



Atherton • Belmont • Brisbane • Burlingame • Colma • Daly City • East Palo Alto • Foster City • Half Moon Bay • Hillsborough • Menlo Park  
Millbrae • Pacifica • Portola Valley • Redwood City • San Bruno • San Carlos • San Mateo • San Mateo County • South San Francisco • Woodside

**AGENDA**

Congestion Management & Environmental Quality (CMEQ) Committee

**Date:** Monday, August 30, 2021  
**Time:** 3:00 p.m.

On March 17, 2020, the Governor issued Executive Order N-29-20 suspending certain provisions of the Ralph M. Brown Act in order to allow for local legislative bodies to conduct their meetings telephonically or by other electronic means. Pursuant to the Shelter in-Place Orders issued by the San Mateo County Health Officer and the Governor, and the CDC’s social distancing guidelines, which discourage large public gatherings, C/CAG meetings will be conducted via remote conferencing. Members of the public may observe or participate in the meeting remotely via one of the options below.

**Join Zoom Meeting:**

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/82013603969?pwd=NEZZaDBMR013UWMwOUVjNHZkdXFiUT09>

**Meeting ID: 820 1360 3969**  
**Passcode: 443599**

**Join by Phone: +1-669-900-6833**  
**Meeting ID: 820 1360 3969**  
**Passcode: 443599**

Persons who wish to address the C/CAG CMEQ Committee on an item to be considered at this meeting, or on items not on this agenda, are asked to submit written comments to [jlacap@smcgov.org](mailto:jlacap@smcgov.org). Spoken public comments will also be accepted during the meeting through Zoom. Please see instructions for written and spoken public comments at the end of this agenda.

- 
- |  |                                     |              |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| 1. Brief Overview of Teleconference Meeting Procedures | Information (Lacap)                 | No Materials |
| 2. Public comment on items not on the agenda           | Presentations are limited to 3 mins | No Materials |
| 3. Issues from the July 2021 C/CAG Board meeting:      | Information (Lacap)                 | No Materials |
- Appointment of Ann Stillman and Sean Charpentier to the C/CAG CMP TAC Committee
  - Adopted the Measure M Strategic and Implementation Plan that covers FY 2021/22 through FY 2025/26
  - Approval of the Measure M Funding Allocations for Local Streets and Roads and SamTrans Transit Operations and Senior Transportation Program
  - Open public hearing on CMP Land Use Impact Analysis Program (TDM Policy)
  - Approval of the agreement with Sean Charpentier for service as C/CAG Executive Director



Atherton • Belmont • Brisbane • Burlingame • Colma • Daly City • East Palo Alto • Foster City • Half Moon Bay • Hillsborough • Menlo Park  
Millbrae • Pacifica • Portola Valley • Redwood City • San Bruno • San Carlos • San Mateo • San Mateo County • South San Francisco • Woodside

- |   |                           |              |
|---|---------------------------|--------------|
| 4. Approval of minutes of June 28, 2021 meeting   | Action (O'Neill)          | Pages 1 – 4  |
| 5. Review and comment on scope of services for C/CAG Equity Assessment  | Action (Springer)         | Page 5 - 8   |
| 6. Review and recommend approval of the Draft 2022 State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) for San Mateo County | Action (Lacap)            | Page 9 - 12  |
| 7. Executive Director Report  | Information (Charpentier) | No Materials |
| 8. Member comments and announcements  | Information (O'Neill)     | No Materials |
| 9. Adjournment and establishment of next meeting date:<br><b>September 27, 2021</b>                                     | Action (O'Neill)          | No Materials |

**PUBLIC NOTICING:** All notices of C/CAG regular Board meetings, standing committee meetings, and special meetings will be posted at the San Mateo County Transit District Office, 1250 San Carlos Ave., San Carlos, CA, and on C/CAG's website at: <http://www.ccag.ca.gov>.

