

Attachment 4
C/CAG

ITEM 5

CITY/COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS
OF SAN MATEO COUNTY

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 PEDESTRIAN AND
BICYCLE PROGRAM CALL FOR PROJECTS FISCAL YEAR 2022/23
SCORING SHEET

Revised: June 24, 2021 Note: Gray shaded cells to be scored by staff

I. Project Name and Funding Request	
a. Applicant Agency: b. Partnering Agency (if applicable):	Rater Name:
I. Project Title:	Project type: <i>(check one)</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Capital/Quick Build <input type="checkbox"/> Planning

II. Project Screening:		
a. Eligible jurisdiction: City, County of San Mateo, or joint powers agency in San Mateo County	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
b. Meets applicable Caltrans standards	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
c. CEQA approval, if applicable (Date of Approval: _____)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes or N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> No
d. BPAC or equivalent established as a standing committee	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
e. Project adheres to the MTC qualifications	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No

Note: Rater may assign points within the prescribed range	Scale	Maximum Points	Points Assigned
III. Project Description			
a. Degree to which proposal is clear and complete	0 = Incomplete description, missing documentation 1-3 = Clear project description 4-5 = Clear and complete scope and documentation	5	
<i>Subtotal:</i>		<i>Max. 5</i>	

IV. State of Readiness <i>For Capital Projects only: (Note: if Exempt or Not Applicable = eligible for full points)</i>			
a. Right-of-Way degree to which R.O.W. is secured	0 = R.O.W. not certified, not started 2 = R.O.W. certification complete or not required	2	
b. Permits obtained degree to which permits are in place	0 = No agreements or permits in place 1-2 = Some permits in place 3 = All permits and agreements complete	3	
c. Design Status degree to which design is complete	0 = Design not started 1 = Design in progress 35% Complete 2 = Design in progress 65% Complete 3 = Design in progress 95% Complete 5 = Design 100% complete or is a Quick Build Project (ready to advertise)	5	
d. Schedule degree to which project can be completed before funds expire	0 = No Major milestones and construction dates included 1-4 = Project completes PS&E only 4-7 = Project completes construction within 2-3 years 7-10 = Project completes construction within 1-2 years	10	
<i>Subtotal:</i>			

V. Community Support		<i>For all projects types:</i>	
a. Project supported by BPAC or other group(s)	0 = No documented support 1 - 3 = Support from BPAC only 4 - 8 = Support from BPAC <u>and other</u> group(s)	8	
c. Public Outreach	0 = No documented outreach 1 = Some outreach conducted and documented 2 = Significant outreach conducted and documented	2	
<i>Subtotal:</i>			<i>Max. 10</i>

Note: Rater may assign points within the prescribed range

	Scale	Max Points Capital	Max Points Planning	Points Assigned
.VI. Meets Program Objectives				
<i>For All Projects:</i>				
a. Project Need: Degree to which problems, need, and issues are described, urgent and documented	0 = No need demonstrated 1-5 = Moderatedescriptionofneed or problem 6-10 = Documented and apparent need, data cited	10		
<i>For Planning Projects Only: (score reflects how well the following items are addressed)</i>				
Vision/Mission Statement	1-4 point = briefly addressed, unclear vision and goals 4-7 points = adequately addressed, somewhat clear vision and goals 7-10 points = addressed well, detailed vision and goals	10		
Budget and Tasks	1-4 point = budget briefly addressed with little back up 4-7 points = budget and tasks reasonable and adequately addressed with back up 7-10 points = budget and tasks addressed well, in detail	10		
Schedule	1-4 point = project completed within 3 years 4-7 points = project completed within 2 years 7-10 points = project completed within 1 year	10		
Outreach Methods	1-2 point = briefly addressed and not overall engaging 3-4 points = adequately addressed and engages many groups 5 = addressed well, in detail with very thorough engagement	5		
Data collection/evaluation	1-2 point = briefly addressed 3-4 points = adequately addressed 5 points = addressed well, in detail	5		
Specific Improvements	1-2 point = not very specific improvements 3-4 points = some specific interest improvements addressed 5 points = detailed specific improvements and align with goals	5		

Multi-Modal/Complete Streets Concepts	1-2 point = briefly addressed, vague concepts 3-4 points = adequately addressed, clear concepts 5=Detailed concepts and addressed multiple mode	5		
Equity	1-2 point = will provide positive benefits to one to three equity groups 3-4 points = will provide positive benefits to five to six equity groups 5 = will provide positive benefits to seven to eight equity groups	5		
Consistency with local, regional, and statewide programs, goals, and plans	1-2 point = briefly addressed and partially aligned 3-4 points = adequately addressed and aligned 5=addressed well,detailed alignment	5		
For CapitalProjects Only (b – g):				
b. Safety: degree of reduction in injury risk	0 = minimal safety improvement 1-5 = moderate reduction of risks of severe crashes/injuries 6-10 = significant reduction of risks of severe crashes/injuries	10		
c. Network Connectivity	0=no network connectivity 1 - 5 = fills a gap in the network 6-10 = fills a gap and connects to schools, transit, high use activity centers, parks, or other key destinations	10		
d. Equity	0 = does not serve a community of concern, disadvantaged community, or equity focus area 1-2 = the project has an Equity Focus Area score of 6-8 3-4 = the project has an Equity Focus Area score of 8-10 5 = the project is in an MTC Community of Concern or CalEnviroscreen high risk census tract	5		
f. Transportation purpose	0 - 2 = serves primarily recreation 2 – 4 = serves primarily transportation 5 = serves transportation and recreation	5		
g/h. Consistent with plans	0=not included in local or regional plans 1-3 = included in local or regional plans 4-6 = priority in local plan Bicycle only projects: 7 = along the Countywide Backbone Network (CBN) 8 = along the CBN as a Medium Priority 9 = along the CBN as a High Priority Pedestrian only projects: 9 = within a Pedestrian Focus Area (PFA) Bike and Ped projects: 10 = along the CBN and in a PFA	10		
<i>Subtotal</i>		<i>Max: 50</i>	<i>Max: 70</i>	

VII. Funding and Local Match			
a. Local Cash Match (<i>min. 10% local dollar requirement</i>)	0 = 0% match 2 = 10% match 4 = 20% match	6 = 30% match 8 = 40% match 10 = 50% match	10
c. Project Funding History	0 = the applicant has received funding in the past 10 years 5 = the applicant has not received funding in the past 10 years		5
<i>Subtotal:</i>			<i>Max. 15</i>
Total Score: <i>(Maximum total points: 100)</i>			

NOTE:

Gray cells are to be filled by staff

Capital Projects cells are highlighted in Orange

Planning Projects cells are highlighted in Green

White cells are for both types of Projects

DRAFT

DRAFT