

C/CAG

CITY/COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS OF SAN MATEO COUNTY

*Atherton • Belmont • Brisbane • Burlingame • Colma • Daly City • East Palo Alto • Foster City • Half Moon Bay • Hillsborough • Menlo Park
Millbrae • Pacifica • Portola Valley • Redwood City • San Bruno • San Carlos • San Mateo • San Mateo County • South San Francisco • Woodside*

AGENDA

The next meeting of the
BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE (BPAC)
will be as follows.

Date: Thursday, October 22, 2015

7:00 p.m.

Place: San Mateo City Hall
330 West 20th Avenue,
San Mateo, California
Conference Room C

PLEASE CALL ELLEN BARTON (599-1420) IF YOU ARE UNABLE TO ATTEND.

- | | | | |
|----|--|---|------------------------|
| 1. | Call To Order | Action
(Horsley) | |
| 2. | Public Comment On Items Not On The Agenda | Presentations are
limited to 3 minutes
per speaker. | |
| 3. | Minutes of the March 26, 2015 Meeting | Action
(Horsley) | Pages 1-4 |
| 4. | Presentation on the Silicon Valley Bicycle
Coalition Vision Zero initiative | Information
(SVBC Staff) | Page 5 |
| 5. | INomination and appointment of a BPAC member
to the San Mateo County Transportation Authority
Measure A Bicycle and Pedestrian Program
evaluation panel | Action
(Barton) | Page 7 |
| 6. | Update on the Metropolitan Transportation
Commission (MTC) OneBayArea Grant program
(OBAG) – Cycle 2 | Information
(Wong) | Verbal
Presentation |
| 7. | Update on Plan Bay Area 2040 | Information
(Wong) | Verbal
Presentation |
| 8. | Receive an informational update on the statewide
and regional Active Transportation Program –
Cycle 2 grant program | Information
(Barton) | Pages 9-30 |

- | | | | |
|-----|---|--------------------------|-------------|
| 8. | Presentation on establishment of a San Mateo County Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee | Information
(Barton) | Pages 31-32 |
| 9. | Member Communications | Information
(Horsley) | |
| 10. | Adjournment | Action
(Horsley) | |

NOTE: All items appearing on the agenda are subject to action by the Committee. Actions recommended by staff are subject to change by the Committee.

Other enclosures/Correspondence

- None.

If you have any questions regarding the C/CAG Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee Meeting Agenda, please contact Ellen Barton at (650) 599-1420.

NOTE: Persons with disabilities who require auxiliary aids or services in attending and participating in this meeting should contact the C/CAG Administrator at 650 599-1406, five working days prior to the meeting date.

The following BPAC meeting will be held on Thursday January 28th, 2016.

**City/County Association of Governments
of San Mateo County (C/CAG)**

**Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee (BPAC)
Meeting Minutes
March 26, 2015**

Members present:

Marge Colapietro	Eric Reed
Don Horsley	Karen Ervin
Karyl Matsumoto	Julia Dzierwa
Andrew Boone	Daina Lujan
Frank Markowitz	Matthew Self
Jeffrey Tong	Marina Fraser

Members absent:

Lawrence May	Ken Ibarra
--------------	------------

Public Attendees:

Emma Shlaes, Silicon Valley Bicycle Coalition.
Mario Ung, City of San Mateo
Van Ocampo, City of Pacifica
Paul Krupka, City of Redwood City
Cecily Harris, San Mateo County Parks Department
Bob Page, Resident
Ryan Marquez, City of Pacifica

Staff Attending:

Ellen Barton, C/CAG
Tom Madalena, C/CAG
Sandy Wong, C/CAG

1. Call to Order

Chair Horsley called the meeting to order at 7:04 pm.

2. Public Comment On Items Not On the Agenda

There were no public comments.

3. Minutes of the February 26, 2015 Meeting

*Motion: Member Lujan moved/member Self seconded approval of the February 26, 2015 minutes.
Motion carried unanimously.*

4. Highway 101/ Woodside Road Interchange Project Presentation

Paul Krupka, representing the City of Redwood City, presented information about the bicycle and pedestrian elements included in the proposed re-design of the Highway 101 and Woodside Road interchange. Redwood City is the project sponsor and is currently in the project approval and environmental document phase. Release of the environmental document is expected in September

2015. The consultant developed about a dozen design concepts for consideration. With public input, the City has narrowed the designs under consideration to two basic alternatives (Alternative 3 and Alternative 8) with variations on those (Alternative 3A, 3B, and 8A). The current interchange has no facilities for walking or bicycling access. Alternatives under consideration for the re-designed interchange all incorporate a separate multi-use trail facility on a former rail right-of-way from Broadway to Bloomquist along with other facilities for walking and cycling.

Sidewalks are to be included on all of the re-designed Woodside Road segments. Bike lanes or shoulders are included to create a connected route through the interchange. Alternatives include either a round-about or a diverging diamond interchange design. The diverging diamond concept is relatively new and is designed to direct motor traffic flows to stop at two new signalized intersections in order to allow traffic to cross over opposing traffic and flow more directly onto on-ramps. Pedestrian travelers are directed to a walkway located in the median between the traffic lanes, accessed via signalized crosswalks at either end. The roundabout includes crosswalks.

This information is provided to the BPAC in order to ensure that bicycle and pedestrian interest groups understand the project elements that serve walking and cycling trips, and have an opportunity to comment.

5. Transportation Development Act Article 3 FY 15/16 Project Scoring

The committee members were asked to provide scores for each of the projects for tabulation and averaging. Scoring results are shown in the preliminary table on following page. Prior to beginning the scoring process, committee members requested clarification on four questions:

1. The committee was asked to decide whether the scores of an absent member should be included in the tabulation. Lawrence May was unable to attend the meeting but submitted his scores prior to the meeting. By a show of hands, the members decided not to include the scores of absent members.
2. The committee asked for clarification on the eligibility of projects which had not completed the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) documentation. C/CAG staff clarified that proposals requesting funding for the design phase of a construction project are eligible for funding according to Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) guidelines, and design-phase projects are not required to have CEQA documentation.
3. The committee asked for clarification on whether maintenance projects were eligible for funding. MTC guidelines allow “maintenance of a multi-purpose path” as one of the eligible categories for these funds.
4. The committee was asked to decide whether to consider a project which has not secured the required cash match. Committee members agree to score the project and require the applicant to provide documentation of cash match prior to the C/CAG Board meeting. In the event that documentation is not provided, the funds would be made available to the next highest scoring project.

Committee members had an opportunity to discuss each project and to adjust their scores if desired. Based on the total and average of all scores, a ranked list of projects was created (see table below). The four highest-scoring capital projects were fully funded and the fifth project was partially funded, The one bicycle education project was conditionally funded from the planning/education set-aside funds, provided that match documentation was forthcoming.

TDA Article 3 Program Fiscal Year 2015/2016 Project Scoring
 from BPAC as of March 26, 2015 (subject to C/CAG Board approval)

Rank	Score	Jurisdiction	Capital Project Description	Funding Request	Funding Recommendation	Project Type
1	81.1	City of San Mateo	San Mateo Drive Ped and Bike Improvement Project	\$400,000	\$400,000	Capital
2	73.5	City of San Carlos	Highway 101 Pedestrian and Bicycle Overcrossing	\$400,000	\$400,000	Capital
3	72.2	City of South San Francisco	Linden Avenue Complete Streets Pedestrian and Bicycle Safety	\$400,000	\$400,000	Capital
4	70.8	Town of Atherton	Middlefield Road and Oak Grove Avenue Complete Streets	\$124,200	\$124,200	Capital
5	70	City of Daly City	Westmoor Avenue to Guadalupe Parkway Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements	\$277,000	\$154,750	Capital*
6	70	City of South San Francisco	Hickey Boulevard and Junipero Serra Boulevard Pedestrian Improvements	\$100,000	\$0	Capital
7	68.8	County of San Mateo - Parks	Complete the Gap Crystal Springs Regional Trail	\$100,000	\$0	Capital
8	64.4	City of East Palo Alto	Garden Street Safe Routes to School Improvements	\$332,550	\$0	Capital
9	64.3	Town of Atherton	City-wide Class III Bike Routes	\$50,000	\$0	Capital
10	62.58	County of San Mateo - Public Works	Sand Hill Road/ I-280 Bicycle Lane Improvements	\$150,000	\$0	Capital
11	59.9	City of Pacifica	Rockaway Beach to Pacifica State Beach Class 1 Multi-purpose Trail Rehabilitation Project	\$250,000	\$0	Capital
12	55.8	Town of Woodside	Woodside School Multi-use Pathway	\$195,000	\$0	Capital
13	50.8	Town of Hillsborough	Eucalyptus Trail Project	\$400,000	\$0	Capital
Rank	Score	Jurisdiction	Planning/Education Project Description	Funding Request	Funding Recommendation	Project Type
1	67.2	County of San Mateo - Sustainability	Bicycle Routes and Rules	\$21,050	\$21,050	Education**
Total Amount Requested				\$3,199,800		
Total Funding Recommendation					\$1,500,000	

* Partially Funded

** Conditionally recommended Note: The BPAC recommended funding for this project contingent on the County securing the required cash match for the grant funds.

Motion: Member Colapietro moved/member Fraser seconded approval of the TDA3 Recommended Project List. Motion carried unanimously.