**PUBLIC RECORDS:** Public records that relate to any item on the open session agenda for a regular Board meeting, standing committee meeting, or special meeting are available for public inspection. Those public records that are distributed less than 72 hours prior to a regular Board meeting are available for public inspection at the same time they are distributed to all members, or a majority of the members, of the Board. The Board has designated the City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County (C/CAG), located at 555 County Center, 5th Floor, Redwood City, CA 94063, for the purpose of making public records available for inspection. Such public records are also available on C/CAG's website at: <http://www.ccag.ca.gov>. Please note that C/CAG's office is temporarily closed to the public; please contact Mima Guilles at (650) 599-1406 to arrange for inspection of public records.

**PUBLIC PARTICIPATION DURING VIDEOCONFERENCE MEETINGS:** Persons with disabilities who require auxiliary aids or services to participate in this meeting should contact Mima Guilles at (650) 599-1406, five working days prior to the meeting date.

Written comments should be emailed in advance of the meeting. Please read the following instructions carefully:

1. Your written comment should be emailed to [jlacap@smcgov.org](mailto:jlacap@smcgov.org).
2. Your email should include the specific agenda item on which you are commenting or note that your comment concerns an item that is not on the agenda.
3. Members of the public are limited to one comment per agenda item.
4. The length of the emailed comment should be commensurate with the two minutes customarily allowed for verbal comments, which is approximately 250-300 words.
5. If your emailed comment is received at least 2 hours prior to the meeting, it will be provided to the C/CAG CMEQ Committee members, made publicly available on the C/CAG website along with the agenda. We cannot guarantee that emails received less than 2 hours before the meeting will be read during the meeting, but such emails will be included in the administrative record of the meeting.

Spoken comments will be accepted during the meeting through Zoom. Please read the following instructions carefully:

1. The C/CAG Board meeting may be accessed through Zoom at the online location indicated at the top of this agenda.
2. You may download the Zoom client or connect to the meeting using an internet browser. If using your browser, make sure you are using a current, up-to-date browser: Chrome 30+, Firefox 27+, Microsoft Edge 12+, Safari 7+. Certain functionality may be disabled in older browsers including Internet Explorer.
3. You will be asked to enter an email address and name. We request that you identify yourself by your name as this will be visible online and will be used to notify you that it is your turn to speak.
4. When C/CAG staff or CMEQ Chair call for the item on which you wish to speak, click on "raise hand." Staff will activate and unmute speakers in turn. Speakers will be notified shortly before they are called on to speak.
5. When called, please limit your remarks to the time allotted.

If you have any questions about this agenda, please contact C/CAG staff: Jeff Lacap, [jlacap@smcgov.org](mailto:jlacap@smcgov.org)

**CITY/COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS COMMITTEE ON CONGESTION  
MANAGEMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY (CMEQ)**

**MINUTES  
MEETING OF JUNE 28, 2021**

The meeting was called to order by Executive Director Sandy Wong at 3:01 p.m. via Zoom Videoconference. Roll call for attendance was taken. Due to a lack of quorum at the beginning of the meeting, Item 5 was moved up on the agenda. Attendance sheet is attached.

**1. Brief Overview of Teleconference Meeting Procedures**

Jeff Lacap, C/CAG Staff, provided an overview of the teleconference meeting procedures.

**2. Public comment on items not on the agenda**

None.

**3. Issues from the June 2021 C/CAG Board meeting. (Information)**

Jeff Lacap, C/CAG Staff, noted the agenda listed the status of items recently addressed by the C/CAG Board, and offered to respond to any questions.

**4. Approval of minutes of the May 24, 2021 meeting. (Action)**

*Motion – To approve the minutes of the May 24, 2021 CMEQ meeting, Roberts/Papan. O’Neill, Reddy, Brown, Holober, Sullivan, Papan, Roberts, and Koelling. Motion passed 8-0.*

**5. Review and recommend approval of an update to the Congestion Management Plan Land Use Impact Analysis Program. (Action)**

C/CAG staff, Susy Kalkin, introduced the Congestion Management Plan (CMP) Land Use Impact Analysis Program Update consultant, Corinne Dutra-Roberts, and noted that the C/CAG Board directed staff to update the Transportation Demand Management (TDM) policy in 2018. Corinne presented proposed ADT thresholds, vehicle trip reduction targets, trip reduction measures/TDM checklists, and monitoring and reporting information. Corinne also compared the existing TDM policy with the proposed new policy, noting that the main differences.

