

C/CAG

CITY/COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS OF SAN MATEO COUNTY

*Atherton • Belmont • Brisbane • Burlingame • Colma • Daly City • East Palo Alto • Foster City • Half Moon Bay • Hillsborough • Menlo Park
Millbrae • Pacifica • Portola Valley • Redwood City • San Bruno • San Carlos • San Mateo • San Mateo County • South San Francisco • Woodside*

AGENDA

Congestion Management & Environmental Quality (CMEQ) Committee

Date: Monday, September 21, 2009 3:00 p.m. to 4:15 p.m.
Place: San Carlos Library (Room B), 2nd Floor
610 Elm Street, San Carlos, CA

NOTE: ALTERNATE LOCATION

PLEASE CALL SANDY WONG (599-1409) IF YOU ARE UNABLE TO ATTEND.

- | | | | | |
|----|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| 1. | Public comment on items not on the agenda | Presentations are limited to 3 mins | | 3:00 p.m.
10 mins. |
| 2. | Minutes of June 29, 2009 meeting. | Action
(Richardson) | Pages 1 - 4 | 3:10 p.m.
5 mins. |
| 3. | Recommend approval of providing up to \$90,000 to the County of San Mateo to support countywide climate change related programs | Action
(Springer) | Pages 5 - 6 | 3:15 p.m.
20 mins |
| 4. | Responses to Comments on the Draft 2009 Congestion Management Program (CMP) and Recommendation to Adopt the Final 2009 CMP for San Mateo County | Action
(Hoang) | Pages 7 - 8 | 3:35 p.m.
15 mins |
| 5. | Review and recommend approval of Tier 2 projects to the Lifeline Transportation Program for \$533,072, and approval of modifying project funding for previously approved Tier 1 projects to be consistent with additional funds proposed by the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) under the Lifeline Transportation Program adding \$272,847 for a new total of \$2,825,381, and further approving another Call for Projects for the remaining \$599,783. | Action
(Higaki) | Pages 9 - 14 | 3:50 p.m.
10 mins |
| 6. | Executive Director Report | Potential Action
(Napier) | Oral
Presentation | 4:00 p.m.
5 mins |
| 7. | Member comments and announcements. | Information
(Richardson) | | 4:05 p.m.
10 mins. |

C/CAG

CITY/COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS OF SAN MATEO COUNTY

*Atherton • Belmont • Brisbane • Burlingame • Colma • Daly City • East Palo Alto • Foster City • Half Moon Bay • Hillsborough • Menlo Park
Millbrae • Pacifica • Portola Valley • Redwood City • San Bruno • San Carlos • San Mateo • San Mateo County • South San Francisco • Woodside*

8. Adjournment and establishment of next meeting Action 4:15 p.m.
date: **October 26, 2009.** (Richardson)

NOTE: **All items appearing on the agenda are subject to action by the Committee.
Actions recommended by staff are subject to change by the Committee.**

NOTE: ***Persons with disabilities who require auxiliary aids or services in attending and
participating in this meeting should contact Nancy Blair at 650 599-1406, five
working days prior to the meeting date.***

Other enclosures/Correspondence - None

**CITY/COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS COMMITTEE ON CONGESTION
MANAGEMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY (CMEQ)**

**MINUTES
MEETING OF June 29, 2009**

The meeting was called to order by Chair Richardson in Conference Room C at the City Hall of San Mateo at 3:03 pm.

Attendance sheet is attached.

1. Public comment on items not on the agenda.

Marshall Loring, member of MTC EDAC, announced that the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC), in cooperation with its Elderly and Disable Advisory Committee (EDAC), is going to conduct pedestrian counts at various locations in all nine counties in the Bay Area. The counts will begin in September. Mr. Loring himself will be part of the group who will train volunteers to conduct the counts.

2. Minutes of May 18, 2009 meeting.

Motion: To approve the Minutes of the May 18, 2009 meeting. Lempert/Lloyd. Motion approved.

3. Staff update on the Resource Management & Climate Protection (RMCP) committee, formerly USTF (Information item).

Richard Napier, Executive Director, reported on the new name change for the former Utility and Sustainability Task Force (USTF). It is now called the Resource Management & Climate Protection (RMCP) Committee. The RMCP will report to CMEQ on recommendations and findings. The San Mateo County Energy Watch will be a key part of the RMCP work program.