6. Member Communications

C/CAG staff reported that the state has released the call for projects for the Active Transportation Program Cycle 2 grant program. A total of \$360 million is available; \$180 million of that total is competitive statewide while \$30 million will be available for a regional competitive call. The state and regional calls are concurrent with applications due postmarked on June 1, 2015.

7. Adjournment

Motion: Member Lujan moved/Member Matsumoto seconded; the motion to adjourn was approved unanimously. The meeting was adjourned at 9:07pm.

C/CAG AGENDA REPORT

Date: October 22, 2015

To: Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee (BPAC)

From: Ellen Barton

Subject: Presentation on the Silicon Valley Bicycle Coalition *Vision Zero* safe streets initiative

(For further information please contact Ellen Barton at 650-599-1420)

RECOMMENDATION

That the BPAC receive a presentation on the Silicon Valley Bicycle Coalition *Vision Zero* safe streets initiative.

FISCAL IMPACT

None.

SOURCE OF FUNDS

NA

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION

The Silicon Valley Bicycle Coalition joins with advocacy groups and communities across the country to promote the Vision Zero program to adopt goals and strategies for safe streets with the goal of zero deaths and life altering injuries for all roadway users. In August, the Silicon Valley Bicycle Coalition released the Vision Zero Toolkit to assist communities and to guide staff and policy makers with the steps to take to adopt a program and timeline to achieve Vision Zero.

Presenter Emma Schlaes is the Silicon Valley Bicycle Coalition Policy Manager and Advocacy Lead in San Mateo County.

C/CAG AGENDA REPORT

Date: October 22, 2015
To: Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee (BPAC)
From: Tom Madalena
Subject: Nomination and appointment of a BPAC member to the San Mateo County Transportation Authority Measure A Bicycle and Pedestrian Program evaluation panel

(For further information please contact Tom Madalena at 650-599-1460)

RECOMMENDATION

That the BPAC nominate and appoint a BPAC member to be on the evaluation panel for the San Mateo County Transportation Authority Measure A Bicycle and Pedestrian Program.

FISCAL IMPACT

None.

SOURCE OF FUNDS

NA

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION

The San Mateo County Transportation Authority (TA) has requested that a member of the BPAC be selected to be on their evaluation panel for the upcoming Measure A Bicycle and Pedestrian Program. Tentatively, the TA anticipates that they will issue a call for projects for the program in November 2015. The commitment from the BPAC member will be to review and score applications and to participate in a panel meeting for up to a full day which will be during normal business hours. It is anticipated that the evaluation panel will meet to score the projects in mid-January. The exact date will be determined based on what works best for the evaluation panel members.

ATTACHMENTS

None

C/CAG AGENDA REPORT

Date: October 22, 2015

To: Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee (BPAC)

From: Ellen Barton

Subject: Receive an informational update on the statewide Active Transportation Program
(For further information or questions contact Ellen Barton at 599-1420)

RECOMMENDATION

That the BPAC receive an informational update on the statewide Active Transportation Program.

FISCAL IMPACT

N/A

SOURCE OF FUNDS

Active Transportation Program (ATP) funds are derived from the following sources:

- Federal sources: Transportation Alternative Program (TAP) (includes Safe Routes to Schools, and a portion (about 40%) of the Recreational Trails grant programs)
- State sources: Bicycle Transportation Account, Environmental Enhancement and Mitigation Program (partially) and California's state-funded Safe Routes to Schools program.

The total ATP Cycle 2 allocation statewide is approximately \$360 million comprised of funds for three fiscal years: FY 16/17, FY 17/18, and FY 18/19. 50% of the total funds are allocated through a statewide competitive call for projects, 40% are set aside for regional competitive calls for projects, and 10% are distributed to small urban and rural areas by a separate competitive call for projects. The Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) administered the regional call for projects for the Bay Area, to allocate \$20 million in ATP Cycle 2.

BACKGROUND

California's ATP was established by Senate Bill 99, and the corresponding budget bills that fund the program are Senate Bill 95 and Assembly Bill 101. ATP was signed into law by Governor Jerry Brown in September 2013. ATP rolls most of California's state and federal sources of funding of trails, biking, and walking into one competitive grant fund. The creation of one larger program raises the profile of active transportation projects in the state, and streamlines the process for financing biking and walking infrastructure by reducing administrative costs. The bill correspondingly eliminates separate funding programs for the Bicycle Transportation Account and Safe Routes to School.

The first call for projects for ATP Cycle 1 was released in spring, 2014, with award decisions announced in September, 2014. The second call for projects (ATP Cycle 2) was released in spring 2015. In mid-September a prioritized list of staff-recommended projects for funding from the state-wide competitive process was released for consideration by the California Transportation Commission (CTC) Board. The CTC Board is scheduled to vote on the recommended list at its October 21-22, 2015 meeting.

Projects that were not selected for funding through the state-wide process were automatically considered for funding through the regional competitive process. Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) is the regional Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) administering the regional grant program for the Bay Area including San Mateo County. MTC released a prioritized list of recommended projects on October 8, 2015, for consideration by the MTC Programming and Allocations Committee at its October 14, 2015 meeting. The MTC will act on the Regional ATP Recommended Project List on October 28, 2015.

DISCUSSION

The Statewide competitive call for projects received a total of 617 applications requesting over \$1 billion in funds. Eleven projects were submitted from jurisdictions in San Mateo County totaling \$33,406,000. The project recommendation scoring cut-off was 88 points for the statewide component and 78 points for the small urban and rural component. The project recommendation list includes a total of 113 projects totaling \$179.7 million.

Preliminary analysis of the geographic distribution of recommended state-wide projects shows that the Bay Area, comprising 19.4% of the state's population, would be awarded about 8.56% of the available funds. By comparison, the Los Angeles region, comprising 48.38% of the state's population, would be awarded about 20.12% of the available funds.

Of the statewide competitive recommended projects, 88% of the funds directly benefit disadvantaged communities and 50% of the projects are Safe Routes to School projects. Among the Bay Area counties, Alameda, Contra Costa and San Mateo have projects on the statewide recommended list. The three projects in San Mateo County recommended for funding include:

Daly City: Central Corridor Bike/Ped Safety Improvements: \$2,019,000
South San Francisco: Linden/Spruce Avenues Traffic Calming: \$868,000
San Mateo County Office of Sustainability: STEP Redwood City 2020: \$966,000

The MTC regional competitive process received 107 applications totaling about \$220 million. Ten projects are recommended for full funding and one project is recommended for partial funding. 66% of the funds (as proposed by staff) would benefit Communities of Concern and 29% of the regional funds would further Safe Routes to School projects.

ATTACHMENTS

- Staff-Recommended Project List for ATP Cycle 2 Statewide (*Subject to CTC Board Action*)
- Staff-Recommended Project List for ATP Cycle 2 MTC Regional (*Subject to MTC Board Action*)

2015 Active Transportation Program

Attached are the Staff Recommendations for the Statewide and Small Urban & Rural Components of the 2015 Active Transportation Program (ATP). Please be advised that these are the staff recommendations only. The program of projects will not be final until the Commission adopts the program at the October 21-22 Commission meeting.

The ATP consists of three components; the statewide component (50% of the funds), the small urban & rural component (10% of the funds), and the large Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) component (40% of the funds). Projects located within the boundaries of one of the 9 large MPOs that were not selected in the statewide component will be considered for funding through the MPO component.

For the 2015 ATP Cycle, 617 applications were submitted, requesting over \$1 billion in funds. Questions one through seven of each application were reviewed by a team of volunteer evaluators and given a consensus score. Questions 8 and 9 were reviewed by Caltrans. For question 8 (Conservation Corps), 5 points were deducted if the applicant chose not to contact the Corps to determine if they could perform some of the work. For question 9, Caltrans reviewed agency past performance and project deliverability and did not recommend point deductions. Instead, Caltrans will work closely with all agencies to ensure project deliverability. In addition, Caltrans reviewed each application to identify potentially ineligible project components. Caltrans may contact successful applicants regarding project eligibility.

The project recommendation scoring cut-off was 88 points for the statewide component and 78 points for the small urban & rural component. There is not sufficient funding remaining for all twelve projects in the statewide component that scored an 88 and all three projects in the small urban & rural component that scored a 78. Therefore, Commission staff used a secondary ranking system to choose which projects to recommend. This secondary ranking consisted of first prioritizing infrastructure projects and then prioritizing projects that scored the highest on Question 1 of the application – Potential for Increased Walking and Biking.