Committee members had the following questions/comments:

- In response to a question by Member Koelling on how project thresholds were derived, staff indicated that the consultants looked at various TDM programs in different regions in the country to develop thresholds for San Mateo County. Susy also clarified that this policy is based on Congestion Management Program legislation which requires C/CAG to use Level of Service (LOS) rather than the metric of Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) used in CEQA.
- In response to a question Member Brown, Corinne clarified that only new and proposed land use projects are subject to the TDM policy.

- Member Reddy asked for clarification on how a jurisdiction can be exempted from the TDM policy.
- Member Holober suggested that the Small Residential projects trip reduction target be increased from 25% to 35% in order to be consistent with the other similar land use types.
- Member Sullivan suggested that the figures should be more representative of the characteristics of the Bay Area rather than national rates from the Institute of Transportation Engineers.
- Member Papan suggested to add a measure to “Additional Measures” to reflect “Smart parking” features such as electronic parking counters/read-outs for transit adjacent projects.
- Chair O’Neill was concerned that the program does not adequately address the needs of the Coastside area, including Pacifica, Half Moon Bay and the unincorporated areas of the Coast, particularly with respect to weekend traffic conditions.

*Motion – To recommend approval of an update to the Congestion Management Plan Land Use Impact Analysis Program with the following recommendations:*

- *Add a measure to “Additional Measures” to reflect “Smart parking” features such as electronic parking counters/read-outs for transit adjacent projects.*
- *Increase the trip reduction target for Small Residential projects from 25% to 35%.*
- *Note a concern that the program does not adequately address the needs of the Coastside area, including Pacifica, Half Moon Bay and the unincorporated areas of the Coast, particularly with respect to weekend traffic conditions.*

*Papan/Koelling. O’Neill, Reddy, Brown, Holober, Sullivan, Papan, Roberts, and Koelling. Motion passed 8-0.*

**6. Review and recommend adoption of the Measure M Strategic Plan, including an Implementation Plan that covers fiscal years 2021/22 to 2025/26. (Action)**

C/CAG Staff Kim Wever introduced the consultant from Steer, Julia Wean. Julia gave a project overview and walked through the strategic plan components. Julia explained that at the June 10th Board meeting, Board members suggested including additional considerations, such as cut through traffic, to the Local Streets and Roads’ distribution formula. After researching and consulting with legal counsel, it was determined that the program formula must remain the same, since it was prescribed in the Measure M Expenditure Plan that San Mateo County voters approved. Julia also shared the upcoming program tasks once the Board adopts the Plan. The tasks included executing the formal funding agreements, updating reimbursement request forms, and developing a public-facing dashboard to report on Measure M program projects.

Committee members had the following questions/comments:

- Member Koelling thanked staff for looking into the Local Streets and Roads’ distribution formula and asked if jurisdictions lose funds they have not spent. Julia responded that any unspent allocations get rolled over to the following year. To help track if local jurisdictions are saving funds for larger projects, C/CAG will be developing new reporting guidelines.

- Member Papan supports the new reporting guidelines for the jurisdictions and the allocation adjustments to the Countywide programs. Member Papan asked about how jurisdictions can receive more funding. Kim explained that in 2019, the unused administration and accrued interest was reallocated back to the Local Streets and Roads and Countywide Programs using the approved distribution formula. For the next Implementation Plan period, the same approach for local jurisdictions will continue. For the Countywide Programs, unused funds will go towards competitive Countywide pilot programs.

