

2016 TAC Roster and Attendance								
No.	Member	Agency	Jan	Mar	Apr	Jun	Aug	Sep
1	Jim Porter (Co-Chair)	San Mateo County Engineering		x		x		
2	Joseph Hurley (Co-Chair)	SMCTA / PCJPB / Caltrain	x	x	x	x		x
3	Afshin Oskoui	Belmont Engineering	x	x	x	x	x	x
4	Randy Breault	Brisbane Engineering		x	x	x	x	x
5	Syed Murtuza	Burlingame Engineering	x	x	x	x	x	x
6	Bill Meeker	Burlingame Planning						
7	Sandy Wong	C/CAG	x	x	x	x	x	x
8	Brad Donohue	Colma Engineering	x			x		x
9	John Fuller	Daly City Engineering		x	x	x	x	x
10	Tatum Mothershead	Daly City Planning	x			x		x
11	Jeff Moneda	Foster City Engineering	x	x	x	x	x	x
12	Paul Willis	Hillsborough Engineering	x	x	x	x	x	x
13	Peykan Abbassi	Half Moon Bay	n/a	n/a	n/a	x		x
14	Justin Murphy	Menlo Park Engineering	x	x	x	x	x	x
15	Ray Chan	Millbrae Engineering	n/a	n/a	n/a	x	x	x
16	Van Ocampo	Pacifica Engineering	x		x			x
17	Jessica Manzi	Redwood City Engineering	x	x	x	x	x	x
18	Jimmy Tan	San Bruno Engineering	x	x		x	x	
19	Jay Walter	San Carlos Engineering	x	x	x	x	x	
20	Brad Underwood	San Mateo Engineering	x	x	x	x		x
21	Brian McMinn	South San Francisco Engineering	x	x	x	x	x	x
22	Billy Gross	South San Francisco Planning	x	x	x	x		x
23	vacant	MTC	n/a	x				
24	vacant	Caltrans						

CONGESTION MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (CMP) TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE (TAC)

September 15, 2016
MINUTES

The meeting of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) was held in the SamTrans Offices located at 1250 San Carlos Avenue, 2nd Floor Auditorium, San Carlos, CA. Co-Chair Hurley called the meeting to order at 1:15 p.m. on Thursday, September 15, 2016.

TAC members attending the meeting are listed on the Roster and Attendance on the preceding page. Others attending the meeting were: Jean Higaki, John Hoang, Eliza Yu – C/CAG; and other attendees not signed in.

1. Public comment on items not on the agenda.

None.

2. Issues from the last C/CAG Board meeting.

Approved. Member Walter inquired about status of the Smart Corridor project turn on and Member Oskoui asked that test runs be performed in coordination with Caltrans prior to activating the system. Staff responded that a meeting will be set up to provide stakeholders updates on the project prior to turn on.

3. Approval of the Minutes from August 18, 2016.

Approved.

4. Receive the Draft San Mateo Countywide Transportation Plan 2040

John Hoang introduced the item and Robert Vance, from DKS, presented the overview of the Plan, which is a comprehensive, long-range transportation strategy document that articulates objectives, goals, and policies. Key strategies include enhancing transit, optimizing existing roadway infrastructure, managing transportation demand, and improving ped/bike safety. Major initiatives include integration of land use and transportation, implementing managed lanes on US 101, integration with BART/Caltrain/HSR, BRT and TSP, expansion of express bus and commuter services, arterial management, complete streets, and highway performance assessments. Three public outreach meetings are scheduled for September at the cities of South San Francisco (9/27), Pacifica (9/28), and Menlo Park (9/29). Some comments have already been received and additional comments and feedback are expected through the public review process.

Member Breault requested for clarification regarding the comments on policies to allocate funding based on mode share targets. Response was that there are no plans to shift funding between modes. Member Murtuza asked questions regarding the purpose of the document, what happens to the project list, and how are comments received be responded to. Response is that the CTP will provide context regarding transportation in San Mateo County that will feed into the RTP. Comments will be summarized and response provided. Updates to the document will be made as necessary. The project list is the RTP project list previously submitted to MTC. There are no plans to modify the project list. In addition, there is no project prioritization. Outreach meeting locations are identified on the flyer and a correction

was made to the location of the SSF meeting. It was pointed out that there were no meetings scheduled for the central part of the county.

5. Review and recommend approval of the C/CAG Priority Development Area Parking Policy Technical Assistance Program List of Projects

Jeff Lacap the updated list of projects that includes a total of \$238K (correction from the staff report) for the cities of San Bruno (\$109,000), Redwood City (\$50,000), and San Mateo (\$79,000). Member Breault asked for a description of the previously funded project in SSF. As described by Member McMinn, the project looked at the downtown specific plan and what the build out and parking demand would be to provide direction on setting parking rates and duration as well as needs for parking and parking structures.

6. Review and recommend approval of the funding recommendation for the County of San Mateo Coastside Beach Shuttle for FY 16/17 and FY 17/18 in an amount of \$78,563 in Measure A Transportation funds through the San Mateo County Shuttle Program Joint Call for Projects

Joel Slavit, Manager of Programming and Monitoring from the TA, presented the funding recommendations for the Coastside Beach Shuttle. The County of San Mateo submitted a revised application with new proposed route and schedule and subsequently was recommended for funding by the Shuttle Evaluation Panel. The shuttle is a weekend shuttle.

7. Receive an update of the US 101 Managed Lane Project

Vice-chair Hurley reported that the different scenarios are being analyzed through a traffic analysis effort, which is underway and the results of the study will be brought back to the TAC. The environmental process is underway and the scoping meeting is planned for late October 2016. The duration of the environmental process is expected to be 28 months. Approximately \$2-3 million in private funding is being provided towards the \$11.5 million environmental process. There are potential federal funds that may come to the project and the team is looking to advance the project as feasible.

Sandy Wong, C/CAG Executive Director, added that there has been much interest from the public so we will make sure the TAC is informed. We will consider inviting the consultant to a future meeting to present on the project and provide more details and encourage the TAC's engagement in the project and represent the various cities.

Some discussions were as follows:

- Without adding a lane on 101, how will there be benefit? In addition, funding from the management lane will go towards non-highway improvements or transit. We need to know more about the project to be able to provide feedback and input.
- C/CAG and TA are co-sponsors, in addition to Caltrans and other state agencies are involved with the project.
- There will be concerns to traffic patterns and impacts to roadways such as Ralston Avenue and SR 92 due to trip distribution off the freeways onto local roads.
- Existing congestion at 3rd Avenue during peak periods are already affecting local streets.
- Hurley also mention that there is an express bus that is also currently being studied and under consideration
- There are issues with whether the HOV will be 2+ or 3+
- What metrics or criteria that are being considered in the analysis, such as going from LOS to VMT.

- Project includes conversion of HOV lane south of Whipple. The whole stretch is 22 miles.
- Santa Clara County lane configuration is has 2 HOV lanes and 3 general purpose lanes currently and will add one managed lane

8. Discussion Regarding the Update of Plan Bay Area 2040

Jean Higaki presented a handout on the MTC/ABAG Plan Bay Area 2040 including information on the previous Motion 2035 (adopted 2009) and the current Plan Bay Area (adopted 2013) with regards to committed and discretionary funds and funding for local road maintenance. The draft Plan Bay Area 2040 includes a total of \$309 billion (\$216 billion committed, \$74 billion discretionary, and \$19 billion expected in local ballot measures in November 2016). Higaki presented a comparison between the three plans and indicated that MTC is in the process of adopting the Plan Bay Area 2040 (targeted for 2017), therefore, MTC staff is seeking input on the draft investment strategy by the end of October 2016.

Through discussion, the TAC members indicated that city representatives need to speak up and collectively provide comments to MTC during the comment period (September/October). It was also encouraged that city representatives attend the MTC committees and speak at the podium to address their issues and concerns. It was requested that information presented at this meeting be shared with the TAC members.

9. Regional Project and Funding Information

Eliza Yu provided information on the FHWA inactive projects as listed on the Caltrans' site, project delivery deadlines and the PMP Certification status. The OBAG Grant 2 was released on September 12, 2016. There will be a workshop planned for September 29th. Applications are due November 18, 2016.

10. Executive Director Report

Sandy Wong, Executive Director, reported that in August, C/CAG submitted a grant application for the DOE's alternative fuel grant opportunity. With the Smart Corridor construction phase near completion, C/CAG staff will reconvene the Smart Corridor Stakeholders Group in the next month to address ongoing operations and maintenance issues.

11. Member Reports

Member McMinn announced that his last day at the City of South San Francisco will be October 7th and that he will be the new Public Works Director at the City of Marina. Member Moneda shared Foster City's experience working with Caltrans in making safety improvements in response to citizens proposed solutions to local street traffic congestion mitigation near SB 101 near SR 92 and Fashion Island area.

Meeting adjourned.