Statewide Component

- 86 projects, totaling \$179.7 million (includes Technical Assistance Resource Center)
- 88% of funds directly benefit disadvantaged communities
- 43 projects are safe routes to school
- State-only funds are indicated per applicant request, but no final decision has yet been made

Small Urban and Rural Component

- 27 projects, totaling \$35.5 million
- 74% of funds directly benefit disadvantaged communities
- 15 projects are safe routes to school
- State-only funds are indicated per applicant request, but no final decision has yet been made

2015 Active Transportation Program - Statewide Component

Staff Recommendation

(\$1000's)

Co	Applicant	Project Title	State Only	Total Project Cost	Total Fund Request	16-17	17-18	18-19	RW	CON	PAED	PSE	DAC	Plan	SRTS	SRTS-NI	OTH-NI	REC TR	Eval Team Score	Q8 Deduction	Adjusted Score
	Caltrans	Active Transportation Resource Center		3,900	3,900	1,300	1,300	1,300													
ALA	Berkeley	9th Street Bicycle Blvd Extension Pathway, Phase II		895	850	145	705	-	-	705	20	125	-	-	-	-	-	-	93.00	-	93.00
ALA	Oakland	19th Street BART to Lake Merritt Urban Greenway		4,683	4,583	150	550	3,883	-	3,883	150	550	4,583	-	-	-	-	-	91.00	-	91.00
BUT	Paradise	Almond Street Multi-Modal Improvements	SOF	3,905	3,429	229	195	3,005	195	3,005	83	146	3,429	-	-	-	-	-	95.00	-	95.00
BUT	Butte County Public Works	South Oroville SRTS ATP Cycle 2- Lincoln Blvd. and Las Plumas Ave.		1,716	1,516	25	390	1,101	30	1,321	25	140	1,516	-	1,516	250	-	-	94.00	-	94.00
BUT	Paradise	Memorial Trailway Class I Enhancements	SOF	1,391	1,356	48	1,308	-	-	1,308	19	29	1,180	-	-	-	-	1,139	88.00	-	88.00
CC	Contra Costa County	Rio Vista Elementary School Pedestrian Connection Project		905	600	40	560	-	-	600	-	-	600	-	600	40	-	-	97.00	-	97.00
CC	Contra Costa County	Bailey Road/SR 4 Interchange Pedestrian and Bicycle Improvement Project		5,195	4,160	720	60	3,380	-	3,440	-	720	3,328	-	4,160	60	-	-	94.00	-	94.00
CC	Richmond	The Yellow Brick Road in Richmond's Iron Triangle Neighborhood		6,452	6,209	932	5,277	-	-	5,277	207	725	6,209	-	6,209	-	-	-	89.00	-	89.00
DN	Crescent City	Sunset Circle Multi-Use Trail	SOF	800	640	64	576	-	251	325	16	48	640	-	-	-	-	640	88.00	-	88.00
ED	California Tahoe Conservancy	South Tahoe Greenway Shared Use Trail, Phases 1b and 2		4,027	1,928	480	1,448	-	12	1,448	240	228	1,928	-	-	-	-	-	93.00	-	93.00
ED	South Lake Tahoe	AI Tahoe Boulevard Safety and Mobility Enhancement Project		2,228	2,145	279	1,866	-	-	1,866	117	162	2,145	-	-	-	-	-	89.00	-	89.00
FRE	Parlier	Manning Avenue Sidewalk Project		695	495	103	392	-	50	392	10	43	495	-	495	-	-	-	92.00	-	92.00
FRE	Fresno County	Laton Sidewalk		3,218	3,228	75	520	2,633	60	2,633	75	460	3,228	-	3,228	-	-	-	88.00	-	88.00
HUM	Hoopa Valley Tribe	SRTS Project		1,392	1,301	121	1,180	-	5	1,223	24	49	1,301	-	1,301	43	-	1,234	93.50	-	93.50
HUM	Fortuna	South Fortuna Elementary School SRTS Project	SOF	893	893	15	135	743	50	743	15	85	670	-	893	31	-	-	92.00	-	92.00
HUM	Trinidad	Van Wycke Bicycle and Pedestrian Connectivity Project	SOF	722	714	22	161	531	75	531	22	86	706	-	-	-	22	706	90.00	-	90.00
HUM	Rio Dell	K-8 Schools SRTS Safety Improvement and Community Outreach Program	SOF	1,533	1,533	80	240	1,213	100	1,213	80	140	1,533	-	1,533	36	-	-	89.00	-	89.00
KER	Kern County	Mojave Pedestrian Improvement Project		1,246	896	896	-	-	-	896	-	-	896	-	896	-	-	-	99.00	-	99.00
KER	Kern County	Lamont Pedestrian Improvement Project		1,980	1,430	1,430	-	-	-	1,430	-	-	1,430	-	-	-	-	-	95.00	-	95.00
KER	Kern Council of Governments	Kern Region Active Transportation Program Plan	SOF	250	250	250	-	-	-	250	-	-	250	250	-	-	-	-	93.00	-	93.00
KER	Bakersfield	"A" Street Improvements		1,110	1,055	1,055	-	-	-	1,055	-	-	1,055	-	1,055	-	-	-	91.00	-	91.00
KER	McFarland	Kern Avenue Elementary SR25 Connectivity Project 2		293	293	293	-	-	-	263	5	25	293	-	293	-	-	-	91.00	-	91.00
KER	Tehachapi	Rail Corridor		2,242	2,042	-	2,042	-	-	2,042	-	-	2,042	-	2,042	-	-	-	90.00	-	90.00
LA	Los Angeles County	Los Nietos SRTS- Phase I		1,847	1,601	40	240	1,321	-	1,321	40	240	1,601	-	1,601	-	-	-	99.00	-	99.00
LA	Los Angeles County MTA	Willowbrook/Rosa Parks Pedestrian Promenade and Bicycle Mobility Hub		3,662	2,909	445	2,464	-	-	2,464	-	445	2,909	-	-	-	488	-	97.00	-	97.00
LA	Los Angeles	Pedestrian and Bicycle Neighborhood Intersection Enhancements		1,883	1,506	300	272	934	-	1,229	55	222	753	-	-	-	295	-	97.00	-	97.00
LA	Los Angeles County	Rosemead Boulevard Complete Street Improvements Phase 1		1,250	1,000	1,000	-	-	-	-	1,000	-	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	95.00	-	95.00
LA	City of Culver City	Washington-Culver Pedestrian and Cyclist Safety Project		2,622	2,772	267	2,505	-	-	2,505	-	267	1,664	-	2,772	-	-	-	94.00	-	94.00
LA	Los Angeles County	West Carson Community Bikeways		531	425	18	56	351	-	351	18	56	300	-	-	-	-	-	94.00	-	94.00
LA	Los Angeles Unified School District	LAUSD Middle School Bicycle Safety Physical Education Program		1,360	1,360	1,360	-	-	-	1,360	-	-	1,360	-	1,360	1,360	-	-	94.00	-	94.00
LA	Los Angeles County	Hawthorne/Lennox Green Line Station Community Linkages		3,070	2,406	100	280	2,026	-	2,026	100	280	2,406	-	-	-	-	-	93.00	-	93.00
LA	Los Angeles County	Vincent Community Bikeways		4,399	3,519	200	400	2,919	-	2,919	200	400	2,111	-	400	-	-	-	93.00	-	93.00
LA	Long Beach	Delta Avenue Bicycle Boulevard		1,335	1,075	-	1,075	-	-	1,075	-	-	1,075	-	-	-	-	-	93.00	-	93.00
LA	Pico Rivera	Regional Bikeway Project		4,917	3,932	463	409	3,060	100	3,060	463	309	3,146	-	-	-	-	-	93.00	-	93.00
LA	Santa Monica	Michigan Ave Greenway: Completing Bike/Ped Expo Connection Over the I-10		1,234	987	147	840	-	42	798	72	75	987	-	-	-	-	-	92.00	-	92.00
LA	Whittier	Whittier Greenway Trail East Extension Gap Closure		5,332	4,516	4,516	-	-	1,216	3,150	-	150	4,516	-	-	-	-	4,516	92.00	-	92.00
LA	Lancaster	10th Street West Road Diet and Bikeway Improvements		1,568	785	70	715	-	-	715	-	70	550	-	-	-	-	-	92.00	-	92.00
LA	Los Angeles County	Aviation /LAX Green Line Station Community Linkages		2,578	1,941	80	240	1,621	-	1,621	80	240	1,747	-	-	-	-	-	91.00	-	91.00
LA	Los Angeles	Orange Line-Sherman Way Pedestrian Links		1,441	1,153	205	-	948	-	948	205	-	1,153	-	-	-	-	-	90.00	-	90.00
LA	Lancaster	Pedestrian Gap Closure Improvements		7,824	6,259	320	873	5,066	873	5,066	80	240	4,382	-	6,259	-	-	-	90.00	-	90.00
LA	Arcadia	Bicycle and Facility Improvements		1,457	1,020	118	902	-	-	902	35	83	510	-	-	-	-	-	89.00	-	89.00
LA	Los Angeles County MTA	Union Station Master Plan: Alameda Esplanade		12,340	12,340	1,200	950	10,190	-	10,190	1,200	950	12,340	-	-	-	-	-	89.00	-	89.00
LA	Los Angeles	Boyle Heights Pedestrian Linkages		5,000	5,000	893	4,107	-	-	4,107	-	893	5,000	-	-	-	-	-	89.00	-	89.00
LA	Los Angeles	Rosemead SRTS Project		842	702	702	-	-	-	702	-	-	702	-	702	-	-	-	88.00	-	88.00
LA	South Gate	Long Beach Boulevard Pedestrian Improvements		2,586	2,250	327	1,923	-	-	1,923	65	262	2,250	-	-	-	-	-	88.00	-	88.00
LA	Santa Monica	Expo Station 4th Street Linkages to Downtown and Civic Center	SOF	2,016	1,613	120	160	1,333	40	1,333	120	120	1,613	-	-	-	-	-	88.00	-	88.00
MEN	Mendocino COG	SR 162 Corridor Multi-Purpose Trail Phase 2	SOF	1,252	1,232	146	134	952	94	952	146	40	1,232	-	1,232	-	-	-	90.00	-	90.00
MEN	Ukiah	Northwestern Pacific Rail Trail Phase 2		1,850	1,831	297	1,534	-	25	1,534	74	198	1,831	-	-	-	-	-	89.00	-	89.00
MEN	Mendocino COG	SR 162 Corridor Multi-Purpose Trail	SOF	2,578	1,887	48	119	1,720	119	1,720	-	48	1,887	-	1,887	-	-	-	88.00	-	88.00
MER	Merced	Active Transportation Plan/SRTS Plan		135	135	135	-	-	-	135	-	-	135	135	135	-	-	-	95.00	-	95.00
MON	Seaside	West Broadway Urban Village Infrastructure Improvements		7,832	3,694	44	3,650	-	-	3,650	39	5	1,478	-	-	-	-	-	91.00	-	91.00

