

C/CAG

CITY/COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS OF SAN MATEO COUNTY

*Atherton • Belmont • Brisbane • Burlingame • Colma • Daly City • East Palo Alto • Foster City • Half Moon Bay • Hillsborough • Menlo Park
Millbrae • Pacifica • Portola Valley • Redwood City • San Bruno • San Carlos • San Mateo • San Mateo County • South San Francisco • Woodside*

Agenda

Resource Management and Climate Protection Committee (RMCP)

Date: Wednesday, November 20, 2019

Time: 2:00 p.m. to 4:00 p.m.

Location: 455 County Center – 2nd Floor, 201 Conference Room (across from Elevator)

1. Introductions
2. Public Comment
3. Approval of Minutes from August 21, 2019 and October 16, 2019 RMCP Committee meeting
(Kim Springer – Committee Staff) Action
4. Review and approval of RMCP Committee meeting dates for calendar year 2020 and set next meeting date
(Kim Springer – Committee Staff) Action
5. Update on RICAPS 2030 template document enhancements
(Kim Springer – Committee Staff) Information/ Discussion
6. Presentation on solar and battery cost and efficiency trends
(Blake Herrschaft – DNV GL) Information/ Discussion
7. Presentation on Greentech start up and opportunities for public participation in advancing this business community
(Brett Gentry – local startup mentor) Information/Discussion
8. Bay Area Water Supply and Conservation Agency update on current water supply and conservation efforts
(Adrienne Carr – BAWSCA) Information/ Discussion
9. Committee Member Updates
10. Next Scheduled Meeting Date: TBD

PUBLIC NOTICING: All notices of C/CAG regular Board meetings, standing committee meetings, and special meetings will be posted at the San Mateo County Transit District Office, 1250 San Carlos Ave., San Carlos, CA, and on C/CAG's website at: <http://www.ccag.ca.gov>.

PUBLIC RECORDS: Public records that relate to any item on the open session agenda for a regular Board meeting, standing committee meeting, or special meeting are available for public inspection. Those public records that are distributed less than 72 hours prior to a regular Board meeting are available for public inspection at the same time they are distributed to all members, or a majority of the members, of the Board. The Board has designated the City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County (C/CAG), located at 555 County Center, 5th Floor, Redwood City, CA 94063, for the purpose of making public records available for inspection. Such public records are also available on C/CAG's website at: <http://www.ccag.ca.gov>.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION: Public comment is limited to two minutes per speaker. Persons with disabilities who require auxiliary aids or services in attending and participating in this meeting should contact Mima Guilles at (650) 599-1406, five working days prior to the meeting date.

If you have any questions about this agenda, please contact C/CAG staff:

Executive Director: Sandy Wong (650) 599-1409

RMCP Committee Staff: Kim Springer (650) 599-1412

C/CAG - Resource Management and Climate Protection Committee (RMCP)

Minutes of August 21, 2019 Meeting

In Attendance:

Doug Silverstein, Green San Mateo County*
Donna Colson, Mayor Burlingame*
Adrienne Carr, Committee Vice Chair, BAWSCA*
Maryann Moise Derwin, Committee Chair, Councilmember Portola Valley*
Christine Zaugg, Executive Director SSMC*
Diane Papan, Councilmember San Mateo*
Rick DeGolia, Councilmember Atherton*
Ortensia Lopez, Executive Director El Concilio*
Sandy Wong, Director C/CAG
Kim Springer (Staff)
John Allan (Staff)
Lisa Schmidt, Home Energy Analytics (Presenter)
James Tuleya, Home Energy Analytics (Presenter)
Lillian Mirviss, OhmConnect (Presenter)

Not an attendance:

Don Horsley, County Supervisor*
Drew Combs, Councilmember Menlo Park*
Janet Borgens, Councilmember Redwood City*
Bill Chiang, PG&E*

*Committee Member (voting)

1) Introductions

2) Public Comment

3) Approval of Minutes from May 15, 2019 RMCP Committee meeting

No quorum initially, this was moved to between Item 3 and Item 4. Motion to approve by Adrienne Carr, second by Dianne Papan. Motion passes with 1 abstention (Christine Zaugg)

4) Update on San Mateo County Energy & Water Strategy 2025 project

John Allan provided a progress update on the San Mateo County Energy & Water Strategy 2025. The Strategy is under revision after receiving feedback from a larger stakeholder group. Feedback is still being incorporated and a future version will be sent to elected officials for further feedback.