Mr. Napier also handed out a "Performance to Date / Forecast" showing the amounts of energy reduced to-date resulting from the San Mateo County Energy Watch program.

Barbara Pierce, Chair of RMCP, mentioned the RMCP Committee needs to finalize its membership and determine funding for staff support. She stated that the RMCP Committee will report on the status of Energy Strategy adoption and baseline energy inventory.

Member Kersteen-Tucker would like to see the goals of this committee for the next two years.

4. Review and recommend approval of the Draft 2009 Congestion Management Plan (CMP) and Monitoring Report.

John Hoang presented a brief outline of the Draft 2009 Congestion Management Plan (CMP) and the traffic/transit monitoring report conducted earlier this year.

Some CMEQ members questioned the Level of Service (LOS) for some of the street segments and intersections depicted in the monitoring report did not seem to match with the actual traffic condition perceived. John Hoang and Sandy Wong explained the methods of calculation in the monitoring report. The discrepancy might have come from the two methods of calculation: HCM method versus Circular 12

method. CMEQ members suggested providing footnotes in the report to provide clarification. Chair Richardson also suggested Executive Summary to highlight all the information.

Member Lempert stated the San Mateo County Congestion Relief Plan is a great program. It is a creative way to absolve local cities from the responsibility of the need for preparing Deficiency Plan in case there is a violation.

Vice Chair Pierce suggested the consideration in future measurement of mode shift. For example, as we make more “smart” land use decisions, does it have corresponding shift from auto trips to bike/walk trips.

Pat Giorni make a statement in that more people are riding bicycles nowadays.

Motion: Recommend approval to distribute the Draft 2009 Congestion Management Plan (CMP) and Monitoring Report. Lempert/Kersteeen-Tucker, Motion Approved, unanimously.

5. Presentation on the Countywide shuttle programs by Alliance and SamTrans staff (Information item)

Richard Cook of SamTrans and Mike Stevenson of the Peninsula Traffic Congestion Relief Alliance made a PowerPoint presentation on the shuttle. A copy of the Community Transit Planning and Funding Guidebook was provided at the meeting. Mr. Cook presented a summary of all the shuttles operated and managed by SamTrans. Mr. Stevenson provided information on all the Alliance managed shuttles, including those that are Alliance operated, JPB operated, and City operated, and their funding sources.

6. Informational update on the Burlingame Trolley

Tom Madalena provided an update on the Burlingame Trolley. City of Burlingame applied for C/CAG shuttle grant for the Trolley for FY 2009/10. Staff and the Shuttle Review Committee did not recommend funding at the May 18, 2009 CMEQ meeting. The CMEQ committee did not made a final decision at the May 18 meeting, but requested staff to bring back additional information regarding the Burlingame Trolley. Since then, conversations with the staff from the City of Burlingame indicated that the Burlingame Trolley will continue to operate without C/CAG grant, although at a reduced schedule.

The Trolley has been partly funded by the City of Burlingame historically. But the City could no longer afford to fund the Trolley which was designed to service visitors to the hotels. The Trolley had been operating for approximately 15 years without C/CAG funding. The hotels have decided to continue to operate the trolley as a shuttle with a reduction of service. The trolley used to operate 7 days a week from 11:30 am -9:30 pm. The new shuttle will now operate Monday – Saturday from 9:30 am – 8:15 pm. The Hotels have decided to contract with SFO Shuttle to operate the service as opposed to the old contract with Parking Company of America. There will no longer be a branded “Red Trolley”.

The end result is that the shuttle will continue almost as it used to be without contribution from local government. The City has stated that they are in a position and would be willing to continue their partnership with the hotels if C/CAG would like to contribute the \$101,000. C/CAG staff questions whether it is appropriate to backfill the Burlingame Trolley.

Motion: Accept staff report and leave the Burlingame Trolley as is. Lloyd/Patridge.