*Motion – To recommend adoption of the Measure M Strategic Plan, including an Implementation Plan that covers fiscal years 2021/22 to 2025/26, Sullivan Reddy. O’Neill, Reddy, Brown, Holober, Sullivan, Papan, Roberts, and Koelling. Motion passed 8-0.*

## **7. Executive Director Report (Information)**

Sandy Wong, C/CAG Executive Director, provided the following updates:

- Since Sandy announced her plan to retire at the beginning of this year, the C/CAG Board has conducted a thorough and competitive search for the next Executive Director. It has selected Sean Charpentier as the next C/CAG Executive Director, pending a successful background check and subject to approval of an employment agreement scheduled for the July C/CAG Board meeting. If all goes well, Sean will be the next Executive Director starting August 1<sup>st</sup>. Sandy is confident Sean will do an excellent job in leading C/CAG into the future. Sandy expressed that she thoroughly enjoyed her time working for C/CAG, and the opportunity to support this committee. Sandy concluded by thanking the committee for all the amazing work they do and the opportunity to work with them.

## **8. Member comments and announcements (Information)**

None.

## **9. Adjournment and establishment of next meeting date**

The meeting adjourned at 4:34 pm.

The next regular meeting was scheduled for August 30, 2021

**2021 C/CAG Congestion Management & Environmental Quality (CMEQ) Committee Attendance Report**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Representing</b>	<b>Jan</b>	<b>Feb</b>	<b>Mar</b>	<b>Apr</b>	<b>May</b>	<b>Jun</b>	<b>Jul (No Mtg.)</b>	<b>Aug</b>	<b>Sept</b>	<b>Oct</b>	<b>Nov</b>	<b>Dec (No Mtg.)</b>
Emily Beach (Burlingame City Council Member)	Elected Official	X	X	X	X	X							
Rick Bonilla (San Mateo City Council Member)	Elected Official	X		X	X	X							
Julia Mates (Belmont City Council Member)	Elected Official		X	X	X								
Mike O'Neill (Pacifica City Council Member)	Elected Official	X	X	X	X	X	X						
Diana Reddy (Redwood City Council Member)	Elected Official		X	X	X	X	X						
Dick Brown (Woodside Town Council Member)	Elected Official	X	X	X	X	X	X						
Reuben Holober (Millbrae City Council Member)	Elected Official	X	X	X	X	X	X						
Tom McCune (Belmont City Council Member)	Elected Official		X	X	X	X							
Patrick Sullivan (Foster City Council Member)	Elected Official	X			X	X	X						
Gina Papan (MTC Commissioner)	Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC)	X	X	X	X	X	X						
Lennie Roberts	Environmental Community	X			X	X	X						
Adina Levin	Agencies with Transportation Interests		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A						
Linda Koelling	Business Community	X	X	X	X	X	X						
Peter Ratto	San Mateo County Transit District (SamTrans)		X	X	X	X	X						
Jessica Alba	Public Member	X	X	X	X	X							
<i>Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board (Caltrain)</i>	<i>Vacant</i>												

**Staff and Guests in attendance for the June 28, 2021 Meeting**

Sandy Wong, Sean Charpentier, Kaki Cheung, Kim Wever, Kim Springer, Jeff Lacap, Susy Kalkin, Mikaela Hiatt - C/CAG Staff

Julia Wean – Steer, Kim Comstock – Commute.org, Corinne Dutra-Roberts – AMG, Andrew Yang – City of Millbrae

## C/CAG AGENDA REPORT

Date: August 30, 2021  
To: C/CAG Congestion Management and Environmental Quality Committee  
From: Kim Springer, Transportation Systems Coordinator  
Subject: Review and comment on scope of services for C/CAG Equity Assessment

(For further information, contact Kim Springer at [kspringer@smcgov.org](mailto:kspringer@smcgov.org))

---

### RECOMMENDATION

That the CMEQ Committee review and comment on scope of services for C/CAG Equity Assessment.

### BACKGROUND

C/CAG acknowledges that every-day and historical actions, those taken and not taken by local agencies in the administration and implementation of programs and projects, affect equity and opportunity in the communities served. C/CAG will release an RFP for consulting services to support C/CAG's efforts to ensure current and future programs and processes address all potential opportunities to address equity. Staff have researched the equity efforts of other agencies engaged in work similar to C/CAG's, to help identify possible actions and the consulting support needed to ensure movement towards a more just and equitable future for communities in San Mateo County with respect to C/CAG's work.

