Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee (BPAC) Meeting Minutes February 28, 2013

1. Call to Order

Chair Schmidt called the Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee (BPAC) Meeting to order at 7:02 pm.

Members Present:

Cathy Baylock, Karyl Matsumoto, Ken Ibarra, Marge Colapietro, Naomi Patridge, Joel Slavit, Frank Markowitz, Jeffrey Tong, Aaron Faupell, Norm Picker

Members Absent:

Matt Grocott, Ian Bain, Len Stone, Andrew Boone

Staff/Guests Attending:

Sandy Wong, Tom Madalena, Cathleen Baker, Colin Heyne, Kenneth Chin, Susan Wheeler, Robert Ovadia

2. Public Comment On Items Not On The Agenda

None.

3. Minutes of January 24, 2013 Meeting

Motion: Member Baylock moved/member Ibarra seconded approval of the January 24, 2013 minutes. Motion carried unanimously with member Colapietro abstaining.

4. Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvement Program (BPIP) project scoring and ranking and recommendation of a final project list for the C/CAG Board of Directors for funding

BPAC members provided scores and discussed the merits of the candidate projects. Member Baylock motioned and member Tong seconded a motion to fund the top 7 projects.

No	Jurisdiction	Project Description	\$ Requested
1	Redwood City	Streetscape Project	\$1,000,000
2	Daly City	Geneva Ave.	\$318,600
3	County of San Mateo	Semicircular Road	\$319,658
4	Daly City	Westmoor to Guadalupe	\$274,000
5	Burlingame	Carolan Ave.	\$986,000
6	Menlo Park - Atherton	Bike Ped Improvements	\$796,770
7	Belmont	Old County Road	\$270,000
8	San Bruno	College Drive	\$250,000

Motion carried with members Matsumoto, Slavit and Markowitz voting no.

5. Discussion on Future Call for Projects

Sandy Wong, C/CAG Executive Director, presented this information item to the BPAC. Sandy explained the differences between the three bicycle and pedestrian funding sources administered in San Mateo County. There were challenges with the last round which was a joint call for projects with the San Mateo County Transportation Authority (TA). It was mentioned that C/CAG and the TA have different governing boards and there were challenges when it came to actually implementing the joint call between the two agencies. For the future staff suggests to have alternating yearly calls where C/CAG would move forward with Transportation Development Act Article 3 funds as it has in the past. The TA would also move forward with their own process and C/CAG would assist the TA and coordinate with the TA as necessary. There was much discussion over the concern with the BPAC not being utilized for scoring and ranking San Mateo County Transportation Authority projects. The BPAC directed staff to relay that concern to the TA.

6. Member Communications

Member Markowitz mentioned that since it has been two years since the Comprehensive Bicycle and Pedestrian plan was completed that maybe we could have a workshop to show what has been accomplished.

Member Matsumoto asked to have an emphasis placed upon pedestrians for future funding cycles.

Chair Schmidt mentioned that the San Mateo County Board of Supervisors are considering providing funding for a bicycle and pedestrian coordinator.

7. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 8:50 pm.