7. Executive Director Report

Mr. Napier provided a host of updates on recent C/CAG activities:

- The 2009/10 Budget has been approved. There is no increase in member assessment.
- The hydrogen shuttle has had excellent ridership. It has been running with minimal down time and performing under budget.
- C/CAG approved \$200,000 matching fund for the hydrogen station in SFO. It will leverage \$1.7 million from California Air Resource Board funding for that project.
- The pilot portion of the Smart Corridors project will be ready for construction by September.
- Kudos to Jean Higaki and Sandy Wong, the Belmont Bike/Ped Bridge project secured \$2.1 million Regional funds from MTC and \$2.4 million State funds from the Economic Stimulus program. Thanks to Member Lempert for her support on this project at the MTC. In addition, thanks to Caltrans District 4 Director Bijan Sartipi for his effort in getting the project approved.

8. Member comments and announcements.

Member Lempert mentioned the State budget cut and STA taken away. San Mateo County has always been the biggest supporter of CalTrain of the three counties. However, it can no longer carry the weight and all three counties, will put less money in the Electrification project.

Member Robinson attended the last Joint Powers Board (JPB) meeting. Contingency plan for BART strike was discussed. Member Lloyd added that SamTrans and CalTrain have made contingency plan as well.

In light of the uncertainty and fluctuating funding for transportation, Vice Chair Pierce asked that Mr. Napier to look into options for attain sustainable funding for transportation.

Chair Richardson announced the July 16 & 17 League of California Cities meeting in Sacramento. New ideas to address budget crisis situation will be explored.

Member Lempert prodded that we should look into High Occupancy/Toll (HOT) lanes (also known as Express Lanes)

9. Adjournment and establishment of next meeting date.

Meeting was adjourned at 4:43 pm. There is no scheduled meeting in July

CMEQ 2009 Attendance Record					
Name	Jan 26	Feb 23	April 27	May 18	June 29
Arthur Lloyd	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Barbara Pierce	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Daniel Quigg	Yes				Yes
Gina Papan	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Heyward Robinson	NA	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Irene O'Connell	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Jim Bigelow	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Karyl Matsumoto	Yes	Yes	NA	NA	NA
Lennie Roberts	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes
Linda Koelling	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Naomi Patridge		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Onnolee Trapp	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Richard Garbarino	NA	Yes		Yes	Yes
Sepi Richardson	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes
Steve Dworetzky	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Sue Lempert		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Zoe Kersteen- Tucker	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Other attendees at June 29, 2009 meeting:					
Richard Napier, Sandy Wong, Tom Madalena, John Hoang	C/CAG Staff				
Richard Cook	SamTrans				
Mike Stevenson	Alliance				
Pat Dixon	SMCTA CAC				
Marshall Loring	MTC EDAC				
Kari Binley	SSMC				
Pat Giorni					

C/CAG AGENDA REPORT

Date: September 21, 2009
To: Congestion Management and Environmental Quality Committee
From: Kim Springer, County Staff to C/CAG
Subject: Recommend approval of providing up to \$90,000 to the County of San Mateo to support countywide climate change related programs
(For further information contact Kim Springer at 599-1412 or Richard Napier at 599-1420)

RECOMMENDATION

Recommend approval of providing up to \$90,000 to the County of San Mateo to support countywide climate change related programs.

FISCAL IMPACT

Up to \$90,000

SOURCE OF FUNDS

Various fund sources aligned with the specific programs

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION

Historically, the County has provided staff support for a variety of programs under C/CAG and there are ongoing and new programs that are countywide that the County feels should be supported by some C/CAG funding.

The following are some examples:

The former Utilities and Sustainability Task Force (USTF) completed the San Mateo County Energy Strategy work in December 2008, and the C/CAG Board adopted a resolution to convert this committee into the Resource Management and Climate Protection, a permanent C/CAG committee. The County has been asked to provide staff support for this new committee.

The County has been operating a Green Building Program for several years. For a little over a year, the County has been providing support to all the jurisdictions in San Mateo County, holding workshops and training to staff to support the cities through the process of developing Green Building ordinances. Development of Green Building policy and education for the jurisdictions in San Mateo County was expressed as a priority at the 2008 Board Retreat.

The C/CAG Board is the Local Task Force (LTF) to the California Integrated Waste Management Board (CIWMB). County staff have been supporting the LTF and providing support to all the jurisdiction in San Mateo County via the Countywide Recycling Committee and through development and printing of outreach literature and a Countywide call-in hotline for

many years, under the RecycleWorks program.