C/CAG, as an organization, oversees programs addressing multiple aspects of transportation, land use, stormwater pollutants and water, energy, and climate change. C/CAG's role in San Mateo County is both important and influential. However, C/CAG does not provide other public services as broadly as the County of San Mateo, which offers multiple welfare safety net services directly to communities. C/CAG is working to define an equity program that fits its programs and communities served. Though not all of C/CAG's programs have significant implications in terms of the potential for inequitable distribution of resources or the need to address other equity concerns in San Mateo County, a comprehensive framework for evaluating equity and improving future programming, policies and initiatives with respect to "centering on equity" will benefit C/CAG's overall role in supporting quality of life for San Mateo County residents.

Ultimately, C/CAG will integrate equity into its efforts by identifying and listening to disadvantaged communities, increasing equity awareness internally, assessing and further integrating equity into programs, and defining C/CAG's role in equity in San Mateo County. The selected consultant will either be or include support from San Mateo County Community Based Organizations (CBOs), in order to ensure a community perspective in C/CAG's equity effort. Ultimately, stakeholders and CBOs will continue to be engaged as solutions to equity are developed.

The following timeline is anticipated for the equity RFP and consulting services:

**DRAFT**

<b>Process</b>	<b>Timing</b>
Procurement	September - November 2021
<b>Consultant and Staff Work:</b>	
Staff Awareness and CBO Perspective	December 2021-February 2022
Define Disadvantaged Communities Geo- and Demographically	February-May2021-22
C/CAG Programmatic Review and Equity Integration	February – May 2022
Reports, Policy, and Statements	May – August 2022
Final Report to C/CAG Board/Committees	August/Sept 2022

A Draft C/CAG Equity Assessment Scope of Services is provided as an attachment to this staff report, and staff would appreciate committee member’s comments. The C/CAG RMCP Committee reviewed the document at the August 18th meeting.

A final draft of the scope of services will be presented to the C/CAG Board for review and approval

**ATTACHMENTS**

1. Draft C/CAG Equity Assessment Scope of Services



## **C/CAG Equity Assessment - Scope of Services**

### **Staff Awareness Training (approximately 10 hours of training)**

- Historical Perspective (led by Consultant)
  - Explore definition of “equity” with respect to government’s role in historical injustices and develop awareness of government’s role and opportunity in supporting equity in the communities they serve. Perspective should also include actions that have not worked or that have worked against issues of equity.
  - Discussion about each of C/CAG’s program areas and how, historically, disadvantaged communities have fallen behind in their ability to leverage or access similar programs. Provide examples of positive steps that could be taken
  - With staff, establish a Draft Definition of Equity for consideration by C/CAG Committees and the C/CAG Board.
- CBO Perspective (Consultant to work with C/CAG to select and engage two CBOs)
  - San Mateo County CBO perspective on transportation, energy, water, air quality, hazardous pollutants – presentations directly from CBO
  - Reality of living in Disadvantaged Communities (DACs) – presentation from CBO
  - Clarification of how CBOs participation when developing solutions will help advance progress.

### **Define Disadvantaged Communities (DACs) Geographically and Demographically in San Mateo County (from a C/CAG programs and projects perspective)**

- Building on existing work in the C/CAG Bicycle/Pedestrian Plan and other C/CAG plans, identify useful geographic and demographic metrics of equity (DAC, MTC Equity Priority Community; EnviroScan; C/CAG’s Equity Focus Areas- <https://tooledesign.github.io/F0066-San-Mateo-CCAG/> that were included in the Countywide Bicycle and Pedestrian Plan; to delineate DACs in San Mateo County with respect to C/CAG’s program focus areas and needs.
  - Identify existing tools (Cal EnviroScreen, C/CAG’s Equity Focus Areas- <https://tooledesign.github.io/F0066-San-Mateo-CCAG/>; and others) for locating DACs geographically and demographically in San Mateo County for review and discussion with C/CAG staff.
  - Identify known or potential equity impacts of C/CAG’s work in terms different equity factors, including but not limited to income, race, gender, language, education, geography, etc.
  - Leveraging the above-identified tools and consultant’s work on equity impacts, consultant will work with C/CAG staff to consider different issues and needs with respect to geography and community diversity in San Mateo County (i.e., Coastside vs. Bayside, north vs. south)

- Create a consolidated map identifying targeted DAC communities for C/CAG by program/project area
- Define Equity and types of equity relevant to C/CAG – follows from understanding of C/CAG scope of programs, communities served, geographies and demographics in San Mateo County.

