,198.

(For further information or questions contact Jean Higaki at 650-599-1462)

RECOMMENDATION

That the CMEQ review and recommend approval of the projects to be funded by the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) under the Cycle 3 Lifeline Transportation Program for a total amount of \$3,000,198.

FISCAL IMPACT

\$3,000,198 is available in State Transit Assistance (STA), Surface Transportation Program (STP), and Job Access and Reverse Commute (JARC) funds.

SOURCE OF FUNDS

The State and Federal funding sources include State Transit Assistance (STA), Surface Transportation Program (STP), and Job Access and Reverse Commute (JARC) funds.

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION

The Lifeline Transportation program is an MTC program that C/CAG administers for San Mateo County. The purpose this program is to fund projects or fill needs identified through the community-based transportation planning efforts that improve the mobility of low-income residents. A call for projects was issued on January 3, 2012 and applications were due on February 17, 2012.

For this cycle, twenty applications were received. The program was oversubscribed with \$5,433,466 being requested and approximately \$3,000,198 available. There is a 20% or 50% local match required, depending on the fund source and project type, and the sponsor agency must be able to receive state or federal funds. Project sponsors who are not eligible to receive state or federal funds require a pass*through agreement with an eligible entity.

For the selection of projects, C/CAG staff organized a selection committee composed of Juda Tolmasoff from the County Legislative office, Corinne Goodrich from San Mateo Transit District, Cathleen Baker from the MTC Policy Advisory Council, Drennen Shelton from MTC, and Tom Madalena from C/CAG. This committee convened on March 15, 2012 to finalize scoring of the applications and to identify the best-fit of fund sources to projects. The committee recommended fully funding eight projects and partially funding five projects. All funds were exhausted.

There is a possibility that a small amount of additional STA funds may be made available to the lifeline program (approximately \$85,000). If that occurs, the panel recommended fully funding the North Fair Oaks On-Demand Shuttle and the Menlo Park Belle Haven Community shuttle. Any remaining funds would be directed to transit capital related components of the City of San Mateo North Central Infrastructure improvement project.

The attached funding recommendation was presented to the TAC committee on April 19, 2012 and recommended for approval. This proposal will be presented to the C/CAG board for approval in May. Once approved, the recommendation will be sent to MTC for adoption in late June.

For JARC and STP funded projects, MTC will allocate funding or execute funding agreements with each project sponsor based the identified funding source. For STA funded projects, pass through funding agreements will be executed between SamTrans and the project sponsor. As administrator, C/CAG staff will be responsible for reviewing quarterly reports and invoices submitted by the project sponsors, prior to reimbursement by MTC or SamTrans.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Cycle 3 Lifeline Transportation Program Funding Recommendation