- Donna Colson requested the Strategy be sent to municipal public works staff.
- Christine Zaugg suggested we make sure safety in terms of water quality be included

Kim Springer explained that the scope of the SMC Energy and Water Strategy will be included in the document, and that flood and sea level rise are not wholly included, that some related to water supply will be included.

5) Presentation on HomeIntel residential energy efficiency Program

Lisa Schmidt and James Tuleya from Home Energy Analytics (HEA) delivered a presentation on the benefits of their PG&E-sponsored energy efficiency program which is available to all PG&E customers and focuses on using smart meter data to save energy without significant equipment upgrades or retrofits. HEA is paid based on the site performance savings they help customers achieve and approach each customer as a unique opportunity because they each have unique energy profiles and there is no “typical home”

Since the program launched in August 2017, they've had 1,448 participants and saved 11,960 MMBTUs.

- Rick DeGolia - Why doesn't PG&E allow this program for net energy metering (solar) customers?
- Schmidt - There are data constraints, PG&E doesn't necessarily have access to NEM data.
- DeGolia – This is an example of how PG&E doesn't support solar
- Ortensia Lopez - Is this program available in multiple languages?
- Tuleya – We are not specifically geared for this, but we try to make it work. Promotional materials are in Spanish and Chinese
- Lopez – This is a great product for low-income communities and customers that are just above the low-income threshold.
- Lopez - How are you working with CCAs?
- Schmidt - There are no issues with this but we don't necessarily work with PCE directly. We are involved in the analysis for the electrification pilot
- Maryann Moise Derwin – I've been familiar with this effort for a while and would like to encourage the program as much as possible.
- DeGolia suggested having municipal staff send out in weekly newsletters/blasts
- Donna Colson requested specific language on the program to share with her community.
- Papan – What percent of projects require more substantial fixes?
- Tuleya - The majority of problems (80%) are related to plug load and behavior and negligence.
- Doug Silverstein – Commenting that there's a few different ways to address energy efficiency. He prefers Ohmconnect's model and thinks policy change is the most effective.
- Tuleya – To be clear, this goes beyond what people are used to and helps people understand the problems you're pointing out.

6) Presentation on OhmConnect community demand response program

Lillian Mervis with OhmConnect government affairs presented an overview of the OhmConnect business model where customers are paid based on their savings during select hours.

- DeGolia – Does it work for NEM customers?
- Mervis - Yes, they're marked at 0 (no benefit) if they have negative use

Everything is based on an online platform that includes a dashboard to show savings, progress to goals, etc. Ohm connect makes money through demand response auction mechanism. OhmConnect essentially functions as a power plant but instead of adding energy to the grid, they reduce demand. During the summer of 2019, OhmConnect paid out over \$11M to customers and reduced electricity consumption by 855 megawatt hours. OhmConnect has a strong focus on DACs which account for 15-20% of user base.

- Papan – Can a customer lose money on this program?
- Mervis -You can lose profits, but you can't go below 0
- Lopez – What are your cultural competencies?
- Mervis - This is a priority for us right now
- Springer – How is a baseline calculated?
- Mervis - We create an updated baseline based on the past 10 days use.

7) Update on countywide Reach Code adoption effort

Springer provided background information on reach code effort including timeline, project partners and the adoption process. Springer provided an update on where each city and town is in the process. Springer emphasized that these are not the most exact details as things are moving quickly and each city is individualizing their approach.

