

# C/CAG

## CITY/COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS OF SAN MATEO COUNTY

*Atherton • Belmont • Brisbane • Burlingame • Colma • Daly City • East Palo Alto • Foster City • Half Moon Bay • Hillsborough • Menlo Park  
Millbrae • Pacifica • Portola Valley • Redwood City • San Bruno • San Carlos • San Mateo • San Mateo County • South San Francisco • Woodside*

### TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE (TAC) AGENDA

**Date:** Thursday, May 20, 2021

**Time:** 1:15 P.M.

On March 17, 2020, the Governor issued Executive Order N-29-20 suspending certain provisions of the Ralph M. Brown Act in order to allow for local legislative bodies to conduct their meetings telephonically or by other electronic means. Pursuant to the Shelter-in-Place Orders issued by the San Mateo County Health Officer and the Governor, and the CDC's social distancing guidelines, which discourage large public gatherings, C/CAG meetings will be conducted via remote conferencing. Members of the public may observe or participate in the meeting remotely via one of the options below.

**Join Zoom Meeting**

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89374048421?pwd=a0xJTzRPMUs2VkVFS29YVUFUSnFndz09>

**Meeting ID: 893 7404 8421**

**Passcode: 418184**

**Join by Phone: 669-900-6833**

**Meeting ID: 893 7404 8421**

**Passcode: 418184**

Persons who wish to address the C/CAG TAC on an item to be considered at this meeting, or on items not on this agenda, are asked to submit written comments to [kcheung1@smcgov.org](mailto:kcheung1@smcgov.org). Spoken public comments will also be accepted during the meeting through Zoom. Please see instructions for written and spoken public comments at the end of this agenda.

- 
- |   |               |              |
|---|---------------|--------------|
| 1. Brief Overview of Teleconference Meeting Procedure   | Cheung        | No materials |
| 2. Public comment on items not on the Agenda (presentations are customarily limited to 2 minutes).  | Porter/Hurley | No materials |
| 3. Issues from the last C/CAG Board meetings <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>○ Accepted the Measure M Fiscal Year 2019/20 Performance Report</li><li>○ Received a summary of C/CAG 2021 Annual Forum</li><li>○ Approved Reso. 21-23 authorizing C/CAG Chair to execute Amend. No. 2 to the funding agreement with Sustainable Silicon Valley, modifying project scope to implement a Transit Signal Priority pilot project in East Palo Alto and extending performance period through February 25, 2022</li><li>○ Approved Reso. 21-31 adopting the distribution policy for Fiscal Year 2021-22 State Transit Assistance (STA) Population-Based funds</li></ul> | Cheung        | No materials |

4.	Approval of the minutes from April 15, 2021	Cheung	Page 1-4
5.	Review and recommend approval of the Measure M Strategic Plan Fiscal Year 2021/22 to 2025/26. (Action)	Wever	Page 5-7
6.	Review and recommend adoption of the Final San Mateo County Comprehensive Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan Update. (Action)	Hiatt	Page 8-9
7.	Review and recommend approval of a subscription to StreetLight Data Services for one year beginning September 1, 2021, in the amount of \$363,000 (Action)	Hiatt	Page 10-11
8.	Regional Funding and Project Information	Lacap	Page 12-20
9.	Executive Director Report	Wong	No materials
10.	Member Reports	All	

**PUBLIC NOTICING:** All notices of C/CAG regular TAC meetings, standing committee meetings, and special meetings will be posted at the San Mateo County Transit District Office, 1250 San Carlos Ave., San Carlos, CA, and on C/CAG's website at: <http://www.ccag.ca.gov>.

**PUBLIC RECORDS:** Public records that relate to any item on the open session agenda for a regular TAC meeting, standing committee meeting, or special meeting are available for public inspection. Those public records that are distributed less than 72 hours prior to a regular TAC meeting are available for public inspection at the same time they are distributed to all members, or a majority of the members, of the TAC. The TAC has designated the City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County (C/CAG), located at 555 County Center, 5th Floor, Redwood City, CA 94063, for the purpose of making public records available for inspection. Such public records are also available on C/CAG's website at: <http://www.ccag.ca.gov>. Please note that C/CAG's office is temporarily closed to the public; please contact Kaki Cheung at (650) 363-4105 to arrange for inspection of public records.

**PUBLIC PARTICIPATION DURING VIDEOCONFERENCE MEETINGS:** Persons with disabilities who require auxiliary aids or services to participate in this meeting should contact Kaki Cheung at (650) 363-4105, five working days prior to the meeting date.

Written comments should be emailed in advance of the meeting. Please read the following instructions carefully:

1. Your written comment should be emailed to [kcheung1@smcgov.org](mailto:kcheung1@smcgov.org).
2. Your email should include the specific agenda item on which you are commenting or note that your comment concerns an item that is not on the agenda.
3. Members of the public are limited to one comment per agenda item.
4. The length of the emailed comment should be commensurate with the two minutes customarily allowed for verbal comments, which is approximately 250-300 words.
5. If your emailed comment is received at least 2 hours prior to the meeting, it will be provided to the C/CAG TAC members and made publicly available on the C/CAG website along with the agenda. We cannot guarantee that emails received less than 2 hours before the meeting will be made publicly available on the C/CAG website prior to the meeting, but such emails will be included in the administrative record of the meeting.

Spoken comments will be accepted during the meeting through Zoom. Please read the following instructions carefully:

1. The C/CAG TAC meeting may be accessed through Zoom at the online location indicated at the top of this agenda.

2. You may download the Zoom client or connect to the meeting using an internet browser. If using your browser, make sure you are using a current, up-to-date browser: Chrome 30+, Firefox 27+, Microsoft Edge 12+, Safari 7+. Certain functionality may be disabled in older browsers including Internet Explorer.
3. You will be asked to enter an email address and name. We request that you identify yourself by your name as this will be visible online and will be used to notify you that it is your turn to speak.
4. When C/CAG Staff or Co-Chairs call for the item on which you wish to speak, click on “raise hand.” Staff will activate and unmute speakers in turn. Speakers will be notified shortly before they are called on to speak.
5. When called, please limit your remarks to the time allotted.

If you have any questions about this agenda, please contact C/CAG staff:

Program Director: Kaki Cheung (650) 363-4105 [kcheung1@smcgov.org](mailto:kcheung1@smcgov.org)

# CONGESTION MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (CMP)

## TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE (TAC)

April 15, 2021

### MINUTES

No.	Member	Agency	Jan	Feb	Mar	April
1	Jim Porter (Co-Chair)	San Mateo County Engineering	x		x	x
2	Joseph Hurley (Co-Chair)	SMCTA / PCJPB / Caltrain	x	x	x	x
3	Robert Ovardia	Atherton Engineering	x	x	x	x
4	Peter Brown	Belmont Engineering	x	x		x
5	Randy Breault	Brisbane Engineering	x	x	x	x
6	Syed Murtuza	Burlingame Engineering	x	x	x	x
7	Sandy Wong	C/CAG	x	x	x	x
8	Brad Donohue	Colma Engineering	x			x
9	Richard Chiu	Daly City Engineering	x	x	x	x
10	Tatum Mothershead	Daly City Planning	x	x	x	x
11	Dante Hall*	Foster City Engineering				x
12	Paul Willis	Hillsborough Engineering	x	x	x	x
13	Maz Bozorginia	Half Moon Bay Engineering	x	x		x
14	Nikki Nagaya	Menlo Park Engineering	x	x		
15	Andrew Yang	Millbrae Engineering	x	x		x
16	Lisa Petersen	Pacifica Engineering	x	x	x	x
17	Jessica Manzi	Redwood City Engineering	x	x	x	x
18	Jimmy Tan	San Bruno Engineering	x	x	x	x
19	Steven Machida	San Carlos Engineering	x	x	x	x
20	Azalea Mitch	San Mateo Engineering	x	x	x	x
21	Eunejune Kim	South San Francisco Engineering	x	x	x	x
22	Billy Gross	South San Francisco Planning	x	x	x	x
23	Sean Rose	Woodside Engineering	x	x	x	x
24	James Choe	MTC	x	x	x	x