### **C/CAG Programmatic Review and Equity Integration**

- Create a focused delineation of CCAG’s role in equity given CCAG’s mission.
- Perform an analysis of existing program/project equity integrations by reviewed program/project documents and interviewing staff
- Review CCAG’s existing policy and processes, and bylaws to ensure equity in C/CAG’s overall operations.
- Develop an equity framework for integrating equity into C/CAG’s programs, policies and initiatives including a structure to track C/CAG’s integration of equity over time and how C/CAG How to move beyond words to action for reversal of historic justice issues, within C/CAG’s influence.

### **Reports, Policy, and Statements**

- Development various document for review and approval by the C/CAG staff and Board of Directors
  - Board and staff pledge
  - Final definition of Equity for C/CAG
  - Workbook of best practices, definitions, equity focus areas, for review and ongoing and future staff training
  - Recommendations for project scoring rubrics, language, and metrics for evaluating equity in procurement and program/project evaluation
  - Sample language for contracts, the C/CAG website, RFPs, outreach and other documents
- Final report as conclusion of consultant’s work
  - Draft report, including all above deliverables for presentation to C//CAG Committees and the C/CAG Board
  - Edited final draft and final report
  - Final report – presentation to C/CAG Board

## C/CAG AGENDA REPORT

Date: August 30, 2021

To: C/CAG Congestion Management and Environmental Quality Committee (CMEQ)

From: Jeff Lacap, Associate Transportation Systems Coordinator

Subject: Review and recommend approval of the Draft 2022 State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) for San Mateo County

(For further information or questions, contact Jeff Lacap at [jlacap@smcgov.org](mailto:jlacap@smcgov.org))

---

### RECOMMENDATION

That the C/CAG CMEQ Committee review and recommend approval of the Draft 2022 State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) for San Mateo County.

### FISCAL IMPACT

No direct impact to the C/CAG budget.

### SOURCE OF FUNDS

The 2022 STIP fund will come from State and Federal fund sources.

### BACKGROUND

#### State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP)

The STIP is the biennial five-year plan for future allocations of state transportation funds, developed in coordination with and developed by the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) for adoption by the California Transportation Commission (CTC). It is a five-year document adopted every two years by the CTC to program certain portions of the gas tax for transportation projects.

On June 23, 2021, Caltrans presented the draft STIP Fund Estimates for the five-year STIP period (FY 2022-23 through FY 2026-27) to the California Transportation Commission (CTC). The CTC scheduled to adopt this estimate at their August 18, 2021 meeting. The MTC Commission is scheduled to adopt regional STIP policy and procedures on September 22, 2021.

C/CAG is the designated agency responsible to develop the regional share of the STIP for San Mateo County. STIP candidate projects must be consistent with the Regional Transportation Plan as well as the County's Congestion Management Plan. In addition, projects must have an approved Project Study Report (PSR). Phases of funding in the STIP must be able to show a full funding plan. Also, projects in excess of \$50 million in total project cost must include a project level performance level analysis and lifecycle cost benefit analysis.

Specifically, in San Mateo County, there is a C/CAG adopted policy found in the San Mateo Countywide Transportation Plan 2040 of directing the STIP funds towards major highway improvement projects of regional significance, by leveraging the San Mateo County Transportation Authority (SMCTA) Highway Program funds. Accordingly, the recently adopted SMCTA Short Range Highway Plan included STIP funds in the estimate of available funding to leverage SMCTA highway funds.

## 2022 STIP

The last updated adopted cycle, 2020 STIP, covered the period between FY 2020-21 through FY 2024-25. