## CITY/COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS OF SAN MATEO COUNTY (C/CAG) TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE PROGRAM CALL FOR PROJECTS FISCAL YEAR 2017/2018

## SCORING SHEET

Applicant Agency:		Rater Name:		
I. Project Title:		Project type: (check one)  Capital Planning		
II. Project Screening:				
a. Eligible jurisdiction: City, County of San Mateo, or joint powers agency in San Mateo County		☐ Yes	□ No	
b. Meets applicable Caltrans standards		☐ Yes or NA	□ No	
c. CEQA approval, if applicable		☐ Yes or NA	□ No	
d. BAC established or in progress		☐ Yes	□ No	
			n · ·	n · .
	Scale	Maximum	Points	Points Assigned
III. Clear and Complete Prop	osal	1		
a. Degree to which proposal is clear and complete	0 = Incomplete description, missing documentation 1-5 = Clear project description 5-10 = Clear and complete scope and documentation	10 Max. 10		
	Subtotal:			
IV. State of Readiness Fo	or Capital Projects only: (Note: if Exempt or No	t Applicable eligible	for full points)	
a. Right-of-Way degree to which R.O.W. is secured	0 = R.O.W. not certified, not started 1-2 = R.O.W. partially secured 3 = R.O.W. certification complete	3		
b. Permits obtained degree to which permits are in place	0 = No agreements or permits in place 1-3 = Some permits in place 4 = All permits and agreements complete	4		
c. Design status: degree to which design is complete	0 = Design not started 1 - 3 = Design in progress 4 = Design complete	4		
	Subtotal: Max.		10	
V. Community Support a	nd Local Match For all projects types:			
a. Project supported by	0 = No support			
BAC or other group(s)	1 - 5 = Support from other groups 6 - 10 = Support from BAC and group(s)	10		
b. Local Cash Match	0 = 0% match 6 = 30% match 2 = 10% match 8 = 40% match 4 = 20% match 10 = 50% match	10		
	Subtotal	Max	20	

	Scale	Max Points Capital	Max Points Planning	Points Assigned	
.VI. Meets Program Objective	28		<b>.</b>	<u> </u>	
For All Projects:  a. Project Need: Degree to which problems, need, and issues are described, urgent and documented	0 = No need demonstrated 1-5 = Moderate description of need or problem 6-10 = Documented need, data cited 11-20 = Effective strategy	20			
b. Score reflects how many and how well the following items are addressed:  Vision/Mission Statement Budget and tasks Schedule Attainable goals/metrics Outreach methods Data collection/evaluation Specific improvements Programs/Initiatives Format and Readability Multi-Modal/Complete Streets Concepts	Add up to 5 points for each item addressed in list at left using the following scale:  1-2 point = briefly addressed 3-4 points = adequately addressed 5 points = addressed well, in detail		50		
<ul> <li>c. For Capital Projects</li> <li>Only (c – h):</li> <li>Safety: degree of</li> <li>reduction in injury risk</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>0 = no documentation of risk reduction</li> <li>1 - 3 = Moderate collision risk reduction</li> <li>4 - 7 = Documented crash risk reduction</li> <li>8 - 10 = Severe injury crash history,</li> <li>effective strategy</li> </ul>	10			
d. High use activity centers	0 = no activity centers in proximity 2 - 3 = moderate number of activity centers accessed, or trips served 4 -5 = high number of activity centers and trips served	5			
e. Pedestrian facility	0 = does not provide pedestrian facility 5 = provides a pedestrian facility	5			
f. Transportation purpose	0 = facility serves recreational uses exclusively 1 - 2 = serves mainly recreational uses 3 - 4 = serves both transportation and recreation purposes 5 = serves mainly transportation trips	5			
g. Connection to network	0 = does not connect to network 1 -2 = connects to local network 3 = connects to regional network	5			
h. Consistent with plans	0 = not included in local or regional plans 1-4 = included in some local plans 5-8 = priority in some local plans 9-10 = included in CBPP regional plan	10			
	Subtotal:	Max. 60	Max. 70		
*C   D   .   .   .   .	Total Score: (Maximum total points: 100) bital Projects are highlighted in Orange and				