\*appointed to the TAC at the February C/CAG Board Meeting

The two hundred sixty-seventh (267<sup>th</sup>) meeting of the Technical Advisory Committee took place on April 15<sup>th</sup>, 2021 at 1:16 p.m.

TAC members attending are listed on the Roster and Attendance table on the preceding page. Others attending the meeting were: Mikaela Hiatt, Kaki Cheung, Jeffrey Lacap, Sean Charpentier, Kim Wever – C/CAG; Peter Skinner and Patrick Gilster – San Mateo County Transportation Authority; Julia Wean – Steer; Chanda Singh – San Mateo County Office of Planning and Building; Cory Peterson, Ruta Jariwala, Utsav Domadia, and Ian Lin – TJKM consultants; Mitra Fakhry, Drew, and others not noted.

### **1. Brief Overview of Teleconference Meeting Procedures.**

C/CAG staff Kaki Cheung described how the Committee Meeting would run virtually.

### **2. Public Comment on items not on the Agenda (presentations are customarily limited to 2 minutes).**

There were no public comments regarding items not on the Agenda.

### **3. Issues from the last C/CAG Board Meetings.**

C/CAG staff Kaki Cheung described the items of interest listed from the last C/CAG Board meeting.

### **4. Approval of Minutes from March 18, 2021.**

Co-Chair Hurley moved to approve the minutes. Member Murtuza seconded the motion. Roll Call was taken. Member Donohue, Member Bozorginia, and Member Yang voted to abstain. All other members in attendance voted to approve. Motion passed.

### **5. Review and recommend acceptance of the Measure M Fiscal Year 2019/20 Performance Report. (Action)**

C/CAG Staff Kim Wever presented the Measure M Fiscal Year 2019/20 Performance Report. Kim requested the Committee review the report and recommend acceptance of the findings.

Member Murtuza motioned to approve. Member Manzi seconded the motion. All members in attendance voted to approve. Motion passed.

### **6. Review and provide input on the draft Fiscal Year 2021/22 to 2025/26 Measure M Strategic Plan recommendations. (Action)**

C/CAG staff Kim Wever introduced Julia Wean of Steer, who shared the latest developments of the draft Measure M Strategic Plan. Julia presented the draft recommendations for the Local Streets and Roads Program, as well as the four Countywide Transportation Programs. The proposed recommendations focused on five major thematic areas: flexible planning, innovative programming, efficient delivery, monitoring and evaluation, and insight driven planning.

Member Willis and Member Ovadia asked for clarification on the use of administrative funds. The project team responded that funds allocated under the administration category pays for program management and administration activities. Per the adopted Measure M 5-Year

Implementation Plan, unexpended allocation for program administration will be reallocated to the countywide programs in future years. Committee members also asked staff to check with C/CAG legal counsel on using reserve administrative funds for placing Measure M on the ballot in the future.

Member Manzi asked how the requests from the different County programs would be reviewed for the extra pilot funding and commented that Stormwater and Safe routes to School programs would benefit from consistent funding.

There was no formal action taken as the feedback received from the Committee was the action.

### **7. Review and recommend approval of a funding agreement amendment with Sustainable Silicon Valley modifying project scope to implement a Transit Signal Priority pilot project in East Palo Alto and to extend performance period to October 31, 2021. (Action)**

C/CAG staff, Kaki Cheung, noted that back in 2018, C/CAG was approached by Sustainable Silicon Valley, a non profit organization, about implementing a project in the City of Menlo Park called Optimizing Urban Traffic (OUT). The project's primary focus was the development of a traffic light assistance application, which gives individual drivers a prediction of the timing of a green light as they approach the intersection. Due to the Covid-19 pandemic and other external factors, Sustainable Silicon Valley is a request to change the project scope to an intelligent transit signal priority project and ask for more time to implement the project. Kaki introduced Drew Clark, Project Manager from Sustainable Silicon Valley, who gave further information on the project scope, partners, and impact.

Member Bozorginia asked about the equipment necessary to run the program. Drew Clark explained that it was a cloud-based system that ran on communications between the buses and the traffic signals.

Member Ovadia asked about the ongoing costs for the project and the project benefits. Drew Clark responded that this project is a proof of concept pilot, and there are potential future implementation opportunities such as emergency vehicle preemption. Tim Menard of LYT commented that the ongoing cost, if SamTrans were to continue with the GPS trackers for the buses, each tracker would cost \$6.99 per month.

Member Ovadia expressed concern for the short pilot timeline, and asked Co-Chair Hurley if SamTrans was supportive. SamTrans staff in attendance, Peter Skinner, commented that SamTrans was excited to partner on the project.

Member Kim asked if the program could be upgraded to scale beyond just transit. Drew Clark responded that it could be expanded to emergency vehicles, pedestrians, bicycles, and others.

Member Chiu asked how the project was different from the VTA demonstration project in San Jose. Drew Clark responded that the scope of the East Palo Alto project is smaller, and also has a shorter timeframe.

Member Ovadia presented a motion to approve with a request to extend the period of performance. Co-Chair Hurley made an amendment to Member Ovadia's motion, that should the project team are not able to extend the performance period, the project would move forward as proposed in the staff report. Co-Chair Porter presented the revised motion. Member Breault motioned to approve. Member Murtuza seconded the motion. All members in attendance motioned to approve. Motion passed.

### **8. Review and recommend approval C/CAG distribution policy for Fiscal Year 2021 -22 State Transit Assistance (STA) Population-Based funds among the STA-eligible transit operators and funds that will be spent benefiting Communities of Concern for the subsequent**

## **fiscal year. (Action)**

C/CAG staff, Jeff Lacap, presented the distribution policy for the STA population-based funds for the eligible transit operators and funds to be spent benefiting Communities of Concern. Jeff shared the current funding estimate, and noted that C/CAG is expecting to release a mid-cycle Lifeline Call for Projects.

Co-Chair Hurley asked, if the Lifeline program is undersubscribed, would that funding be used for paratransit. Jeff Lacap responded that performance from all programs will be taken into consideration and analyzed when establishing next fiscal year's distribution policy.

Member Mitch motioned to approve. Member Brown seconded the motion. All members in attendance voted to approve. Motion passed.