A new program is the San Mateo County Green Business Program. Ten cities are currently participating in the program, which requires considerable city staff time to administer. Due to changes in the statewide program, which include a statewide database and measurement solution that tracks businesses as they progress through the Green Business certification process, the County of San Mateo is seeking funding partners to expand the program throughout all 21 jurisdictions within the County of San Mateo.

All of the above efforts have catalyzed into formality, what have been ongoing discussions with the C/CAG Executive Direct for the past year or two.

C/CAG and County staff are working out the details of matching potential funding sources from C/CAG to the above program, and staff will bring more finalized details to CMEQ on the date of the meeting.

ATTACHMENT

No Attachments

C/CAG AGENDA REPORT

Date: September 21, 2009

To: Congestion Management and Environmental Quality (CMEQ) Committee

From: CMP Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)

Subject: Responses to comments on the Draft 2009 Congestion Management Program (CMP) and recommendation to adopt the Final 2009 CMP for San Mateo County

(For further information contact John Hoang 363-4105)

RECOMMENDATION

That the CMEQ accepts the responses to comments on the Draft 2009 Congestion Management Program (CMP) and recommend adoption of the Final 2009 CMP.

FISCAL IMPACT

Adopting the CMP in itself will not have any fiscal impact.

SOURCE OF FUNDS

Not applicable.

BACKGROUND/DISSION

The Draft 2009 Congestion Management Program (CMP) and the notices of its availability for review were issued to all interested parties on August 17, 2009. Staff did not receive any external comments by the close of the review period on September 9, 2009, and therefore finalized the 2009 CMP based on comments from the TAC and CMEQ Committees and the Board. In addition to minor editorial changes, the following updates were incorporated in the Final 2009 CMP:

- Added Executive Summary
- Chapter 3 – page 3-18: under “Level of Service Issues for Future CMPs”, added item #5 to take into consideration different severity levels within the LOS F designation.
- Chapter 5 – page 5-11: under “Other Local TSM/TDM Programs”, updated SFO’s TSM Program information.
- Appendix G – updated “Status of Capital Improvement Projects”
- Appendix F – Included Final 2009 CMP Monitoring Report with updates to “Table 3 – Average Travel Time” to include expanded footnote #5 for Caltrain travel time and updated “Table 4 - Transit Ridership” with final fiscal year totals.

Once adopted by the C/CAG Board, the Final 2009 CMP will be submitted to MTC by December 1, 2009, for a consistency review with the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP).

ATTACHMENT

- Final 2009 Congestion Management Program (CMP) for San Mateo County (Report only)
- Final 2009 Monitoring Report (Appendix F)

(Provided for CMEQ members only and submitted separately. Other interested parties may contact John Hoang at 650-363-4105 for copies)

C/CAG AGENDA REPORT

Date: September 21, 2009

To: Congestion Management and Environmental Quality Committee (CMEQ)

From: CMP Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)

Subject: Review and recommend approval of Tier 2 projects to the Lifeline Transportation Program for \$533,072, and approval of modifying project funding for previously approved Tier 1 projects to be consistent with additional funds proposed by the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) under the Lifeline Transportation Program adding \$272,847 for a new total of \$2,825,381, and further approving another Call for Projects for the remaining \$599,783.

(For further information please contact Jean Higaki at 650-599-1462)

RECOMMENDATION

That the Congestion Management and Environmental Quality Committee (CMEQ) review and recommend approval of Tier 2 projects to the Lifeline Transportation Program for \$533,072, and approval of modifying project funding for previously approved Tier 1 projects to be consistent with additional funds proposed by the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) under the Lifeline Transportation Program adding \$272,847 for a new total of \$2,825,381, and further approving another Call for Projects for the remaining \$599,783.

FISCAL IMPACT

This program has approximately \$744,251 in Proposition 1B (Prop 1B) available for San Mateo County for the Tier 2 Program starting in fiscal year 2009-10 through fiscal year 2009-2011. The Tier 2 program will receive an additional \$515,886 in Job Access Reverse Commute (JARC) and State Transit Assistance (STA) that shall be directed to Tier 1 projects.

SOURCE OF FUNDS

The two State-funding sources are Proposition 1B Public Transportation Modernization, Improvement, and Service Enhancement Account (PTMISEA) funds (Prop 1B) and State Transit Assistance (STA). Federal funding source is Job Access Reverse Commute (JARC). All funding is from the MTC Lifeline Transportation Program.