- Zaugg – SSMC will likely be presenting awards to cities that pass reach codes.
- Silverstein – There’s been a lot of investment in this effort but feels like we aren’t getting the best return on investment. There is more opportunity for cities to take stronger codes. It’s on the leadership of the cities to push for this. There have been two cities that have introduced codes (San Mateo and Menlo Park)
- Papan – How many cities are updating versus adopting brand new codes? It seems like it will be much easier for the cities that have already been through the process.
- Silverstein – There are still opportunities to push this further, but time is running short. I don’t want to be sitting here 2 months later and wishing we could have done more. Looking at other jurisdictions around the bay area and recent news on reach codes, there are different types of codes based on fuels. Menlo Park has gone all electric by saying it’s just easier to implement the codes.
- DeGolia – Clarifying which buildings are all-electric.
- Silverstein – Cities that have already passed reach codes have already done the work for the rest of the cities because the staff reports, and studies are completed. Timing will be the biggest issue for the cities.
- DeGolia - Timing is irrelevant, codes can be adopted later. In order to make this successful, the cities need to understand that the reach code doesn’t need to be taken as is. For every city, there is some modification that will work. PCE needs to pitch this properly. Incremental savings are better than no savings at all.
- Colson – Cities should work on a bonus or incentive program rather than penalizing developers for not going all-electric.
- Silverstein - Incentives don’t work as well because people aren’t always concerned about costs. The consistency is better for city staff. There are also concerns that complex requirements create opportunities for loopholes.
- Silverstein – Is it appropriate to set an aspirational goal?
- Derwin – We can set a goal, but it won’t necessarily be met
- DeGolia– We just need more staff and advocates that are bringing it to the council’s attention.

8) Presentation on San Mateo Plain Groundwater Basin water elevation monitoring project

Springer delivered a short presentation on the groundwater situation in SMC and the history of groundwater use. Hetch Hetchy replaced the use of groundwater for the most part. There has been little historical monitoring of groundwater levels and data shows that the basins are full. Groundwater quality is poor currently but better than before. There are still some communities (EPA, Atherton, Menlo Park) that rely on groundwater.

- There were multiple questions clarifying areas and uses of groundwater which were answered by Adrienne Carr.

The Groundwater assessment completed in 2018. SMC not required to manage basin under SGMA. However, monitoring is still a good idea so extra money is being used for a new monitoring project. EKI Environment and Water, Inc was selected to conduct CASGEM monitoring and create a plan for monitoring including identifying specific wells and setting up a database portal. The hope is to put together a funding commitment for ongoing monitoring at 6-month intervals. EKI is working on finalizing stakeholders and reaching out to well owners

- Carr – This has been in the works for a while but has fallen apart without state requirements. It’s great that the County is pulling this together. It won’t be a large commitment.

9) Committee Member Updates

- Zaugg – SSMC Indicator Reports – Next effort launching Oct 31st @ Oracle
- Zaugg – SSMC Award Ceremony – April 2nd, 2020
- Silverstein – Land & Water Policy: Backing our Coastside Neighbors – August 28th

10) Next Scheduled Meeting Date – September 18, 2019

C/CAG

CITY/COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS OF SAN MATEO COUNTY

*Atherton • Belmont • Brisbane • Burlingame • Colma • Daly City • East Palo Alto • Foster City • Half Moon Bay • Hillsborough • Menlo Park
Millbrae • Pacifica • Portola Valley • Redwood City • San Bruno • San Carlos • San Mateo • San Mateo County • South San Francisco • Woodside*

RMCP Committee Meeting Minutes

October 16, 2019

In Attendance:

Janet Borgens*
Ortensia Lopez*
Maryann Moise Derwin*
Adrienne Carr*
Doug Silverstein*
Donna Colson*
Kim Springer, OOS
Danielle Lee, OOS
Susan Wright, OOS
John Allan, OOS
Jim Eggemeyer, OOS
John Sarter, Clean Coalition
Negin Ashoori – BAWSCA
Carol Stanfield, Sierra Club Loma Prieta Chapter
Tom Francis – Water Conservation Manager BAWSCA
Drew, Public

Not in Attendance:

Don Horsley*
Drew Combs*
Rick DeGolia*
Diane Papan*
Bill Chiang*
Christine Zaugg*

1. Introductions
2. Public Comment
 - a. **Carol Stanfield**– Water resilience plan input process – Looking for input from stakeholder on how to be more resilient. Sierra Club, Loma Prieta Chapter is working with national organizations.
3. Approval of Minutes from August 21, 2019 RMCP Committee meeting
 - a. No quorum - Continued
4. Presentation on RICAPS template and tools updates – Susan Wright

Susan Wright provided background on the RICAPS program including the program history, purpose and tools. Recent updates include updated CAP templates, electrification measures funded by PCE, and GHG Inventories. Newer work is focusing on an updated CAP template as well as regional approaches to Climate action planning in partnership with BayREN. Previous funders of RICAPS include PG&E, C/CAG and BAAQMD.