Proposed Cycle 3 Lifeline Transportation Program

roject ixed Route 17 /ays to Work Auto Loans for purchase or	STA funds	STP funds				
			JARC funds	Funded	Requested	Notes
vays to yyork Auto Loans for burchase or - 1	\$407,048			\$407,048	\$407,048	
pair of vehicles.			\$375,000	\$375,000	\$375,000	
liddlefield/ Wooside Rd (SR 84) Intersection inprovements		\$339,924		\$339,924	\$500,000	
orth Central Ped Infrastructure		\$339.924				
oast Service On-Demand	\$300,000	7.2.2,0				
rovide Bus passes and tickets for low come families	\$300,000					Pass through needed
ommunity Learning Center Public ransportation Workshops	\$210,000			\$210,000	\$210,000	Pass through needed
her communities	\$204,253			\$204.253	\$258,000	Pass through needed
	\$204,253					Pass through needed
			\$123,368			i dos trirodgii necaca
eekday Evening Shuttle						
			\$59,557			
ental School Shuttle Transporation				\$0 \$0	\$460,000	-
ke/ Ped Improvements on Old County Road etween southern city limit and Ralston.				\$0	\$245,000	
				\$0	\$220,000	
				\$0	\$135,344	
				\$0	\$92,300	
ansportation to School Farm				\$0	\$45,000	
o ni o co ca	orth Central Ped Infrastructure provements past Service On-Demand povide Bus passes and tickets for low prome families promunity Learning Center Public passportation Workshops dday Shuttle Belle Haven Community and per communities part Fair Oaks On-Demand Shuttle pekday Community Shuttle pekday Evening Shuttle povide Taxi Vouchers for low income pagram participants pekend Shuttle pasit Corridor Ped and Bike Connection ped Route 281 participants pekend Shuttle Transporation peter Ped Improvements on Old County Road per Ped Improvements on Old County Road per Shuttle per Shuttle Siday Shopper Shuttle ped Shuttle ped Shuttle Shuttle Shuttle ped Shuttle	provements past Service On-Demand povide Bus passes and tickets for low prome families prome fam	with Central Ped Infrastructure provements past Service On-Demand poide Bus passes and tickets for low prome families promunity Learning Center Public passportation Workshops diday Shuttle Belle Haven Community and per communities promunities promunity Shuttle pekday Community Shuttle pekday Evening Shuttle provide Taxi Vouchers for low income pagram participants pekend Shuttle provide Taxi Vouchers for low income pagram participants pekend Shuttle provide Taxi Vouchers for low income pagram participants pekend Shuttle provide Taxi Vouchers for low income pagram participants pekend Shuttle provide Taxi Vouchers for low income pagram participants pekend Shuttle provide Taxi Vouchers for low income pagram participants pekend Shuttle provide Taxi Vouchers for low income pagram participants pekend Shuttle provide Taxi Vouchers for low income pagram participants pekend Shuttle provide Taxi Vouchers for low income pagram participants pekend Shuttle provide Taxi Vouchers for low income pagram participants pekend Shuttle provide Taxi Vouchers for low income pagram participants pekend Shuttle provide Taxi Vouchers for low income pagram participants pekend Shuttle provide Taxi Vouchers for low income pagram participants pekend Shuttle provide Taxi Vouchers for low income pagram participants pekend Shuttle provide Taxi Vouchers for low income pagram participants pekend Shuttle provide Taxi Vouchers for low income pagram participants pekend Shuttle provide Taxi Vouchers for low income pagram participants pekend Shuttle provide Taxi Vouchers for low income pagram participants pekend Shuttle provide Taxi Vouchers for low income pagram participants pekend Shuttle provide Taxi Vouchers for low income pagram participants pekend Shuttle provide Taxi Vouchers for low income pagram participants pekend Shuttle provide Taxi Vouchers for low income pagram participants pekend Shuttle provide Taxi Vouchers for low income pagram participants pekend Shuttle provide Taxi Vouchers for low income pagram participants pekend Shuttle provide	with Central Ped Infrastructure provements wast Service On-Demand worde Bus passes and tickets for low come families sansportation Workshops diday Shuttle Belle Haven Community and mer communities with Fair Oaks On-Demand Shuttle sekday Community Shuttle sekday Evening Shuttle sekday Evening Shuttle sovide Taxi Vouchers for low income ogram participants sekend Shuttle s	th Central Ped Infrastructure provements asst Service On-Demand some families come families ansportation Workshops day Shuttle Belle Haven Community and are communities are communities are community Shuttle beekday Community Shuttle seekday Evening Shuttle are taxi Vouchers for low income agram participants are Route 281 antal School Shuttle Transporation ass III Bike Routes throughout the City and the community and state and shuttle ass III Bike Routes throughout the City and the community shuttle ass III Bike Routes throughout the City and the community shuttle and the community	Sample S

Available Source \$	\$1,625,554	\$679,848	\$694,796	\$3,000,198	\$5,433,466
Sum of awarded funds	\$1,625,554	\$679,848	\$694,796	\$3,000,198	
Left over \$	0	0	0	0	