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION

The purpose of the program is to fund community-based transportation projects that improve the mobility of low-income residents. This is an MTC program that C/CAG will administer for San Mateo County.

This program requires a 20% local match and the recipient must either be eligible to receive state transit funds or must partner with a sponsor agency that is able to receive transit funds.

Tier 2 Project Selection

A call for projects was issued on May 20, 2009 and applications were due on June 30, 2009. At the time of this call only Proposition 1B fund source was available which limited projects to transit capital improvements. For this Tier 2 call, four applications were received. The program was under subscribed with \$509,072 being requested and \$744,251 available.

For the selection of projects, C/CAG staff organized a selection committee composed of Juda Tolmasoff from the County Legislative office, Joe Hurley from San Mateo Transportation Authority, William Allen from the MTC Minority Citizens Advisory Committee, Therese Trivedi from MTC, and Tom Madalena from C/CAG. This committee convened on July 8, 2008 to finalize scoring of the applications.

All four projects appeared eligible for some amount of funding however additional information was requested by the selection committee for all projects. The selection committee recommended funding all four of the projects, contingent on the receipt of SamTrans concurrence of sponsorship and additional information from project sponsors. Funding recommendations are revised from those presented at the July 16, 2009 Technical Advisory Committee based on additional information received by the applicants.

Additional STA and JARC Funding

On August 27, 2009 MTC notified staff that \$295,228 in STA and \$220,658 in JARC would be added to the Tier 2 program. MTC extended the Tier 2 submittal deadline from September 30th to October 30th. MTC realized that this was not enough time to send another call for projects and recommended that program administrators (C/CAG) backfill the funding reduced from the Tier 1 program in March 12, 2009.

Staff increased funding on all projects awarded funds under the Tier 1 call for projects. All projects except fixed route 280 will receive their original requested funding levels. Service on fixed route 280 is being reduced so it is difficult to justify augmentation of lifeline funds to this project. After fully funding the remaining projects, \$243,039 in STA remains.

All applicants must obtain sponsorship from the San Mateo County Transit District (SamTrans) for access to the Prop 1B and STA funds. It is estimated that SamTrans will incur up to approximately \$24,000 (~\$6,000 per project) in administrative cost acting as Prop 1B sponsor and STA pass through agency. Of the remaining \$243,039 in STA, \$24,000 will be directed to SamTrans for administration of the Tier 2 projects funded by Prop 1B, since Prop 1B funds cannot be used for administration cost. All Tier 1 applicants had already accounted for SamTrans administrative cost in their applications.

Upon adoption by the C/CAG board, the recommendation for both Tier 1 revisions and Tier 2 projects will be sent to MTC for adoption. Project sponsors will then be directed to work with SamTrans to fill out the PTMISEA Prop 1B funding applications to forward to MTC. MTC will process the PTMISEA applications and funding will pass back through SamTrans to each project sponsor under a SamTrans funding agreement. For STA funds, SamTrans will enter into a funding agreement with each project sponsor to pass through STA funds. MTC will issue a funding agreement directly with each project sponsor to pass through JARC funds.

Call for Projects

Funding left from both Tier 1 and Tier 2 Call for Projects, and from the addition of Tier 2 money is shown below:

Left over Proposition 1B funds and STA funds from Tier 1 and Tier 2

Proposition 1B funds left from Tier 1	\$145,565
Proposition 1B funds left from Tier 2	\$235,179
Left over STA funds from Tier 2 (\$243,039-\$24,000)	<u>\$219,039</u>
Total left over funds to go out for another Call for Projects	\$599,783

Staff exhausted the JARC over the STA with the anticipation that more organizations would be able to meet the 20% match requirement over the 50% match requirement specific to JARC funding. It is recommended that another Call for Projects be sent out as there have been some inquiries from past project sponsors looking for additional operational funding. Staff has also heard of some interest for capital improvement funds.