2015 Active Transportation Program - Statewide Component

Staff Recommendation

(\$1000's)

Co	Applicant	Project Title	State Only	Total Project Cost	Total Fund Request	16-17	17-18	18-19	RW	CON	PAED	PSE	DAC	Plan	SRTS	SRTS-NI	OTH-NI	REC TR	Eval Team Score	Q8 Deduction	Adjusted Score
MON	Salinas	Alvin Drive and Linwood Drive SRTS Improvements		2,959	2,959	522	42	2,395	42	2,395	50	472	2,959	-	2,959	-	-	-	90.00	-	90.00
ORA	Santa Ana	Santa Ana and Fifth Protected Bike Lane	SOF	5,424	5,424	200	740	4,484	-	4,484	200	740	5,424	-	5,424	-	-	-	91.00	-	91.00
ORA	Santa Ana	Endinger Protected Bike Lanes Project	SOF	2,366	2,366	142	300	1,924	-	1,948	118	300	2,366	-	2,366	24	-	-	90.00	-	90.00
ORA	Santa Ana	Civic Center Bike Boulevard	SOF	3,879	3,729	260	406	3,063	-	3,063	260	406	3,729	-	3,729	-	-	-	90.00	-	90.00
RIV	Riverside County DPH	SRTS, East Riverside	SOF	628	500	500	-	-	-	500	-	-	500	-	500	500	-	-	99.00	-	99.00
RIV	Riverside Co Transp. Dept.	3rd Place Sidewalk and Roadway Safety Improvements	SOF	871	721	126	595	-	-	595	65	61	721	-	721	-	-	-	92.00	-	92.00
SAC	Sacramento County	Power Inn Road Sidewalk Improvements		2,374	2,088	88	352	1,648	193	1,648	88	159	2,088	-	-	-	-	-	90.00	-	90.00
SAC	Sacramento	North 12th Complete Street		4,467	3,378	520	-	2,858	-	2,858	120	400	3,378	-	-	-	-	-	89.00	-	89.00
SB	Goleta	Old Town Sidewalk Infill	SOF	2,779	2,221	104	397	1,720	128	1,720	104	269	2,221	-	-	-	-	-	90.00	-	90.00
SB	Regents of UC	UC Santa Barbara, North Campus Open Space Multi-Use Trail		2,895	2,449	2,449	-	-	-	2,109	-	340	1,714	-	2,449	-	-	2,449	89.00	-	89.00
SBD	Hesperia	Willow Street Shared Use Paseo		1,885	1,200	390	810	-	132	810	-	258	1,200	-	1,200	-	-	-	98.00	-	98.00
SBD	Highland	Regional Connector Project		4,545	3,636	160	356	3,120	88	3,120	160	268	3,636	-	3,636	-	-	-	93.50	-	93.50
SBD	Rialto	Etiwanda Corridor Improvements		850	629	8	64	557	-	557	8	64	503	-	-	-	-	-	91.00	-	91.00
SBD	Big Bear Lake	Big Bear Blvd. Pedestrian and Bicycle Mobility Project		1,899	1,519	164	1,355	-	26	1,329	36	128	1,519	-	1,519	-	-	-	91.00	-	91.00
SBD	San Bernardino County	Sidewalk Gap Closure SRTS Project		2,153	2,153	143	339	1,671	60	1,735	143	215	2,153	-	2,153	-	-	-	90.00	-	90.00
SBD	Town of Yucca Valley	Yucca Valley Elementary School Sidewalks		1,026	1,026	5	1,021	-	-	941	5	80	1,026	-	1,026	-	-	-	88.00	-	88.00
SCR	Watsonville	Rail Trail from Watsonville Slough Trailhead to Walker street		862	688	-	688	-	-	688	-	-	688	-	-	-	88	300	90.00	-	90.00
SD	Encinitas	El Portal Pedestrian and Bike Underpass		5,400	4,697	851	-	3,846	53	3,846	31	767	-	-	4,697	44	-	-	98.00	-	98.00
SD	National City	Sweetwater River Bikeway Connections/30th Street Bicycle Facility Improvements	SOF	1,154	1,129	190	50	889	50	889	25	165	1,129	-	-	-	-	-	93.00	-	93.00
SD	San Diego Assoc of Govt	San Diego Bayshore Bikeway, Barrio Logan Segment		13,571	4,944	-	4,944	-	-	4,944	-	-	4,944	-	-	-	-	-	91.00	-	91.00
SD	San Diego	Euclid+ Market Complete Streets project		5,990	4,016	1,269	2,747	-	420	2,747	369	480	4,016	-	4,016	-	-	-	90.00	-	90.00
SHA	Shasta Regional Transp Agency	GoShasta Active Transport Plan	SOF	313	250	250	-	-	-	250	-	-	250	250	-	-	-	-	92.00	-	92.00
SJ	Stockton	Active Transportation Plan in Greater Downtown District		396	396	396	-	-	-	396	-	-	396	396	-	-	-	-	90.00	-	90.00
SLO	San Louis Obispo	Railroad Safety Trail-Taft to Pepper Segment		4,244	3,244	3,244	-	-	-	3,244	-	-	2,920	-	-	-	85	-	96.00	-	96.00
SLO	SLO Regional Rideshare	SLO Regional Rideshare SRTS Learn-by-Doing Active Transportation Program		437	295	295	-	-	-	295	-	-	148	-	295	295	-	-	90.00	-	90.00
SM	San Mateo Co Office of Sustainability	2020 Sustainable Transportation Encouragement Project		966	966	966	-	-	-	966	-	-	966	-	966	966	-	-	89.00	-	89.00
SM	South San Francisco	Linden/Spruce Avenues Traffic Calming Improvements		1,038	868	-	-	868	-	868	-	-	868	-	-	-	-	-	89.00	-	89.00
SM	Daly City	Central Corridor Bike/Ped Safety improvements		2,276	2,019	300	-	1,719	-	1,719	-	300	2,019	-	2,019	-	-	-	88.00	-	88.00
STA	Turlock	Linwood Avenue Pedestrian and Bicycle Improvements		1,000	736	100	220	416	220	416	50	50	736	-	736	-	-	-	97.00	-	97.00
STA	Turlock	Christofferson Pkwy. Ped and Bike Improvements with Connectors		550	346	44	302	-	-	302	15	29	-	-	346	82	-	-	90.00	-	90.00
TRI	Trinity County DOT	Active Transportation Plan		112	110	110	-	-	-	110	-	-	110	110	-	-	-	-	91.00	-	91.00
TUL	Tulare County	Traver Jacob Street Improvements		1,790	1,790	210	1,580	-	40	1,580	40	130	1,790	-	1,790	-	-	-	91.00	-	91.00
TUL	Tulare County	Pixely Main Street Improvements		1,018	1,018	335	683	-	215	683	40	80	1,018	-	1,018	-	-	-	90.50	-	90.50
YUB	Yuba County	Seventh Ave Bicycle Path and Ped Route Improvements	SOF	960	930	40	164	726	40	726	40	124	930	-	930	-	-	-	94.00	-	94.00
Total				219,489	179,736	36,694	60,908	82,134	5,044	148,086	7,067	15,639	158,160	1,141	84,664	3,731	978	10,984			

- SOF: State-Only Funding
- RW: Right-of-Way Phase
- CON: Construction Phase
- PAED: Project Approval/Environmental Document Phase
- PSE: Plans, Specifications & Estimate Phase
- DAC: Benefit to Disadvantaged Communities
- Plan: Active Transportation Plan
- SRTS: Safe Routes to School
- NI: Non-Infrastructure
- Q8: Use of California Conservation Corps
- REC TR: Recreational Trails Eligible