## **9. Review and provide input on the Draft Complementary Monitoring Network of the 2021 Congestion Management Program (CMP). (Action)**

C/CAG Staff, Jeff Lacap, introduced the CMP Companion Network project as well as Cory Peterson from TJKM. Cory Peterson presented information on the Draft Companion Monitoring Network that would aid in traffic monitoring of additional locations beyond the CMP Monitoring Network, including background information as to why each intersection and roadway segment was recommended. The Companion Network will be monitored for informational purposes only and will not be used in the CMP conformity findings process.

Committee members recommended several intersections and roadway segments that should be considered as part of the draft Companion Network, including Ralston Avenue, Airport Boulevard, Sand Hill Road, and California Drive. Committee members also wanted confirmation that State Routes are included as part of the program. In response, all State Routes are already included in the CMP Monitoring Network.

Cory Peterson and Jeff Lacap discussed the inclusion of the recommendations. Additionally, they clarified that this is a time sensitive item because it is not typical to conduct traffic counts in the summer due to shifts in traffic pattern. Staff requested submittal of other comments by April 16<sup>th</sup>.

There was no formal action taken as this is an informational item.

## **10. Regional Project and Funding Information**

C/CAG staff Jeff Lacap commented that C/CAG submitted projects for the Quick Strike program and was working with project sponsors as appropriate. Earmark letters to Congresswoman's Speier's office were due the following day.

## **11. Executive Director Report**

None.

## **12. Member Reports**

Co-Chair Hurley commented that comments are due on the Short Range Highway Plan on April 16<sup>th</sup>.

Co-Chair Porter called the meeting adjourned at 3:10 PM.

## C/CAG AGENDA REPORT

Date: May 20, 2021

To: Congestion Management Program Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)

From: Kim Wever, Transportation Program Specialist

Subject: Review and recommend approval of the draft Measure M Strategic Plan covering Fiscal Years 2021/22 to 2025/26

(For further information, contact Kim Wever at [kwever@smcgov.org](mailto:kwever@smcgov.org))

---

### RECOMMENDATION

That the Congestion Management Program Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) review and recommend C/CAG Board's approval of the draft Measure M Strategic Plan, which includes an Implementation Plan covering Fiscal Years 2021/22 to 2025/26.

### FISCAL IMPACT

The cost to develop and prepare the five-year Measure M Strategic Implementation Plan is \$93,804.

### SOURCE OF FUNDS

This project is funded using the \$10 vehicle registration fee collected as part of Measure M, specifically from the Program Administration category.

### BACKGROUND

Approved by the voters of San Mateo County in 2010, C/CAG sponsors and manages Measure M, the annual ten dollars (\$10) vehicle registration fee in San Mateo County for transportation-related congestion and water pollution mitigation programs. It was estimated that Measure M would generate approximately \$6.7 million annually and \$167 million total over the 25-year period between May 2011 and May 2036. Per the Measure M Expenditure Plan approved by the voters, 50% of the net proceeds will be allocated to cities/County for local streets and roads, and the remaining 50% will be used for Countywide Transportation and water pollution mitigation Programs. The Measure M legislation states that a program implementation plan is to be adopted every five years, determining how funding would be allocated to the various programs. The current implementation plan, covering Fiscal Years 2016/17 to 2020/21, funds the following programs: transit operations/senior mobility, intelligent transportation system (ITS)/Smart Corridor, safe routes to school (SRTS), and stormwater pollution prevention.

The consultant team, Steer, was selected to develop a current programs status report, identify programs needs and priorities, make recommendations on resource needs and investment priorities, and outline performance measures that can indicate program progress, both on an



annual basis and at the end of a five-year period.

Based on initial C/CAG staff input, the team also updated the draft Measure M Strategic Plan, including the Measure M Implementation Plan covering Fiscal Years 2021/22 to 2025/26. Attachment 1, *Measure M Strategic Plan Executive Summary*, highlights recommendations that aim to further the “Modernizing Measure M” vision statement. Goals and objectives were developed for the cities/County’s Local Streets and Roads Program, as well as the four (4) Countywide Transportation Programs. Programs will be measured and tracked to optimize use of funds to achieve overall program goals. Additional strategies intend to update procedures and practices in response to a changing environment and leverage new program delivery approaches, and support the continued positive impact of the program as a whole. The table below summarizes the proposed recommendations that were developed using five (5) guiding principles: flexible planning, innovative programming, efficient delivery, monitoring and evaluation, and insight driven planning.

### Summary of Proposed Recommendations

Guiding Principle	Recommendations
Flexible Planning	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Continued flexible use of funds</li> <li>Expand fund usage guidance for Local Streets and Roads recipients</li> </ul>
Innovative Programming	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Repurpose unused admin funds for innovative Countywide Program pilots</li> <li>Encourage innovation among Countywide Program operators</li> <li>Support knowledge sharing across funding recipients</li> </ul>
Efficient Operation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Move reporting online</li> <li>Streamline back-end budget systems</li> </ul>
Accountable Monitoring and Evaluation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Require annual reporting through streamlined template</li> <li>Publish online dashboard for public (non-immediate)</li> </ul>
Insight-driven Planning	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Standardize evaluation framework for each funding recipient</li> <li>Review countywide program allocation based on updated need</li> <li>Develop longer term structure that considers impact in allocation decisions</li> </ul>

### *Funding Allocation*

The **Local Streets and Roads** allocation is recommended to stay the same at 50% of the net Measure M revenues. The allocation between local jurisdictions is recommended to continue utilizing a distribution formula consisting of 50% population and 50% road miles for each jurisdiction modified for a minimum guaranteed amount of \$75,000 for each jurisdiction.

For the four **Countywide Transportation Program** areas, since its presentation at the April

15<sup>th</sup>, 2021 TAC meeting, Steer has refined the needs-based allocation model with input from the Congestion Management and Environmental Quality Committee, the County Program Managers, and the C/CAG Board of Directors. The initial model focused more on quantitative factors and took the following factors into consideration: share of program budget from Measure M; ability to unlock additional funding by leveraging Measure M money; other available funding source for the program; and the amount of Measure M funds spent. To provide additional context, the model added two qualitative factors to aid the analysis, including: 1) the risk of large fluctuation in the amount of funds allocated to each of the four programs from year to year; and 2) external changes in each of the four programs that are outside of C/CAG's purview. Steer will present changes to the allocation model and the recommended allocation breakdown across countywide transportation programs.

This model is the first step towards building a comprehensive allocation framework. As C/CAG collects more programmatic data and performance metrics, the goal is to add an impact-based component to the next framework to enable successful and impactful funding distribution.

### ***Recommendation and Next Steps***

At the May 20<sup>th</sup> TAC meeting, staff will present updates on the needs-based allocation model, the recommended allocation percentage across countywide transportation programs and summarize the draft Measure M Strategic Plan. Staff requests that the Committee reviews the draft Measure M Strategic Plan, including the Implementation Plan, which covers Fiscal Years 2021/22 to 2025/26. Staff also asks that the Committee recommends the Plan to C/CAG Board for approval.

Once the C/CAG Board approves the 5-year Measure M Strategic Plan, staff will work with the local jurisdictions and partner agencies to execute formal funding agreements outlining responsibilities of each party, including data collection and reporting requirements. For the countywide transportation programs, staff will collaborate with the implementing entities and present specific project scope and budget for C/CAG Board approval on an annual or biennial basis. Additionally, staff will be streamlining the reimbursement request process, including updated reimbursement request forms, and developing a public-facing dashboard to report on Measure M program projects.