Cap 2.0: This new template is looking at deeper systemic changes that streamline measures and include flexibility to accommodate technological advances. Other considerations include consumption-based inventories, equity and resilience.

Factors affecting the update are new state and local goals/policies, especially the San Mateo County Climate Emergency Declaration. Targets include a minimum 40% reduction by 2030 but other cities can be more aggressive. Other pieces that may be included are carbon sequestration, landfill measures and adaptation/resilience measures. This approach would also consider common KPIs that will allow jurisdictions to be compared more readily.

Stakeholder engagement of Template includes leveraging community groups, engaging businesses and act as a convener to bring stakeholders together. Formatting will also be updated to maximize accessibility.

Kim Springer Clarified that the template is being modernized to address current issues and think regionally on the problems we all counties are concerned with. Cities will still take this and make their own changes to the template to fit their individual city needs.

Silverstein: 5 cities have finished CAP updates through 2030 and many are using consultants. It may be more important for cities to work with consultants than use this set of tools.

Susan Wright– the cities that have reached out are in need of support and have planned to use the RICAPS tools.

Stanfield– A template is worthwhile, but we need to identify specific implementation pathways.

Drew – Many areas in climate action are ignored due to siloes – for example traffic signals can be optimized which can result in GHG reductions – As a homeowner, water vs. energy can be siloed as well. A lot of these systems should be more integrated.

Ortensia Lopez – How will equity be further integrated? Low income and DAC absolutely must be a part of the solution

Wright – We haven't specifically identified but it will be baked in to the entire template

Silverstein– We also need to think about green jobs and how they will or can play a role in this sector

Donna Colson – How do we consider the circular economy, for example, the lifecycle of solar panels or working conditions for factory workers outside of the country

Wright – This is exactly what the consumption-based inventory starts to consider

Silverstein – There are many reports that look at this exact lifecycle analysis

Wright – This is something we'll definitely want to acknowledge in the template.

Colson – How can new technologies help decarbonize? Ie: AR/VR allowing for increased telecommuting

5. Presentation by Clean Coalition on economic and resilience opportunities implementing microgrid, and new technologies – John Sarter, Clean Coalition - **after Item 7**

John Sarter provided an overview the process to establish a community microgrid in Calistoga, which includes a feasibility assessment including solar siting, planning and engineering including economic and technical analysis, and RFP/Project completion. The Calistoga solar siting survey identified over 25MW of space for solar, and identified two schools, a grocery store, WWTP, fire station and fairgrounds. Stakeholder engagement included business, city council and facility managers.

Another project, the Goleta Load Pocket, and area served by 1 transmission line is highly vulnerable. The project includes 200 MW of solar and 400 MWh, which will provide 100% protection against mass outage

Community microgrids are cheaper than developing new gas peaker plants. The Montecito community microgrid overview is 10% of load 100% of time, 100% of load at least 25% of the time.

John provided an overview of a new, Vehicle to X (Building or Grid), Canadian company DCBEL which will soon be selling a V2B solar inverter and bidirectional EV charger. This will allow EVs to, essentially, act as backup generators

Colson – Can these technologies can help with load shaping?

Sarter – Yes, absolutely. Not quite ready for commercial use though. Launching early next year, won multiple awards with over 200 patents

Wright– Does the payback calculation include peak shaving?

Sarter - Yes, as well as equipment replacement

Stanfield – How does one get started with a microgrid?

Sarter – Hire consultant and look at rebates/incentives.

Stanfield – but how do we figure where to site even before that?

Sarter – Generally, places of refuge, energy storage costs are coming down

Stanfield – How does Clean coalition get involved?

Sarter – Responding to RFPs

6. Presentation on solar cost and efficiency trends – Blake Herrschaft – DNV GL

- a. **Item removed and moved to next meeting**

7. BAWSCA water conservation programs update – Tom Francis **After Item 8**

Tom Francis provided and overview of new BAWSCA water conservation programs:

QWEL Qualified Water Efficient Landscape Training, which is a program to train professionals on water efficiency. It is a 20-hour (3 day) course including field work, taught in English or Spanish and provides a certificate after passing exam – listed on WaterSense website

There is also a new, Regional Smart Controller Program, which is a rebate program for installation of smart irrigation controllers. For agencies, this is a subscription-based program implemented in partnership with Regional Water Authority. It provides an overview of smart irrigation controllers – controllable, wireless. More details to come.

Tom also reminded the Committee of existing programs:

- Lawn be gone revamp
- High efficiency toilet program, which is ending, after the end of the year

Tom also shared that there are new Water Use Efficiency Standards, tied to AB 1668 and SB 606, making water conservation a California way of life. BAWSCA is looking to get ahead of these requirements through their Conservation Strategic Plan

Other efforts:

- Baseline Assessment of agency capabilities
- Implementation of water loss Management Program
- Commercial/Industrial customer self-audit pilot program
- Residential Indoor/Outdoor water use study

BAWSCA completing Demand Study to project demands and conservation savings through 2045.

Stanfield – CalWater has been resistant to Sierra Club efforts. Has BAWSCA considered adopting SFPUC programs? For example, SF has time of sale audit and retrofit requirements or onsite reuse requirements

Francis – Our challenge is that we aren't the utility or city, most of these programs are up to the city to develop or implement

Stanfield – It would be great if BAWSCA served as a model for their member agencies

Janet Borgens – Really important to know how many people are living in a home due to the current housing crisis with multiple families living in the same unit.

Colson – We're expecting significant increases in density, how will new state requirements affect this?

Francis – It's per capita for residential and commercial is still being figured out.

Borgens – Recycled water is playing a big part of this in Redwood City

Maryann Derwin – Is QWEL training happening now?

Francis – Will happen early spring 2020. Location TBD based on member agencies.

Drew – Consideration of silos again. These water conservation programs and greywater programs could benefit from integration

Drew – Lawn begone program, I'm penalized because I let my lawn die responsibly

Stanfield – Greywater system programs are already working around the state

8. Committee Member Updates - **after Item 4**
 - a. **Colson** – SeaChange Burlingame Meeting, FSLRRD has been approved,
 - b. **Doug Silverstein** – CEC Burlingame event to Save Water Energy and Money
 - c.
9. Next Scheduled Meeting – November 20th

C/CAG AGENDA REPORT

Date: November 20, 2019
To: Resource Management and Climate Protection Committee
From: Kim Springer, Committee Staff
Subject: Review and approval of RMCP Committee meeting dates for calendar year 2020 and set next meeting date

(For further information contact Kim Springer at 650-599-1412)

RECOMMENDATION

Review and approve of RMCP Committee meeting dates for calendar year 2020 and set next meeting date.

FISCAL IMPACT

None

SOURCE OF FUNDS

Funding for the RMCP Committee comes from C/CAG Congestion Relief Funds.

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION

At the change of each calendar year, the Committee reviews and approves the meeting dates for the following calendar year. The RMCP Committee will continue to meet on third Wednesdays from 2:00 to 4:00 p.m., provided staff has enough business to conduct at the meeting.

Staff may cancel a meeting or shift a meeting date with notice to the committee and the public. Meetings will continue to be held (as a preference) at the 155 Bovet Rd., San Mateo location for 2019. The optional meeting location will, generally, be at County Center in Redwood City.

The following dates are the proposed meeting dates for the RMCP Committee in 2020 and January 2021. Once approved, staff will update the C/CAG RMCP Website and provide Committee members appropriate calendar appointments.

January 15	
February 19	August 19
March 18	September 16
April 15	October 21
May 20	November 18
June 17	December 16
July 175	January 20, 2021

ATTACHMENTS

None

C/CAG AGENDA REPORT

Date: November 20, 2019
To: Resource Management and Climate Protection Committee
From: Kim Springer, County Office of Sustainability
Subject: Update on RICAPS 2030 template document enhancements
(For further information contact Kim Springer at 650-599-1412)

RECOMMENDATION

Receive a presentation on update of RICAPS 2030 template document enhancements.

FISCAL IMPACT

None

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION

C/CAG and the County developed a set of climate action planning tools known as the Regional Climate Action Planning Suite (RICAPS), with funding from the Bay Area Air Quality Management District, C/CAG, and PG&E. These public tools were developed in 2009 and include the following:

- Climate action plan (CAP) template document,
- Business as usual forecaster,
- Menu of climate action planning measures with a calculation worksheet for each measure, and Cost-benefit analysis tool.

To support cities in using the tools to develop climate action plans, the San Mateo County Energy Watch program has funded technical assistance and contract with consultant, DNV GL for several years.

Staff are in the process of updating the CAP template document, anticipating that multiple cities, whose current CAPs will “expire” in 2020, will need to update them out to 2030 in the next two years. A link to the current RICAPS template document is provided as an attachment to this report.

Staff will provide a presentation on the integration of new content and streamlining of existing content in the CAP template document. Staff will also provide feedback from San Mateo County cities received at the October RICAPS in-person meeting.

ATTACHMENTS

Current RICAPS Template Document on RMCP Website:

<http://ccag.ca.gov/committees/resource-management-and-climate-protection-committee/>

C/CAG AGENDA REPORT

Date: November 20, 2019
To: Resource Management and Climate Protection Committee
From: Blake Herrschaft, DNV GL
Subject: Presentation on solar and battery cost and efficiency trends
(For further information contact Kim Springer at 650-599-1412)

RECOMMENDATION

Receive a presentation on solar and battery cost and efficiency trends.

FISCAL IMPACT

None

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION

Solar is the technology on which so many initiatives depend to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. Electrification of homes and businesses only reduces GHG emissions if the source of electricity is renewable. The reduction of GHG emissions by transitioning to electrified or other cleaner transportation alternatives also depends on low or zero GHG sources of electricity.

Development of energy from sunlight dates all the way back to around 1850, with the first photovoltaic panels around 1880. The technology has become more efficiency and cost effective over time due to the development of new materials and manufacturing breakthroughs.

The California Solar Initiative had a significant effect on reducing the cost of solar and spurred the growth of the industry as well. As we continue to deploy more solar, the cost continues to decrease, but at what point will it reach a lowest price and highest efficiency.

Battery storage also has a long history. In fact, electric cars were popular in the early 1900s and depended on, what would now be considered, crude battery designs with limited storage capacity. Battery storage for homes and commercial buildings has become more popular and offers an opportunity to shift electricity time of use, and/or to shave peaks use of electricity, or even reduce the need for electric panel capacity, all ways to reducing costs.

Blake Herrschaft, Senior Engineer at DNV GL, will provide a presentation on the trends in solar and battery cost and efficiency. Understanding these trends is important to understanding how they will be deployed in new and renovated construction.

ATTACHMENTS

None

C/CAG AGENDA REPORT

Date: November 20, 2019
To: Resource Management and Climate Protection Committee
From: Brett Gentry, Local Startup Mentor
Subject: Presentation on Greentech start up and opportunities for public participation in advancing this business community

(For further information contact Kim Springer at 650-599-1412)

RECOMMENDATION

Receive a presentation on Greentech start up and opportunities for public participation in advancing this business community.

FISCAL IMPACT

None

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION

Clean technology, and especially launching these new technologies into a viable business, is often very capital intensive. Partners are vital since early pilots are often founder-funded endeavors. Without proof of customer base and viability, there is a funding gap, and promising technologies may never happen.

At the same time, some of these new technology startups could have positive impact on both sides of the greenhouse gas reduction equation; products and services that reduce emissions, and products or services that sequester carbon emissions.

Clean technology often takes years to develop and validate. Venture Capital is largely interested when revenue, which comes from customers, a proven solution, and money or partners.

Brett Gentry is a local consultant to Greentech startups and will lead a discussion, which will include the questions: Are there government agencies interested in partnering and piloting new green tech? Silicon Valley Clean Water has. Are there others in our county? What forms of support are possible via local government?

ATTACHMENTS

None

C/CAG AGENDA REPORT

Date: November 20, 2019
To: Resource Management and Climate Protection Committee
From: Adrienne Carr, BAWSCA, RMCP Committee Member
Subject: Bay Area Water Supply and Conservation Agency (BAWSCA update on current water supply and conservation efforts
(For further information contact Kim Springer at 650-599-1412)

RECOMMENDATION

Receive a BAWSCA update on current water supply and conservation efforts.

FISCAL IMPACT

None

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION

The Bay Area Water Supply and Conservation Agency (BAWSCA), which represents the 26 wholesale customers of the San Francisco Regional Water System, continues to work with its member agencies to use water wisely and plan for future water supply reliability.

BAWSCA staff has been providing short updates of BAWSCA activities to the RCMP Committee. The most recent update was at the October 16, 2019 meeting. Adrienne Carr, Senior Water Resources Specialist, will provide an update again at this meeting on the current activities.

ATTACHMENTS

None