ATTACHMENT

- Lifeline Transportation Program Funding Recommendation Attachment A
- Lifeline Transportation Program Funding Recommendation Attachment B
- Lifeline Transportation Program Funding Recommendation Attachment C

Lifeline Transportation Program Funding Recommendation Tier 2

Agency	Project	STA funds for SamTrans Admin Cost***	1B funds	Total \$ To Be Funded	Total Requested \$	Comments/ Concerns
Pacifica	Senior Service bus/ van purchase	\$6,000	\$56,221	\$56,221	\$56,221	
San Bruno	Belle Air Parking Lot modification	\$6,000	\$151,251	\$151,251	\$151,251	
San Bruno	Senior Shuttle bus	\$6,000	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$100,000	
San Bruno	Sidewalks, solar bus shelters, curb ramps	\$6,000	\$201,600	\$201,600	\$252,000	City requested 100%. Only \$201,600 (80% of total expenditure) is eligible

Available Source \$	\$24,000	\$744,251	\$768,251
Sum of awarded funds	\$24,000	\$509,072	\$533,072

Left over \$**	\$0	\$235,179
----------------	-----	-----------

** \$24,000 from left over (\$243,039) additional STA to pay for SamTrans administrative cost (see Tier 1 attachment)

Left Over Proposition 1B Funding from Tier 1 and Tier 2

Proposition 1B funds left from Tier 1	\$145,565
Proposition 1B funds left from Tier 2	\$235,179
Total Proposition 1B funds left	\$380,744

Left over STA funds from Tier 2 (\$243,039-\$24,000) \$219,039

Total left over funds to go to another Call for Projects **\$599,783**

Lifeline Transportation Program Funding Recommendation - Additional Tier 2 Funding added to Tier 1 Projects

Agency	Project	STA funds	1B funds	JARC funds	New \$ To Be Added	Previous funding	New Funding***
Samtrans	Fixed Route 280				0	415,935	415,935
Samtrans	Fixed Route 17	72,030			72,030	356,393	428,423
Samtrans	Fixed Route 17 (bus procurement)				0	900,000	900,000
Daly City	Bayshore Shuttle Service	-19,841		131,926	112,085	368,929	481,014
City of East Palo Alto	EPA Youth Shuttle, Manager, Bus shelters, Shuttle Operations			80,912	80,912	418,847	499,759
Shelter Network	Van purchase and operations for shelter resident transportation			7,820	7,820	92,430	100,250

Available Source \$	295,228		220,658	515,886
Sum of awarded funds	52,189		220,658	272,847
Left over \$**	243,039		0	243,039

** \$24,000 of left over STA to pay for SamTrans administrative cost (see Tier 2 attachment)

*** SamTrans administrative cost incorporated in project applicant submittals. All Tier 1 applicants awarded funds will receive their requested amounts.

**Lifeline Transportation Program Funding Recommendation For Tier 1
As Approved By The C/CAG Board On March 12, 2009**

Agency	Project	STA funds	1B funds	JARC funds	Total \$ To Be Funded	Total \$ Requested	Comments/ Concerns
Samtrans	Fixed Route 280	415,935	0	0	415,935	500,000	Off the top non competitive
Samtrans	Fixed Route 17	356,393	0	0	356,393	428,423	Off the top non competitive
Samtrans	Fixed Route 17 (bus procurement)	0	900,000	0	900,000	900,000	2nd Call for 1B
Daly City	Bayshore Shuttle Service	368,929	0	0	368,929	481,014	
City of East Palo Alto	EPA Youth Shuttle, Manager, Bus shelters, Shuttle Operatations	207,454	72,000	139,393	418,847	499,759	
SamTrans	Transit Awareness Option	0	0	0	0	100,080	
Shelter Network	Van purchase and operations for shelter resident transportation	0	28,000	64,430	92,430	100,250	

Available Source \$	1,348,711	1,145,565	203,823	2,698,099
Sum of awarded funds	1,348,711	1,000,000	203,823	2,552,534
Left over \$	0	145,565	0	145,565

**Recommended change to State Transit Assistance (STA) Funding
for Lifeline Transportation Program**

Project Receiving STA funds	November STA Funding Recommendation	Percent of STA received	New STA (New STA total X % of STA)
SamTrans fixed Route 280 (East Palo Alto)	\$500,000	31%	\$415,935
SamTrans fixed Route 17 (Coastside)	\$428,423	26%	\$356,393
Daly City Bayshore Shuttle Service	\$443,493	27%	\$368,929
City of East Palo Alto (Shuttles/ Bus Shelters)	\$249,382	15%	\$207,454
Total of STA funds	\$1,621,298	100%	\$1,348,711