### **ATTACHMENTS**

1. Measure M Strategic Plan Executive Summary (*will be available online at <https://ccag.ca.gov/committees/congestion-management-program-technical-advisory-committee/>*)
2. Steer PowerPoint Presentation (*will be available online at <https://ccag.ca.gov/committees/congestion-management-program-technical-advisory-committee/>*)

## C/CAG AGENDA REPORT

Date: May 20, 2021

To: Congestion Management Program Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)

From: Mikaela Hiatt, Transportation Programs Specialist

Subject: Review and recommend adoption of the Final 2021 C/CAG San Mateo County Comprehensive Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan

(For further information, contact Mikaela Hiatt at [mhiatt@smcgov.org](mailto:mhiatt@smcgov.org))

---

### RECOMMENDATION

That the Technical Advisory Committee review and recommend approval of the 2021 C/CAG San Mateo County Comprehensive Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan.

### FISCAL IMPACT

This presentation has no fiscal impact. The cost to update the Comprehensive Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan is \$221,492.

### SOURCE OF FUNDS

- TDA Article 3 funds
  - Local Transportation (LTF), derived from a ¼ cent of the general sales tax collected statewide
  - State Transit Association (STA) fund, derived from the statewide sales tax on gasoline and diesel fuel
- Measure A Transportation Sales Tax (San Mateo County Transportation Authority)
- C/CAG Local Transportation Funds

### BACKGROUND

The San Mateo County Comprehensive Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan (CBPP) is currently undergoing an update. C/CAG has retained Toole Design Group as the consultant to lead the project. Following the last meeting with the Committee on March 18<sup>th</sup>, 2021, the team incorporated changes in response to comments received, including those related to the Cost Benefit Analysis for the prioritized projects identified in the Plan.

At the May 20<sup>th</sup> TAC Meeting, C/CAG staff will present the Final 2021 C/CAG San Mateo County Comprehensive Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan. The Plan includes an updated set of vision and goals, outlines the public engagement process, describes the existing biking and walking conditions in San Mateo County, makes recommendations for the bicycle and pedestrian project to improve safety and connectivity, proposes programs and implementation strategies. The Plan received input from the local jurisdiction staff, Technical Advisory Group, Bicycle and

Pedestrian Advisory Committee, Technical Advisory Committee, San Mateo County partners, and the public. Over the 5-year life of the plan, it will be used to guide program decisions, policy development, and aid funding decisions. Additionally, local jurisdiction staff can access information on best practices and design guidelines from the Plan's Design Toolkit, research available funding sources in Chapter 5, and learn about initiatives and ideas that aid project implementation.

Staff requests that the Committee reviews the Comprehensive Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan, and recommends the Plan to the C/CAG Board for adoption. The C/CAG Board is expected to adopt the Plan at its June meeting.

## ATTACHMENTS

1. San Mateo County Comprehensive Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan Update- (will be available online at <https://ccag.ca.gov/committees/congestion-management-program-technical-advisory-committee>)

## C/CAG AGENDA REPORT

Date: May 20, 2021

To: Congestion Management Program Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)

From: Mikaela Hiatt, Transportation Programs Specialist

Subject: Review and recommend approval of a subscription to StreetLight Data Services for one year beginning September 1, 2021 in the amount of \$363,000

(For further information or questions, contact Mikaela Hiatt at [mhiatt@smcgov.org](mailto:mhiatt@smcgov.org))

---

### RECOMMENDATION

That the C/CAG Technical Advisory Committee review and recommend approval of a subscription to StreetLight Data Services for one year beginning September 1, 2021, in the amount of \$363,000.

### FISCAL IMPACT

The cost to subscribe to StreetLight Data service for a second year is \$363,000, which will be funded with a combination of the following:

- \$50,000 from C/CAG;
- \$25,000 from SMCTA;
- \$25,000 from SamTrans; and
- \$263,000 in aggregate amount from 15 Participating Member Agencies, pending council confirmation

### SOURCE OF FUNDS

- SMCTA Measure A Funds
- C/CAG Measure M (\$10 Vehicle Registration Fee)
- Member Agency Funds

### BACKGROUND

At the April 2020 C/CAG Board meeting, the C/CAG Board approved a joint countywide subscription service to the StreetLight Data platform. Participants of the program included 12 cities, the County of San Mateo, C/CAG and the San Mateo County Transportation Authority. The user group decided to activate the subscription on September 1, 2020. The StreetLight team subsequently provided group training to the users and assisted local agencies on an individual basis. As of March 11, 2021, 465 total analyses have been conducted, including vehicle miles traveled and origin and destination studies. Currently, there are 40 active users on the StreetLight

Data platform.

As the subscription renewal date approaches, all current active users confirmed that they are interested in continuing the subscription for a second year. Additionally, the Town of Colma and the City of Brisbane wish to join the countywide subscription.

Per the agreement with StreetLight Data, the subscription cost is set to increase from the Year 1 cost of \$275,000 to \$363,000 for Years 2 and 3. This new rate would begin on September 1, 2021, one year following the service activation date. The subscription fees are calculated based on population sizes. Table 1 below shows the actual first year (2020) cost, and the new rates for the second year (2021):

*Table 1*

<b>Jurisdiction Size</b>	<b>Actual 2020 Subscription Cost</b>	<b>2021 Subscription Cost</b>
C/CAG	\$50,000	\$50,000
Samtrans	\$25,000	\$25,000
SMCTA	\$25,000	\$25,000
Large Cities (>60k pop.)	\$20,600	\$29,222
Medium Cities (15k-60k pop.)	\$12,900	\$18,264
Small Cities (<15k pop.)	\$5,100	\$7,305.50

Currently, local jurisdictions that have confirmed participation are reviewing a joint Memorandum Of Understanding (MOU), which governs terms and responsibilities of each party. C/CAG staff will consider comments and feedback received, then distribute the final MOU for signature.

At the May 20<sup>th</sup> TAC meeting, staff will request that the Committee reviews and recommends approval of a second year countywide subscription to StreetLight Data service, set to begin on September 1, 2021.

#### **ATTACHMENT**

None.

## C/CAG AGENDA REPORT

Date: May 20, 2021

To: Congestion Management Program Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)

From: Jeff Lacap, Associate Transportation Systems Coordinator

Subject: Regional Project and Funding Information

(For further information, contact Jeff Lacap at [jlacap@smcgov.org](mailto:jlacap@smcgov.org))

---

### RECOMMENDATION

That the C/CAG CMP TAC receive information on regional project and funding information.

### FISCAL IMPACT

None.

### SOURCE OF FUNDS

N/A.

### BACKGROUND

C/CAG staff routinely attends meetings hosted by the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) and receives information distributed from MTC pertaining to federal funding, project delivery, and other regional policies that may affect local agencies. Attached to this report includes relevant information from MTC.

#### FHWA Policy for Inactive Projects

Caltrans requires administering agencies to submit invoices at least once every 6 months from the time of obligation (E-76 authorization). The current inactive list is attached (Attachment 1). Project sponsors are requested to visit the Caltrans site regularly for updated project status at: <https://dot.ca.gov/programs/local-assistance/projects/inactive-projects>

Please continue to send in your invoices in a timely matter to Caltrans or let them know of any unanticipated delays to your project. Obligated funds should be able to be spent and invoiced for reimbursement within 6 months. Projects not ready to be encumbered or awarded within 6 months should not be obligated.