2015 Active Transportation Program - Small Urban and Rural Component
Staff Recommendation
(\$1000's)

Co	Applicant	Project Title	State Only	Total Project Cost	Total Fund Request	16-17	17-18	18-19	RW	CON	PAED	PSE	DAC	Plan	SRTS	SRTS-NI	OTH-NI	REC TR	Eval Team Score	Q8 Deduction	Adjusted Score
AMA	Plymouth	Main Street /Shenandoah Routes to School Project	SOF	1,099	1,081	311	770	-	145	770	10	156	1,081	-	-	-	-	-	80.00	-	80.00
BUT	Biggs	SRTS-B Street and 2nd Street Sidewalk Improvement Project	SOF	819	809	120	689	-	-	689	30	90	809	-	809	-	-	-	82.00	-	82.00
BUT	Chico	State Route 99 Bikeway Phase 4 Improvements		1,781	800	-	800	-	-	800	-	-	320	-	800	-	-	-	81.00	-	81.00
BUT	Paradise	Ponderosa Elementary SRTS Project	SOF	1,736	1,504	221	80	1,203	80	1,203	66	155	1,504	-	1,504	-	-	-	79.00	-	79.00
BUT	Paradise	Downtown Paradise Equal Mobility Project	SOF	553	539	48	49	442	49	442	24	24	539	-	-	-	-	-	79.00	-	79.00
COL	Colusa County	Colusa County Safe Routes to School Plan		200	200	200	-	-	-	200	-	-	200	200	200	-	-	-	81.00	-	81.00
HUM	Arcata	Arcata SRTS Improvements 2015		606	526	64	462	-	-	484	22	20	263	-	526	42	-	-	84.00	-	84.00
HUM	Humboldt County Public Works	Manila Moves Campaign and Shared use Path		1,718	1,718	350	1,368	-	50	1,368	140	160	1,718	-	-	-	10	-	81.00	-	81.00
INY	Bishop	Spruce Yaney Hanby Sidewalks	SOF	1,158	1,158	129	86	943	-	943	129	86	1,158	-	-	-	-	-	85.00	-	85.00
LAK	Lake County	Middletown Multi-Use Path	SOF	1,430	1,430	46	152	1,232	15	1,232	46	137	1,430	-	-	-	-	1,430	83.00	-	83.00
LAK	Lake County	Upper Lake Pedestrian Improvements	SOF	481	481	65	416	-	8	416	18	39	481	-	481	-	-	-	80.00	-	80.00
MAD	Madera	Fresno River Trail Safe Routes Project		937	379	379	-	-	-	379	-	-	379	-	379	-	-	379	81.00	-	81.00
MER	Merced County Public Works	Walnut Avenue Complete Street Upgrade, Segment 2		1,845	1,845	330	1,515	-	-	1,515	165	165	1,845	-	1,845	-	-	-	87.50	-	87.50
MER	Merced County Public Works	Lobo Avenue Complete Street Project		983	973	100	158	715	100	715	100	58	973	-	973	-	-	-	82.00	-	82.00
MNO	Town of Mammoth Lakes	Mammoth Creek Gap Closure Project		926	847	300	547	-	250	547	-	50	847	-	847	-	-	847	80.00	-	80.00
MON	Monterey	Active Transportation/Demand Management Program		495	495	495	-	-	-	495	-	-	495	-	-	-	495	-	85.00	-	85.00
MON	Salinas	Bardin Road SRTS to School Improvements		4,430	4,430	786	30	3,614	30	3,614	120	666	4,430	-	4,430	-	-	-	81.00	-	81.00
NEV	Town of Truckee	Glenshire Drive Pedestrian and Bicycle improvements Project	SOF	1,131	905	905	-	-	-	905	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	82.00	-	82.00
PLA	Tahoe Transportation District	Route 89 Fanny Bridge Community Revitalization - Active Transp. Improvements		7,720	4,900	4,900	-	-	-	4,900	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,000	78.00	-	78.00
SB	City of Santa Barbara Public Works	SRTS Carpinteria at Voluntario Pedestrian Improvements Project	SOF	645	632	50	65	517	5	517	50	60	632	-	632	-	-	-	83.00	-	83.00
SCR	Santa Cruz	Citywide Safe Routes to School Crossing Improvement Program		1,404	1,404	91	1,313	-	1	1,313	10	80	842	-	1,404	225	-	-	87.00	-	87.00
SCR	Santa Cruz County RTC	Countywide Bicycle Route Signage Project	SOF	370	320	320	-	-	-	275	3	42	64	-	-	-	20	-	84.50	-	84.50
SCR	Santa Cruz	Branciforte Creek Bicycle/Pedestrian Bridge		2,600	1,800	1,800	-	-	-	1,800	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	81.00	-	81.00
SHA	Redding	Dielstorhorst to Downtown Non-Motorized Improvement Project		2,638	2,138	504	1,634	-	40	1,634	148	316	2,638	-	-	-	-	2,638	81.50	-	81.50
SHA	Shasta County	Old Oregon Trail Shasta College Active Transportation Project		716	572	37	84	451	5	451	37	79	572	-	-	-	-	-	80.00	-	80.00
SHA	Redding	Quartz Hill Road Active Transportation Project		3,528	3,177	3,177	-	-	-	3,177	-	-	3,177	-	3,177	-	-	-	80.00	-	80.00
SHA	Shasta County	Junction School SRTS		578	462	20	65	377	5	377	20	60	-	-	462	-	-	-	79.00	-	79.00
Total					42,527	35,525	15,748	10,283	9,494	783	31,161	1,138	2,443	26,397	200	18,469	267	525	8,294		

- SOF: State-Only Funding
- RW: Right-of-Way Phase
- CON: Construction Phase
- PAED: Project Approval/Environmental Document Phase
- PSE: Plans, Specifications & Estimate Phase
- DAC: Benefit to Disadvantaged Communities
- Plan: Active Transportation Plan
- SRTS: Safe Routes to School
- NI: Non-Infrastructure
- Q8: Use of California Conservation Corps
- REC TR: Recreational Trails Eligible

Metropolitan Transportation Commission Programming and Allocations Committee

October 14, 2015

Item Number 3b

Resolution No. 4172, Revised

- Subject:** Cycle 2 Regional Active Transportation Program (ATP) of Projects
- Background:** The State established the Active Transportation Program (ATP) in September 2013. The ATP funding is distributed as follows:
- 50% to the state for a statewide competitive program (“Statewide Competitive ATP”);
 - 10% to the small urban and rural area competitive program to be managed by the state; and
 - 40% to the large urbanized area competitive program, with funding distributed by population and managed by the Metropolitan Planning Organization (“Regional ATP”).

MTC is responsible for developing the region’s guidelines for the Regional ATP, and for submitting the proposed projects to the California Transportation Commission (CTC) for adoption. CTC approved MTC’s Regional ATP Guidelines in March 2015, and applications for the Regional Program were due to MTC on June 1, 2015. Roughly \$30 million is available for programming under the Cycle 2 Regional ATP.

MTC staff’s recommended regional project awards and recommended contingency projects are listed in Attachment 1.

Statewide Competitive ATP Results

Concurrent with the Regional ATP process described below, the CTC released the staff recommendations for the Statewide Competitive ATP projects on September 15, 2015. The recommended projects are listed in Attachment 2. CTC proposes to fund eight projects in the MTC region for a total of \$20 million, out of a statewide program of \$180 million. Those projects that CTC recommended were removed from further Regional ATP evaluation. CTC will consider approving the statewide program at its meeting on October 21-22, 2015.

Regional Project Selection Process

MTC received 107 applications totaling about \$220 million in response to the Regional ATP Call for Projects. Of these, one project was withdrawn after submittal. MTC staff worked with a 21-member multi-disciplinary advisory committee to score and rank the remaining applications (see Attachment 3). The MTC review advisory committee used the same evaluation form and scoring criteria from Statewide Competitive ATP, plus an additional 10 maximum points for regional priorities.

Each application was assigned to a team of three members of the advisory committee, and in order to ensure an objective review, applications were assigned to evaluators from another county when possible, and not assigned to an evaluator from the sponsor agency. The team then met and agreed to a consensus score. Staff ranked all responsive applications from highest to lowest based on the consensus score.

Staff recommends fully funding 10 projects and partially funding 1 project for a total of \$30 million. Staff also recommends that MTC adopt a list of contingency projects, ranked in priority order based on the project's evaluation score, of \$29 million. MTC would fund projects on the contingency list should there be any project failures or savings in the Cycle 2 Regional ATP. The recommended projects are listed in Attachment 1. Note that 66% of regional ATP funding as proposed by staff would benefit Communities of Concern, greatly exceeding the 25% target. While there is no regional target for Safe Routes to Schools (SRTS) projects, 29% of regional ATP funding would benefit SRTS type projects.

Issues:

- **Partial Funding:**
The Lombard Street Vision Zero project sponsored by the San Francisco Department of Public Works requested \$3.8 million in ATP funds; however, only \$1.9 million of ATP remains after funding higher-scoring projects. Therefore, staff recommends partially funding the Lombard Street project at \$1.9 million. Should San Francisco not be able to scale the project or to fully fund the project using other funds, staff recommends going down the contingency list to fully program the remaining \$1.9 million.
- **Improvements for Cycle 3 ATP:**
Cycle 2 implemented suggested improvements from Cycle 1, including a role for the Congestion Management Agencies, and more evaluators reviewing each application. Potential improvements for Cycle 3 include revising the point structure for disadvantaged communities, and establishing a two-tier program based on size of funding request, in order to encourage more, smaller projects in the program (many projects funded in Cycle 2 requested large amounts of ATP funds, \$2-6 million, which presents challenges in a relatively small program). CTC will form a Technical Advisory Committee to review potential changes to Cycle 3.

Recommendation: Refer MTC Resolution No. 4172, Revised to the Commission for approval, and direct staff to transmit the recommended project list to the CTC.

Attachments:

- Attachment 1:** Recommended 2015 Regional ATP Program of Projects and Contingency Projects
- Attachment 2:** Approved Statewide ATP Projects in the Bay Area
- Attachment 3:** List of Project Evaluators
- Attachment 4:** 2015 ATP Regional Applications (List of Received Project Applications)

MTC Resolution No. 4172, Revised

Attachment 1: Recommended 2015 Regional ATP Program of Projects

County	Sponsor	Project	Amount (\$1,000s)	Project Description
Alameda	Alameda County Public Works Agency	Castro Valley Elementary Safe Routes to Schools (Design Only)	\$250	Install sidewalks, curbs, gutters and crosswalks along Anita Avenue between Castro Valley Boulevard and Somerset Avenue. The Castro Valley Elementary Safe Routes to School project will increase walking and biking, as well as reduce injuries.
Alameda	Alameda County Public Works Agency	Creekside Middle School Safe Routes to Schools	\$475	Installing sidewalks, curbs, gutters, crosswalks, and Class III bike routes along Center Street between Heyer Avenue and Paradise Knolls. The Creekside Middle School Safe Routes to School project will increase walking and biking, as well as reduce injuries.
Alameda	Alameda County Public Works Agency	Stanton Elementary School Safe Routes to Schools (Design and Right-of-Way Only)	\$300	Install sidewalks, curbs, gutters, crosswalks, and Class III bike routes along Stanton Avenue between Castro Valley Boulevard and Somerset Avenue. The Stanton Elementary School Safe Routes to Schools project will increase walking and biking, as well as reduce injuries along Stanton Avenue.
Alameda	City of Oakland	Telegraph Avenue Complete Street Improvements	\$4,554	Improve transportation safety and comfort on Telegraph Avenue (between 20th Street and 41st Street) through installation of dedicated bicycle facilities, safer and more frequent pedestrian crossings, and transit boarding islands. This project will provide direct connections to downtown Oakland, BART stations on both ends of the corridor, a major hospital center, and multiple commercial districts.
Contra Costa	City of San Pablo	Rumrill Boulevard Complete Streets Improvements	\$4,310	Implement complete streets improvements along Rumrill Boulevard in the City of San Pablo (between San Pablo Avenue to the North and Costa Avenue to the South). This project will provide directional cycletracks, sidewalk and crossing improvements, street trees, landscaping, lighting and transit shelters along the length of the corridor.
Marin	Marin County Transit District (Marin Transit)	Pedestrian Access and Safety Improvements for the Downtown Novato Bus Transit Facility	\$1,286	Reconstruct the existing Downtown Novato transit facility to improve pedestrian safety, bus operations, accessibility, and provide new bicycle racks. The Novato transit facility is located on Grant Avenue at Redwood Blvd, within Downtown, in the City of Novato.

County	Sponsor	Project	Amount (\$1,000s)	Project Description
Napa	Napa County Transportation Planning Agency	Napa Valley Vine Trail - St. Helena to Calistoga	\$6,106	Construct 9.4 miles of the Class I bicycle and pedestrian facility on the Napa Valley Vine Trail. The portion of the trail that will be constructed will be within Napa County from Pratt Avenue in the City of St. Helena to Lincoln Avenue in the City of Calistoga, generally along the SR 29 corridor.
San Francisco	San Francisco County Department of Public Health	SF Safe Routes to Schools 2017-2019 Non-Infrastructure Project	\$2,797	Implement a pilot proposal that includes innovative educational, encouragement, and evaluation activities and deliverables from school years 2017-2019 at 29 elementary, 4 middle and 2 high schools in San Francisco Unified School District.
San Francisco	San Francisco Public Works	Lombard Street Vision Zero Project (Partial Funding)	\$1,854	Install curb extensions (pedestrian and transit bulbs), implement parking removal at intersections (daylighting), implement signal timing improvements, and potentially install advanced stop bars and high visibility crosswalks as part of the Lombard Street Vision Zero Project. This project will focus on the 1.1 mile section of Lombard Street (a section of California Highway 101) between Van Ness Avenue and Doyle Drive.
Santa Clara	City of San Jose	Coyote Creek Trail - Mabury to Empire	\$5,256	Close a 0.3-mile gap in the 25-mile regional Coyote Creek Trail system that has been recently master planned. This gap is located from Mabury Road to Empire Street, along the Coyote Creek channel.
Solano	Solano Transportation Authority	Solano Transportation Authority - Safe Routes to Schools Infrastructure and Non-infrastructure in the Cities of Benicia, Rio Vista & Vallejo	\$3,067	This combined infrastructure and non-infrastructure Safe Routes to Schools project, provides for infrastructure improvements at 7 schools, while providing education outreach to 26 schools throughout the Cities of Benicia, Rio Vista & Vallejo.
Total			\$30,255	

Staff Recommendations for MTC 2015 Regional ATP – Contingency List

MTC Score	County	Sponsor	Project	Amount (\$1,000s)
91.7	San Francisco	San Francisco DPW	Lombard St Vision Zero *Remaining Amount*	\$1,946
91.6	Alameda	ACTC	East Bay Greenway (Design Only)	\$4,125
91.0	Contra Costa	Contra Costa Co	Pacheco Blvd Sidewalk Gap Closure Ph III	\$759
91.0	San Francisco	SFMTA	SE SF Multi-Modal Safety Upgrades	\$10,164
91.0	Alameda	Piedmont	Pedestrian Safety & Bike Lane Implementation	\$3,062
91.0	Santa Clara	San Jose	ATP Safety and Behavior Change Campaign	\$889
90.0	Alameda	Alameda Co PW	Somerset Ave School Corridor SRTS (Design Only)	\$330
90.0	Contra Costa	Richmond	Goodrick Ave Bay Trail Gap Closure	\$1,271
89.5	Solano	Solano TA	Bay /Napa Vine Trail Gap Closure (Vallejo/Amer Cyn)	\$6,208
			Total	\$28,754

**Attachment 2
CTC-Recommended 2015 Statewide ATP Projects in the Bay Area**

County	Agency	Description	Funded Amount (\$1,000s)
Alameda	Berkeley	9 th Street Bicycle Blvd Pathway Extension, Ph. 2	\$850
Alameda	Oakland	19 th Street BART to Lake Merritt Urban Greenway	\$4,583
Contra Costa	Contra Costa County	Rio Vista Elementary School Pedestrian Connection	\$600
Contra Costa	Contra Costa County	Bailey Rd/SR-4 Interchange Bicycle/Pedestrian Improvements	\$4,160
Contra Costa	Richmond	Yellow Brick Rd in Richmond's Iron Triangle	\$6,209
San Mateo	Daly City	Central Corridor Bicycle/Pedestrian Safety Improvements	\$2,019
San Mateo	San Mateo County	Redwood City 2020 Sustainable Transportation Encouragement Program (STEP)	\$966
San Mateo	South San Francisco	Linden/Spruce Ave Traffic Calming Improvements	\$868
Total			\$20,255

J:\SECTION\ALLSTAFF\Resolution\TEMP-RES\MTC\Oct PAC\tmp-4172.docx

Metropolitan Transportation Commission
2015 Regional Active Transportation Program - Cycle 2

List of Project Evaluators

Affiliation	Description
ABAG Bay Trail Project	Recreational Trails
Alameda County Transportation Commission	Congestion Management Agency
Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART) District	Transit
California Walks	Safe Routes to School/ Pedestrian Safety
ChangeLab Solutions	Public Health
City of Albany	City
City of Menlo Park	City
City of San Jose	City
City/County Ass'n of Gov'ts of San Mateo County	Congestion Management Agency
Metropolitan Transportation Commission (1)	Metropolitan Planning Organization
Metropolitan Transportation Commission (2)	Metropolitan Planning Organization
Napa County Transportation Planning Agency	Congestion Management Agency
Petaluma Transit	Transit
MTC Policy Advisory Council (1)	Policy Advisory Council/ Paratransit
MTC Policy Advisory Council (2)	Policy Advisory Council/ Public Health
San Francisco County Transportation Authority	Congestion Management Agency
San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency	Transit
Santa Clara Dept of Public Health	Public Health
Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority	Congestion Management Agency
Sonoma County Bicycle Coalition	Bicycle Coalition
Transportation Authority of Marin	Congestion Management Agency

Metropolitan Transportation Commission
2015 Regional Active Transportation Program - Cycle 2

List of Applications Received

Co	Agency	Project Title	Total Project Cost (\$1,000s)	Total Fund Request (\$1,000s)	MTC Reg'l Score
ALA	ACTC	East Bay Greenway	145,872	4,125	91.6
ALA	Alameda Co PW	Castro Valley Elementary SRTS	2,530	250	92.8
ALA	Alameda Co PW	Creekside MS SRTS	647	475	91.9
ALA	Alameda Co PW	CVHS SRTS	2,680	2,175	89.0
ALA	Alameda Co PW	D St SRTS	5,104	600	63.7
ALA	Alameda Co PW	Heyer Ave School Corridor SRTS	1,990	290	70.6
ALA	Alameda Co PW	Proctor ES SRTS	6,040	600	89.0
ALA	Alameda Co PW	Royal Ave SRTS	460	300	82.0
ALA	Alameda Co PW	Somerset Ave School Corridor SRTS	3,652	330	90.0
ALA	Alameda Co PW	Stanton ES SRTS	2,099	300	94.5
ALA	Alameda, City of	Clement Ave Complete Streets	5,783	5,120	79.0
ALA	Alameda, City of	Encinal HS Intersection Safety Improv	436	386	66.0
ALA	Berkeley	9th St Bicycle Blvd Ext Pathway Ph II	895	850	92.0
ALA	Berkeley	John Muir ES SRTS	382	363	84.8
ALA	Berkeley	Oxford/Jefferson ES SRTS	303	287	87.0
ALA	Berkeley	Sacramento St Pedestrian Improvement	1,766	1,678	89.0
ALA	Berkeley	San Pablo Ave Pedestrian Improvements	490	462	84.0
ALA	Berkeley	University Ave Pedestrian Improvements	824	783	79.8
ALA	EBRPD	Doolittle Dr Bay Trail - MLK, Jr Shoreline Oak	19,750	1,000	59.0
ALA	Emeryville	South Bayfront Bike/Ped Bridge	19,412	3,000	84.7
ALA	Hayward	Tennyson Bike/Ped Bridge	1,161	1,161	58.3
ALA	Oakland	19th St BART to Lake Merritt Urban Greenway	4,683	4,583	91.8
ALA	Oakland	27th St Gateway	3,293	2,913	87.4
ALA	Oakland	Park Blvd SRTS	1,760	1,448	89.0
ALA	Oakland	Telegraph Ave Complete Streets	4,914	4,554	100.0
ALA	Piedmont	Pedestrian Safety & Bike Lane Implementation	3,456	3,062	91.0

Co	Agency	Project Title	Total Project Cost (\$1,000s)	Total Fund Request (\$1,000s)	MTC Reg'l Score
CCC	Antioch	Delta DeAnza Regional Trail Gap Closure	624	500	52.3
CCC	Antioch	Fitzuren Rd Sidewalk Gap Closure and Bike Lane	400	300	56.0
CCC	Antioch	John Marsh ES Pedestrian Improvements	1,650	1,400	63.3
CCC	CCTA	Mokelumne Pedestrian O/C	6,139	5,424	61.7
CCC	Contra Costa Co	Appian Wy Complete Streets	5,710	5,650	81.0
CCC	Contra Costa Co	Bailey Rd/SR 4 Interchange Bike/Ped Improv	5,195	4,160	86.0
CCC	Contra Costa Co	Fred Jackson Wy 1st Mile/Last Mile Connection	4,400	4,356	89.0
CCC	Contra Costa Co	Pacheco Blvd Sidewalk Gap Closure Ph III	1,235	759	91.0
CCC	Contra Costa Co	Rio Vista ES Pedestrian Connection	905	600	96.0
CCC	EBRPD	Lone Tree Pt Bay Trail - Hercules to Rodeo CCC	2,458	378	73.0
CCC	El Cerrito	SRTS for Summit K2	1,170	1,170	81.0
CCC	Lafayette	Pleasant Hill Rd. Complete Streets	3,967	3,480	84.0
CCC	Moraga, Town of	Moraga Way Bike/Ped Improv	892	800	88.0
CCC	Moraga, Town of	St. Mary's Rd Improvements: Rheem Blvd.-Bollinger	4,890	440	70.0
CCC	Pittsburg	Railroad Ave Multi-Use Trail	1,765	1,545	66.8
CCC	Richmond	Goodrick Ave Bay Trail Gap Closure	1,462	1,271	90.0
CCC	Richmond	Regional Transit Connectivity Improv, Harbor Wy & 16th St	2,557	2,456	67.3
CCC	Richmond	Yellow Brick Rd in Richmond's Iron Triangle	6,452	6,209	96.4
CCC	San Pablo	Rumrill Blvd Complete Streets Improv	5,610	4,310	98.0
CCC	San Ramon	Street Smarts Traffic Safety Program	426	318	84.9
CCC	Walnut Creek	Crosswalk Safety Enhancement: 5 locations	628	555	50.0
CCC	Walnut Creek	SRTS Cedro Lane Improvements	987	874	63.1
MRN	Corte Madera	Central Marin Regional Pathways Gap Closure	2,797	2,475	84.0
MRN	Marin County	Mill Valley/Sausalito Multi-Use Path	1,090	872	57.7
MRN	Marin Transit	Novato Transit Facility: Pedestrian Access and Safety Improv	3,255	1,286	94.0
MRN	Novato	North Novato SMART	982	850	51.0
MRN	San Rafael	Francisco Blvd. E/Grand Ave Bridge Ped/Bike	5,628	3,040	89.0
MRN	SMART	SMART Pathway - San Rafael (McInnis to Smith Ranch)	2,468	2,050	70.0
NAP	Napa	SR 29 Bike/Ped Undercrossing	795	698	77.9
NAP	Napa Co (NCTPA)	Napa Valley Vine Trail - St. Helena to Calistoga	9,206	6,106	95.0
NAP	St. Helena	New Sidewalk Construction	399	399	61.7

Co	Agency	Project Title	Total Project Cost (\$1,000s)	Total Fund Request (\$1,000s)	MTC Reg'l Score
SCL	Campbell	Eden Ave Sidewalk Improvements	520	460	70.9
SCL	Cupertino	iWalk/iBike Cupertino	2,554	2,554	66.6
SCL	Gilroy	Downtown Gilroy Specific Plan RR Alley Streetscape	1,741	1,539	58.0
SCL	Gilroy	Fifth Street Streetscape	1,120	990	81.0
SCL	Gilroy	Fourth Street Streetscape	1,110	980	69.0
SCL	Gilroy	Gourmet Alley Streetscape	2,767	2,448	81.0
SCL	Gilroy	Lions Creek Trail	1,644	1,454	80.3
SCL	Gilroy	Lions Creek Trail West	519	458	77.3
SCL	Gilroy	West Branch Llagas Creek Trail	1,580	1,398	82.7
SCL	Los Altos	Citywide SRTS	2,284	1,942	71.0
SCL	Los Altos Hills	West Fremont Rd Pathways	1,320	1,056	61.0
SCL	San Jose	ATP Safety and Behavior Change Campaign	989	889	91.0
SCL	San Jose	Coyote Creek Trail: Mabury to Empire	6,184	5,256	96.0
SCL	Santa Clara Co RDA	Fitzgerald Ave Bike/Ped Shoulder & Intersection Improv	1,500	1,100	59.0
SCL	Santa Clara Co RDA	Pedestrian Sensors - Various Locations (SCI Co)	900	700	61.0
SCL	Saratoga	Highway 9 Pedestrian Safety Improv	2,173	1,800	78.0
SCL	Sunnyvale	Interactive Audible Countdown ADA Ped Signals	770	654	64.0
SF	San Francisco DPH	SF SRTS 2017-2019 NI	2,931	2,797	92.7
SF	San Francisco PW	John Yehall Chin SRTS	3,189	2,635	0.0
SF	San Francisco PW	Lombard St Vision Zero	7,697	3,800	91.7
SF	San Francisco PW	Upper Haight Pedestrian Improvements	10,023	1,880	89.0
SF	SFMTA	SE SF Multi-Modal Safety Upgrades	27,394	10,164	91.0
SF	SFMTA	SFMTA Pedestrian Wayfinding Program	980	980	78.0
SF	SFMTA	Vision Zero NOMA/SOMA Signal Retiming	4,368	3,977	66.3
SF	SFMTA	Vision Zero SF Safer Intersections	2,780	2,780	89.2
SF	TBJPA	Transbay Transit Bike/Ped Safety and Accessibility	11,480	2,922	82.0
SM	Belmont	Ralston Ave Corridor Complete Streets	8,908	7,886	63.0
SM	Daly City DPW	Central Corridor Bike/Ped Safety Improvements	2,276	2,019	84.0
SM	East Palo Alto	University Ave Complete Streets Pilot	4,900	4,360	81.7
SM	Pacifica	Palmetto Ave Streetscape	4,900	2,900	66.0
SM	San Carlos	Hwy 101 Bike/Ped Overcrossing	4,500	3,600	86.0

Co	Agency	Project Title	Total Project Cost (\$1,000s)	Total Fund Request (\$1,000s)	MTC Reg'l Score
SM	San Carlos	SRTS Improvements - Arroyo and Orange Ave	685	685	81.0
SM	San Mateo Co	Redwood City 2020 STEP	966	966	89.0
SM	San Mateo, City of	Hillsdale/US-101 Bike/Ped O/C	41,918	2,655	85.6
SM	So San Francisco	Sunshine Gardens Traffic Calming Improvements	1,139	968	73.0
SM	So. San Francisco	Linden/Spruce Ave Traffic Calming Improv	1,038	868	68.8
SM	Woodside	Woodside ES Student Pathway	994	795	82.0
SOL	Fairfield	E. Tabor/Tolenas SRTS Gap Closure	1,700	1,700	87.0
SOL	Fairfield	W. Texas St Gateway Improvements	3,500	3,500	86.0
SOL	Rio Vista	Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan	120	100	75.0
SOL	Solano Co	Farm to Market Ph I	2,131	1,420	75.0
SOL	Solano Transportation Authority	Bay Trail/Vine Trail Gap Closure: Vallejo to American Canyon	7,489	6,208	89.5
SOL	Solano Transportation Authority	SR2S Infrastructure & NI: Benicia, Rio Vista, Vallejo	3,467	3,067	92.0
SOL	Suisun	McCoy Creek Trail Improvements Ph II	2,720	2,720	79.0
SON	Santa Rosa	Jennings Ave At-Grade Bike/Ped Xing - SMART RR Tracks	2,217	1,279	75.6
SON	Sebastopol	Bike Lanes on Rte 116, City of Sebastopol	1,000	800	77.1
SON	SMART	SMART Pathway - Petaluma (Payran to Southpoint)	3,272	1,950	84.0
SON	Sonoma Co Regional Parks	Bellevue Creek Trail	1,355	1,300	76.0
SON	Sonoma County Air Pollution Di	Crocker Road Bike/Ped	2,197	1,944	63.0
SON	Sonoma County DPW	Willowside SRTS	1,700	900	79.0
107 Applications Received.			Totals	532,133	218,029

Color Key

- White on Black: Projects Funded by the Statewide ATP
- Black on Green: Projects Recommended in the Regional ATP
- Black Strikeout on White: Withdrawn Project

Date: February 25, 2015
W.I.: 1515
Referred by: PAC
Revised: 10/28/15-C

ABSTRACT

Resolution No. 4172

This resolution adopts the Active Transportation Program (ATP) Regional Program Cycle 2 Guidelines and Program of Projects for the San Francisco Bay Area, for submission to the California Transportation Commission (CTC), consistent with the provisions of Senate Bill 99 and Assembly Bill 101.

This resolution includes the following attachments:

- Attachment A – Guidelines: Policies, Procedures and Project Selection Criteria
- Attachment B – Regional Active Transportation Program of Projects

This resolution was amended by Commission Action on October 28, 2015 to include Attachment B, the Regional Active Transportation Program of Projects.

Further discussion of these actions is contained in the Summary Sheet to the MTC Programming and Allocations Committee dated February 11, 2015 and October 14, 2015.

Date: February 25, 2015
W.I.: 1515
Referred by: PAC

RE: Adoption of Regional Active Transportation Program (ATP)
Cycle 2 Guidelines and Program of Projects

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
RESOLUTION NO. 4172

WHEREAS, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) is the regional transportation planning agency for the San Francisco Bay Area pursuant to Government Code Section 66500 *et seq.*; and

WHEREAS, MTC has adopted and periodically revises, pursuant to Government Code Sections 66508 and 65080, a Regional Transportation Plan (RTP); and

WHEREAS, MTC is the designated Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) for the nine-county San Francisco Bay Area region and is required to prepare and endorse a Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) which includes federal funds; and

WHEREAS, MTC is the designated recipient for federal funding administered by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) assigned to the MPO/Regional Transportation Planning Agency (RTPA) of the San Francisco Bay Area for the programming of projects (regional federal funds); and

WHEREAS, the California State Legislature passed and the Governor signed into law Senate Bill 99 (Chapter 359, Statutes 2013) and Assembly Bill 101 (Chapter 354, Statutes 2013), establishing the Active Transportation Program (ATP); and

WHEREAS, MTC adopts, pursuant to Streets and Highways Code Section 2381(a)(1), an Active Transportation Program of Projects using a competitive process consistent with guidelines adopted by the California Transportation Commission (CTC) pursuant to Streets and Highways Code Section 2382(a), that is submitted to the CTC and the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans); and

WHEREAS, MTC has developed, in cooperation with CTC, Caltrans, operators of publicly owned mass transportation services, congestion management agencies, countywide

transportation planning agencies, and local governments, guidelines to be used in the development of the ATP; and

WHEREAS, a multi-disciplinary advisory group evaluates and recommends candidate ATP projects for MTC inclusion in the Active Transportation Program of Projects; and

WHEREAS, the ATP is subject to public review and comment; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that MTC approves the guidelines to be used in the evaluation of candidate projects for inclusion in the ATP, as set forth in Attachment A of this resolution, and be it further

RESOLVED, that MTC approves the Active Transportation Program of Projects, as set forth in Attachment B of this resolution, and be it further

RESOLVED that the Executive Director or designee can make technical adjustments and other non-substantial revisions; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Executive Director shall forward a copy of this resolution, and such other information as may be required to the CTC, Caltrans, and to such other agencies as may be appropriate.

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION



Chair

The above resolution was entered into by the Metropolitan Transportation Commission at a regular meeting of the Commission held in Oakland, California, on February 25, 2015.

Attachment B
Metropolitan Transportation Commission
2015 Active Transportation Program (ATP)
Cycle 2
FY 2016-17 through FY 2018-19
Regional ATP Cycle 2 List of Projects
October 2015

MTC Resolution No. 4172
Attachment B
Adopted: 02/25/15-C
Revised: 10/28/15-C

Regional ATP Cycle 2 Projects (in county order)

County	Implementing Agency	Project	Regional ATP
Alameda	Alameda Co PW	Castro Valley Elementary Safe Routes to School (PS&E)	\$250,000
Alameda	Alameda Co PW	Creekside MS Safe Routes to School	\$475,000
Alameda	Alameda Co PW	Stanton ES Safe Routes to School (PS&E/ROW)	\$300,000
Alameda	Oakland	Telegraph Ave Complete Streets	\$4,554,000
Contra Costa	San Pablo	Rumrill Blvd Complete Streets Improvements	\$4,310,000
Marin	Marin Transit	Novato Transit Facility: Ped Access & Safety Imps	\$1,286,000
Napa	Napa Co (NCTPA)	Napa Valley Vine Trail - St. Helena to Calistoga	\$6,106,000
San Francisco	San Francisco DPH	SF Safe Routes to School Non-Infrastructure	\$2,797,000
San Francisco	San Francisco DPW	Lombard St Vision Zero *Partially Funded*	\$1,854,000
Santa Clara	San Jose	Coyote Creek Trail: Mabury to Empire	\$5,256,000
Solano	Solano TA	SRTS Infrastructure & NI: Benicia, Rio Vista, Vallejo	\$3,067,000
TOTAL:			\$30,255,000

J:\SECTION\ALLSTAFF\Resolution\TEMP-RES\MTC\Oct PAC\[tmp-4172_Attachment-B.xlsx]ATP Cycle 2- 2015-10-28

Regional ATP Cycle 2 Contingency List (in descending score order)

County	Implementing Agency	Project	Regional ATP
San Francisco	San Francisco PW	Lombard St Vision Zero *Remaining Amount*	\$1,946,000
Alameda	ACTC	East Bay Greenway (PS&E)	\$4,125,000
Contra Costa	Contra Costa Co	Pacheco Blvd Sidewalk Gap Closure Ph III	\$759,000
San Francisco	SFMTA	SE SF Multi-Modal Safety Upgrades	\$10,164,000
Alameda	Piedmont	Pedestrian Safety & Bike Lane Implementation	\$3,062,000
Santa Clara	San Jose	ATP Safety and Behavior Change Campaign	\$889,000
Alameda	Alameda Co PW	Somerset Ave School Corridor SRTS (PS&E)	\$330,000
Contra Costa	Richmond	Goodrick Ave Bay Trail Gap Closure	\$1,271,000
Solano	Solano TA	Bay/Napa Vine Trail Gap Closure (Vallejo/Amer Cyn)	\$6,208,000
TOTAL:			\$28,754,000

J:\SECTION\ALLSTAFF\Resolution\TEMP-RES\MTC\Oct PAC\[tmp-4172_Attachment-B.xlsx]ATP Cycle 2- 2015-10-28

C/CAG AGENDA REPORT

Date: October 22, 2015
To: Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee (BPAC)
From: Ellen Barton
Subject: Presentation on the establishment of the County of San Mateo Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee

(For further information please contact Ellen Barton at 650-599-1420)

RECOMMENDATION

That the BPAC receive a presentation on the establishment of the County of San Mateo Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee

FISCAL IMPACT

None.

SOURCE OF FUNDS

NA

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION

On January 27, 2015, the Board of Supervisors passed Resolution No. 073601 designating the San Mateo County Park and Recreation Committee as the County Bicycle Advisory Committee (“BAC”). This action was taken in order to meet the eligibility requirements for the Transportation Development Act of 1971 (“TDA”) Article 3 Fiscal Year 2015-2016 timeline, thereby enabling County departments to remain eligible to apply for grant funds.

When the Board designated the San Mateo County Park and Recreation Commission as the County BAC, it was anticipated that it might be for an interim basis. At the time of the designation, the Commission recommended to the Board of Supervisors to consider establishment of a separate BAC or County Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee (CBPAC).

Establishment of a separate CBPAC allows a greater focus on transportation including education, enforcement, and evaluation of projects to further bicycling and walking in public thoroughfares; increases the breadth of expertise to represent the needs of older adults, school children, and

economic development effects of increased walking and bicycling; and takes advantage of added staff capacity through the Office of Sustainability and the Active Transportation Coordinator position to support the new committee.

At the August 6, 2015 meeting, the Park and Recreation Commission voted unanimously to recommend to the Board of Supervisors the establishment of a separate CBPAC and at the September 2, 2015 meeting, the Board of Supervisors voted to approve Resolution 073998 to allow the establishment of the San Mateo County Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee.

The Office of Sustainability is coordinating with the Board of Supervisors to establish a recruitment procedure and application process. The announcement calling for applicants is expected to be issued in late October, 2015. The screening and interview process is estimated to take place in November, and the first meeting is expected to take place in January, 2016.

ATTACHMENTS

None