#### Pavement Management Program (PMP) Certification

The current PMP certification status listing is attached (Attachment 2). Jurisdictions without a current PMP certification are not eligible to receive regional funds for local streets rehabilitation and will have projects removed from MTC's obligation plans until their PMP certification is in good standing.

Contact Christina Hohorst, PTAP Manager, at (415) 778-5269 or [chohorst@mtc.ca.gov](mailto:chohorst@mtc.ca.gov) if you need to update your certification.

### Miscellaneous MTC/CTC/Caltrans Federal Aid Announcements

#### *MTC Safe and Seamless Mobility Quick-Strike Grant Program*

The Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) is released staff recommendations based on letters of interests received by the County Transportation Agencies (CTA's) in the Bay Area for the Safe and Seamless Mobility Quick-Strike Program, a one-time regional competitive call for approximately \$54 million in additional Surface Transportation Block Grant Program/Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement (STP/CMAQ) funds. C/CAG submitted 15 projects requesting \$9.7 million. MTC staff recommended the following projects:

- Redwood City - Roosevelt Avenue Quick-build Traffic Calming Project (Tier 1)
- San Bruno - Transit Corridor Pedestrian Connection Phase 4 (Tier 2)
- SSF - East of 101 Transit Expansion Project (Tier 2)
- SSF - El Camino Real Grand Boulevard Initiative Phase III (Tier 1)

MTC is planning to use an additional \$17m in Coronavirus Response and Relief Supplemental Appropriations (CRRSAA) Funding to fund additional Quick Strike projects throughout the region. C/CAG Staff is not certain of when the recommendations will be released, but MTC staff plan to take these recommendations to the MTC Committees in June.

Remaining requirements for project sponsors include:

- Jurisdictions must adopt a Resolution of Local Support by May 21, 2021.
- Project sponsor must satisfy the OBAG 2 housing policy requirements – have a certified Housing Element, submit the Annual Progress Report for the Housing Element, and have adopted a resolution affirming compliance with the California Surplus Lands Act.

#### *Rebuilding American Infrastructure with Sustainability and Equity (RAISE) – Notice of Funding Opportunity*

Formally known as BUILD Transportation Grants, the Department of Transportation is soliciting grant applications for funds to be awarded on a competitive basis for surface transportation infrastructure projects that will have a significant local or regional impact. Applications must be submitted by 5:00 PM Eastern on July 12, 2021.

More information can be found here: <https://www.transportation.gov/RAISEgrants/raise-nofo>

#### *BAAQMD - VW Light Duty Electric Vehicle Infrastructure Program*

The Light Duty Electric Vehicle Infrastructure Program, a statewide program funding electric vehicle charging infrastructure, is now open. The Program will provide \$5 million in Volkswagen Environmental Mitigation Trust funding for the purchase and installation of new charging stations for battery electric vehicles. The program goals are to:

- Direct at least 50 percent of funds to disadvantaged and low-income communities.



- Expand EV charging access state-wide and close geographic gaps in coverage.

More information on the solicitation can be found here: [https://www.californiavwtrust.org/ev-infrastructure/?utm\\_medium=email&utm\\_source=govdelivery](https://www.californiavwtrust.org/ev-infrastructure/?utm_medium=email&utm_source=govdelivery)

### *Representative Speier's Transportation and Infrastructure Project and Community Project Requests*

The office of Congresswoman Jackie Speier released project recommendations for the House Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure and Community Project Requests for FY 2022. Some projects recommended for funding include:

- C/CAG: US-101 Managed Lane Project North of I-380 Project
- C/CAG: US 101/SR 92 Area Improvement Project
- City of Belmont: Alameda de las Pulgas Corridor Project
- City of San Mateo: At-grade Caltrain Crossing Safety Project
- City of Pacifica: Pacifica Pier Project

The entire lists can be found here: <https://speier.house.gov/transportation-and-infrastructure-member-designated-projects>, <https://speier.house.gov/community-project-funding-requests-for-fy-2022>

### *Lapsed Project End Dates*

Please review the Caltrans Project End Date (PED) lookahead report attached (Attachment 3) and work with Caltrans Local Assistance to take appropriate action.

Any work done on projects past the PED is not eligible for reimbursement. PEDs should be extended prior to the expiration of the current PED. If a PED is extended after its lapse, then the work done during the lapsed period is not reimbursable. PEDs must be extended through an E-76 modification. Please plan on the E-76 approval process to take at least 4 weeks.

Caltrans Division of Local Assistance (DLA), Office of Project Implementation provides guidance and support to local agencies in managing the Federal-aid projects. The Project End Date (PED), analogous to the previously used Agreement End Date (AED), is the date that an agency estimates to identify the end of a project phase's Period of Performance (end of Federally participating work). It is defined as the date after which no additional federally participating costs may be incurred for an authorized phase of work.

The look ahead report attached lists projects with (i) expired PED, (ii) PED to expire within the next three months, (iii) PED to expire within the next 6 months and (iv) PED to expire in more than 6 months but with lapses in the past. The purpose of this list is to alert local agencies of expired or expiring PEDs, so they can initiate PED extension requests where necessary and/or contact DLAEs for further assistance. Projects with final invoices submitted do not require a PED extension.

### *2021 CTC Local Streets and Roads Funding Program*

In preparation for the annual project list submission to the California Transportation Commission (CTC) Local Streets and Roads Program, funding estimates for FY2021-22 Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account for San Mateo County is attached to this report (Attachment 4)

Project lists for FY2021-22 are due to CTC by July 1, 2021. For more information, please visit the CTC website: <https://catc.ca.gov/programs/sb1/local-streets-roads-program>

**ATTACHMENTS**

1. Caltrans Inactive Project List for San Mateo County as of May 7, 2021
2. MTC's PMP Certification Status of Agencies within San Mateo County as of May 12, 2021
3. Caltrans Lapsed Project End Dates Lookahead Report
4. FY2021-22 Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account Revenue Estimate

Updated on 05/07/202 Projects > \$50k

5226023	Future	Invoice ASAP to avoid inactivity.	041900 0066L	CML	4	SM	San Bruno	SAN BRUNO: ON HUNTINGTON AVE FROM SAN BRUNO AVE TO THE ENTRANCE OF THE CENTENNIAL WAY TRAIL. BIKE/PED FACILITIES, CONVERT RIGHT LANE ON NB HUNTINGTON AVE TO A TWO-WAY CYCLE TRACK, SLURRY SEAL	11/17/2020	11/16/2018	11/17/2020	03/18/2021	4		\$155,000.00	\$122,000.00	\$19,868.64	\$102,131.36
---------	--------	-----------------------------------	-----------------	-----	---	----	-----------	---	------------	------------	------------	------------	---	--	--------------	--------------	-------------	--------------

Updated on 05/07/202 Projects < \$50k

Project Number	Status	Agency Action Required	State Project No	Project Prefix	District	County	Agency	Project Description	Latest Date	Earliest Authorization Date	Latest Payment Date	Last Action Date	Months of No Activity	Program Codes	Total Cost Amount	Obligations Amount	Expenditure Amount	Unexpended Balance
5029024	Inactive	Project is inactive. Funds at risk. Invoice immediately. Provide status to DLAE/submit inactive justification form.	040002 1045L-N	BPMP	4	SM	Redwood City	BRIDGE PARKWAY OVER MARINE WORLD LAGOON, PREVENTATIVE MAINTENANCE	08/02/2017	04/13/2011	08/02/2017	08/02/2017	43	Q120	\$75,000.00	\$66,398.00	\$39,121.06	\$27,276.94
5029025	Inactive	Project is inactive. Funds at risk. Invoice immediately. Provide status to DLAE/submit inactive justification form.	040002 1046L-N	BPMP	4	SM	Redwood City	BRIDGE PARKWAY(RIGHT) OVER MARINE WORLD LAGOON, EAST OF MARINE WORLD PARKWAY, PREVENTATIVE MAINTENANCE	08/02/2017	04/13/2011	08/02/2017	08/02/2017	43	Q120	\$75,000.00	\$66,398.00	\$39,121.06	\$27,276.94
5333014	Inactive	Invoice overdue. Contact DLAE.	041200 0122L	BHLS	4	SM	Woodside	KINGS MOUNTAIN RD OVER WEST UNION CREEK; 0.05 MI EAST OF TRIPP RD, BRIDGE REHABILITATION	07/07/2020	03/16/2012	07/07/2020	07/07/2020	8	L1CE	\$135,090.00	\$119,595.00	\$98,399.16	\$21,195.84
5438015	Inactive	Project is inactive. Funds at risk. Invoice immediately. Provide status to DLAE/submit inactive justification form.	041400 0191L	HPLUL	4	SM	East Palo Alto	UNIVERSITY OVERCROSSING US 101 BIKE PED PATH	10/25/2019	11/27/2013	10/25/2019	10/25/2019	17	HY20	\$950,000.00	\$760,000.00	\$739,979.07	\$20,020.93
5029032	Inactive	Project is inactive. Funds at risk. Invoice immediately. Provide status to DLAE/submit inactive justification form.	041400 0103L	BPMP	4	SM	Redwood City	MAIN ST, VETERANS BLVD, AND MAPLE ST OVER REDWOOD CREEK BRIDGE PREVENTATIVE MAINTENANCE	08/28/2019	03/21/2014	08/28/2019	08/28/2019	19	M240	\$26,250.00	\$23,239.00	\$4,519.81	\$18,719.19
5333013	Inactive	Invoice overdue. Contact DLAE.	041200 0121L	BHLS	4	SM	Woodside	MOUNTAIN HOME RD OVER BEAR CREEK; 0.3 MI SOUTH OF SR 84, BRIDGE REHABILITATION	07/07/2020	03/16/2012	07/07/2020	07/07/2020	8	L1CE	\$107,428.00	\$95,106.00	\$93,266.37	\$1,839.63
5333012	Future	Invoice ASAP to avoid inactivity.	041200 0119L	BRLS	4	SM	Woodside	PORTOLA ROAD OVER ALEMBIQUE CREEK (BR #: 35C0055) BRIDGE REPLACEMENT	11/03/2020	03/16/2012	11/03/2020	03/26/2021	4	L1CE	\$2,405,114.00	\$504,741.00	\$464,300.17	\$40,440.83
5333017	Future	Invoice ASAP to avoid inactivity.	041700 0338L	BRLS	4	SM	Woodside	OLD LA HONDA ROAD OVER DRAINAGE SWALE: 0.1 WEST OF PORTOLA RD (BR # 35C0190) BRIDGE REPLACEMENT (AC)	12/15/2020	07/28/2017	12/15/2020	12/15/2020	3		\$1,354,745.00	\$270,410.00	\$266,497.29	\$3,912.71

PMP\_Certification\_Status\_Listing

**PMP Certification**  
**May 13, 2021**

Expired
Expiring within 60 days
Certified

\* "Last Major Inspection" is the basis for certification and is indicative of the date the field inspection was completed.

County	Jurisdiction	Last Major Inspection*	Certified	P-TAP Cycle	Certification Expiration Date
San Mateo	Atherton	8/13/2018	Pending	21	4/30/2021
San Mateo	Belmont	8/30/2019	Yes	22	9/1/2021
San Mateo	Brisbane	8/11/2018	Pending	21	4/30/2021
San Mateo	Burlingame	9/1/2018	Pending	21	4/30/2021
San Mateo	Colma	6/13/2019	Yes	20	7/1/2021
San Mateo	Daly City	10/1/2019	Yes	20	11/1/2021
San Mateo	East Palo Alto	12/19/2018	Pending	21	4/30/2021
San Mateo	Foster City	2/28/2018	Pending	21	4/30/2021
San Mateo	Half Moon Bay	11/11/2019	Yes	22	12/1/2021
San Mateo	Hillsborough	10/2/2018	Pending	21	4/30/2021
San Mateo	Menlo Park	11/12/2018	Pending	21	4/30/2021
San Mateo	Millbrae	8/31/2017	Pending	21	4/30/2021
San Mateo	Pacifica	8/20/2018	Pending	21	4/30/2021
San Mateo	Portola Valley	9/1/2018	Pending	21	4/30/2021
San Mateo	Redwood City	11/14/2018	Pending	22	4/30/2022
San Mateo	San Bruno	8/3/2019	Yes	22	9/1/2021
San Mateo	San Carlos	10/7/2019	Yes	20	11/1/2021
San Mateo	San Mateo County	7/31/2019	Yes	20	8/1/2021
San Mateo	San Mateo	11/30/2017	Pending	21	4/30/2021
San Mateo	South San Francisco	2/23/2020	Yes	20	3/1/2022
San Mateo	Woodside	11/15/2018	Pending	21	4/30/2021

(\* ) Indicates One-Year Extension. Note: PTAP awardees are ineligible for a one-year extension during the cycle awarded.

(^ ) Indicates previous P-TAP awardee, but hasn't fulfilled requirement; must submit certification prior to updating to current P-TAP award status.

Note: Updated report is posted monthly to:

[http://mtc.ca.gov/sites/default/files/PMP\\_Certification\\_Status\\_Listing.xlsx](http://mtc.ca.gov/sites/default/files/PMP_Certification_Status_Listing.xlsx)

Updated on 05/07/2022 Projects > \$50k

Project Number	Status	Agency Action Required	State Project No	Project Prefix	District	County	Agency	Project Description	Latest Date	Earliest Authorization Date	Latest Payment Date	Last Action Date	Months of No Activity	Program Codes	Total Cost Amount	Obligations Amount	Expenditure Amount	Unexpended Balance
5171023	Inactive	Invoice overdue. Contact DLAE.	0418000443L	CML	4	SM	Burlingame	ALONG BROADWAY CORRIDOR REMOVE AND REPLACE OLD STREET LIGHTING WITH NEW PEDESTRIAN LIGHT FIXTURES AND POLES.	06/24/2020	06/24/2020		06/24/2020	9	Z003	\$865,106.00	\$720,000.00	\$0.00	\$720,000.00
5102049	Inactive	Invoice overdue. Contact DLAE.	0417000373L	BRLS	4	SM	San Mateo	BERMUDA DRIVE OVER FIESTA CHANNEL (BR # 35C0077) BRIDGE REPLACEMENT	09/03/2020	06/09/2018	09/03/2020	09/03/2020	6	Z001	\$534,414.00	\$473,117.00	\$45,925.65	\$427,191.35
5438018	Inactive	Project is inactive. Funds at risk. Invoice immediately. Provide status to DLAE/ submit inactive justification form.	0420000013L	STPL	4	SM	East Palo Alto	WEST BAYSHORE RD, SCOFIELD ST, RUNNYMEDE ST., PULGAS AVE, O.CONNOR ST (NON-PARTICIPATING), NEWBRIDGE ST, COOLEY AVE ROADWAY REHABILITATION, INCLUDING: BASE REPAIR, CRACK SEAL, AC OVERLAY, GRINDING, ADJUST UTILITY FRAMES, REPLACE PAVEMENT MARKINGS AND TRAFFIC SIGNAL DETECTION	03/05/2020	03/05/2020		03/05/2020	12	Z230	\$1,358,724.00	\$416,000.00	\$0.00	\$416,000.00
5299013	Inactive	Invoice returned to agency. Contact DLAE.	0415000126L	STPL	4	SM	Millbrae	MILLBRAE DOWNTOWN AND EL CAMINO REAL CORRIDOR, MILLBRAE PRIORITY DEVELOPMENT AREA SPECIFIC PLAN	01/24/2020	02/06/2015	01/24/2020	01/24/2020	14	M23E	\$650,000.00	\$500,000.00	\$347,858.47	\$152,141.53
6204113	Inactive	Project is inactive. Funds at risk. Invoice immediately. Provide status to DLAE/ submit inactive justification form.	0400000684L	CML	4	SM	Caltrans	US 101 BROADWAY INTERCHANGE IN BURLINGAME, RECONSTRUCT INTERCHANGE INCLUDE BIKE/PED FACILITY	01/24/2020	01/30/2014	01/24/2020	01/24/2020	14	M400	\$50,647,000.00	\$3,613,000.00	\$3,559,977.49	\$53,022.51
5196040	Future	Invoice ASAP to avoid inactivity.	0417000097L	ATPL	4	SM	Daly City	ON JUNIPERO SERRA BLVD, EASTMOOR AVE, SAN PABLO RD, E MARKET ST, GUADALUPE CANYON PARKWAY, MISSION ST AND EL CAMINO REAL INSTALL BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN ENHANCEMENTS (TC)	11/17/2020	04/17/2017	11/17/2020	11/17/2020	4		\$2,669,661.00	\$2,019,000.00	\$300,047.36	\$1,718,952.64
5102048	Future	Invoice under review by Caltrans. Monitor for progress.	0417000037L	CML	4	SM	San Mateo	DOWNTOWN SAN MATEO: EL CAMINO REAL TO DELAWARE , 9TH TO TILTON AVE REPLACE EXISTING PARKING METERS WITH SMART METERS AND INSTALL PARKING AVAILABILITY SIGNS AT CITY FACILITIES	12/03/2020	08/17/2016	12/03/2020	12/03/2020	3		\$2,004,012.00	\$1,756,357.00	\$127,563.73	\$1,628,793.27
5268021	Future	Invoice ASAP to avoid inactivity.	0419000270L	CML	4	SM	Belmont	RALSTON AVENUE FROM SOUTH RD TO ALAMEDA DE LAS PULGAS BIKE AND PEDESTRIAN IMPROVEMENTS	12/23/2020	12/23/2020		12/23/2020	3		\$2,966,145.00	\$1,000,000.00	\$0.00	\$1,000,000.00
5261009	Future	Invoice under review by Caltrans. Monitor for progress.	0420000100L	STPL	4	SM	Atherton	JAMES AVENUE FROM MIDDLEFIELD TO MAGNOLIA AVENUE IN ATHERTON PROJECT WILL RESURFACE THE EXISTING PAVEMENT ON JAMES AVENUE FROM MIDDLEFIELD ROAD TO MAGNOLIA ROAD WITH 2" ASPHALT CONCRETE OVERLAY. OTHER ASSOCIATED IMPROVEMENTS INCLUDE GRINDING THE EXISTING PAVEMENT, REMOVAL AND REPLACEMENT OF PAVEMENT FAILURE, SEALING PAVEMENT CRACKS, AND REPLACING TRAFFIC STRIPING AND MARKINGS.	11/24/2020	11/24/2020		11/24/2020	4		\$283,520.00	\$251,000.00	\$0.00	\$251,000.00
5935069	Future	Invoice ASAP to avoid inactivity.	0414000091L	BPMP	4	SM	San Mateo County	MADERA LANE BRIDGE OVER EL CORTE DE MADERA CREEK; BR# 35C0116 BRIDGE PREVENTATIVE MAINTENANCE	10/13/2020	04/25/2017	10/13/2020	10/13/2020	5		\$465,718.00	\$412,300.00	\$214,185.18	\$198,114.82
5177033	Future	Invoice ASAP to avoid inactivity.	0414000209L	CML	4	SM	South San Francisco	EL CAMINO REAL (SR82: PM20.6-20.9) DR CHESTNUT TO ARROYO AVE IMPROVE PED. CROSSINGS, BULB OUT, ADA RAMPS	11/12/2020	01/31/2014	11/12/2020	11/12/2020	4	M003	\$7,088,262.00	\$1,000,000.00	\$819,739.41	\$180,260.59
5350023	Future	Invoice ASAP to avoid inactivity.	0419000562L	CML	4	SM	Pacifica	PACIFICA: ALONG THE COASTAL WEST SIDE OF PALMETTO AVENUE FROM WESTLINE DRIVE TO 1,400 FEET SOUTH CONSTRUCT NEW SIDEWALK	12/22/2020	11/08/2019	12/22/2020	12/22/2020	3		\$380,000.00	\$330,000.00	\$179,955.37	\$150,044.63
5177039	Future	Invoice ASAP to avoid inactivity.	0418000191L	BPMP	4	SM	South San Francisco	7 BRIDGES ON COLMA CREEK AND SAN BRUNO CHANNEL: BRIDGE NUMBERS:35C0021, 35C0031, 35C0047, 35C0078, 35C0079, 35C0101, 35C0164 TEST BRIDGE DECK, REPLACE JOINT SEALS, REPAIR SPALLS ON BARRIERS, REPAIR EXPOSED REBAR, INJECT EPOXY IN CRACKS	12/29/2020	11/19/2019	12/29/2020	12/29/2020	3		\$130,000.00	\$115,089.00	\$1,192.95	\$113,896.05
5177040	Future	Invoice ASAP to avoid inactivity.	0419000112L	CML	4	SM	South San Francisco	EL CAMINO REAL (SR82) FROM ARROYO TO KAISER WAY COMPLETE STREET IMPROVEMENTS	12/29/2020	01/04/2019	12/29/2020	12/29/2020	3		\$150,000.00	\$125,000.00	\$17,760.87	\$107,239.13

# Local Streets and Roads - Projected Revenues

Estimated January 2021

	2020-21			2021-22		
	Hwy Users Tax Account	Road Mntnc Rehab Acct	TOTAL	Hwy Users Tax Account	Road Mntnc Rehab Acct	TOTAL
<b>SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY</b>						
ARROYO GRANDE	429,760	319,015	748,775	472,157	349,381	821,538
ATASCADERO	726,805	542,607	1,269,412	798,916	594,256	1,393,173
EL PASO DE ROBLES	746,562	557,580	1,304,142	820,664	610,654	1,431,318
GROVER BEACH	326,488	241,510	567,997	358,584	264,498	623,082
MORRO BAY	253,627	186,294	439,921	278,385	204,027	482,412
PISMO BEACH	200,820	147,033	347,853	220,360	161,029	381,389
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1,112,937	835,228	1,948,164	1,223,937	914,731	2,138,667
County of San Luis Obispo	8,638,258	7,086,104	15,724,362	9,518,944	7,760,611	17,279,555
Total Cities & County: San Luis Obispo	12,435,257	9,915,370	22,350,627	13,691,947	10,859,187	24,551,134
<b>SAN MATEO COUNTY</b>						
ATHERTON	170,720	126,171	296,891	187,280	138,181	325,461
BELMONT	640,837	484,947	1,125,783	704,486	531,107	1,235,593
BRISBANE	114,562	83,715	198,278	125,550	91,684	217,234
BURLINGAME	713,708	541,037	1,254,745	784,719	592,536	1,377,255
COLMA	40,856	26,983	67,839	44,398	29,552	73,949
DALY CITY	2,544,824	1,947,389	4,492,213	2,800,419	2,132,756	4,933,174
EAST PALO ALTO	717,928	544,285	1,262,212	789,365	596,094	1,385,459
FOSTER CITY	791,982	601,285	1,393,266	870,900	658,519	1,529,419
HALF MOON BAY	300,653	225,413	526,066	330,239	246,869	577,108
HILLSBOROUGH	280,668	210,029	490,697	308,234	230,021	538,255
MENLO PARK	840,601	638,708	1,479,309	924,431	699,504	1,623,936
MILLBRAE	546,632	413,206	959,838	600,865	452,538	1,053,403
PACIFICA	907,467	690,175	1,597,643	998,053	755,871	1,753,924
PORTOLA VALLEY	113,820	83,144	196,965	124,733	91,059	215,792
REDWOOD CITY	1,990,445	1,522,601	3,513,046	2,190,286	1,667,533	3,857,820
SAN BRUNO	1,060,096	807,656	1,867,752	1,166,101	884,534	2,050,635
SAN CARLOS	703,205	532,952	1,236,157	773,155	583,683	1,356,838
SAN MATEO	2,439,284	1,866,154	4,305,439	2,684,217	2,043,788	4,728,005
SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO	1,567,522	1,197,073	2,764,595	1,724,638	1,311,019	3,035,657
WOODSIDE	136,985	100,205	237,191	150,137	109,743	259,881
County of San Mateo	14,295,160	9,761,638	24,056,798	15,723,297	10,690,822	26,414,119
Total Cities & County: San Mateo	30,917,955	22,404,765	53,322,720	34,005,503	24,537,414	58,542,917

Legend:	PED- lapse as of 2/02/2021
	PED - 3 month look ahead to lapse
	PED- 6 month look ahead to lapse
	PED- 6 month + (With Previous PED lapses)

Project Number	Prefix	District	County	Agency	RTPA	MPO	FADS Current SEQ #	FADS SEQ Status	PED Date	PED Expires (Months)	PED Status	PED Lapses	Lapse Occurrence(s)	Closure Milestone- Final Report in Progress	Closure Milestone (Internal)- Project Final	Closure Milestone (Internal)- FMIS Project	STATE PROJ NO	ALT STATE PROJ NO	RQST REASON	CT SUBMIT TO FHWA	FHWA APPROVAL DATES
5268(020)	STPL	04	San Mateo County	Belmont	Metropolitan Transportation Commission	MTC	1	Approv	12/01/18	-27	*Expired	SEQ# 1(12/1/2018 to Present)	1			ACTIVE	0415000290L-N		PRE	04/08/15	04/09/15
5102(049)	BRLS	04	San Mateo County	San Mateo	Metropolitan Transportation Commission	MTC	1	Approv	08/06/20	-6	*Expired	SEQ# 1(8/6/2020 to Present)	1			ACTIVE	0417000373L-N		PRE	06/01/18	06/09/18
5177(033)	CML	04	San Mateo County	South San Francisco	Metropolitan Transportation Commission	MTC	3	Approv	09/01/20	-5	*Expired	SEQ# 3(9/1/2020 to Present)	1			ACTIVE	0414000209L-N		OTH	08/31/18	09/12/18
5935(053)	BRLO	04	San Mateo County	San Mateo County	Metropolitan Transportation Commission	MTC	3	Approv	09/30/20	-4	*Expired	SEQ# 3(9/30/2020 to Present)	1			ACTIVE	0400021228L-N		CON	04/12/16	04/19/16
5935(069)	BPMP	04	San Mateo County	San Mateo County	Metropolitan Transportation Commission	MTC	1	Approv	09/30/20	-4	*Expired	SEQ# 1(9/30/2020 to Present)	1			ACTIVE	0414000091L-N		CON	04/21/17	04/26/17
5226(023)	CML	04	San Mateo County	San Bruno	Metropolitan Transportation Commission	MTC	1	Approv	11/01/20	-3	*Expired	SEQ# 1(11/1/2020 to Present)	1			ACTIVE	0419000066L-N		PRE	11/13/18	11/16/18
5935(079)	BRLO	04	San Mateo County	San Mateo County	Metropolitan Transportation Commission	MTC	2	Pend-FHWA	01/15/21	-1	*Expired	SEQ# 2(1/15/2021 to Present)	1			ACTIVE	0418000322L-N		OTH	12/04/18	
5171(024)	STPL	04	San Mateo County	Burlingame	Metropolitan Transportation Commission	MTC	1	Approv	06/01/21	4	< 6 mos					ACTIVE	0418000444L-N		CON	03/04/20	03/05/20
5029(036)	STPL	04	San Mateo County	Redwood City	Metropolitan Transportation Commission	MTC	1	Approv	06/30/21	5	< 6 mos					ACTIVE	0419000300L-N		CON	01/30/20	01/31/20
5171(023)	CML	04	San Mateo County	Burlingame	Metropolitan Transportation Commission	MTC	1	Approv	07/01/21	5	< 6 mos					ACTIVE	0418000443L-N		CON	06/23/20	06/24/20
5029(033)	STPL	04	San Mateo County	Redwood City	Metropolitan Transportation Commission	MTC	3	Approv	12/31/21	11	6 mos +	SEQ# 2(10/15/2017 to 9/11/2020)	1			ACTIVE	0414000186L-N		CON	09/08/20	09/11/20
5177(030)	BRLS	04	San Mateo County	South San Francisco	Metropolitan Transportation Commission	MTC	6	Pend-HQ	12/15/22	23	6 mos +	SEQ# 6(12/15/2020 to SEQ# 6 Approval)	1			ACTIVE	0413000001L-N		OTH		
5935(081)	STPL	04	San Mateo County	San Mateo County	Metropolitan Transportation Commission	MTC	2	Approv	09/01/23	31	6 mos +	SEQ# 1(10/31/2020 to 12/16/2020)	1			ACTIVE	0419000108L-N		CON	12/07/20	12/16/20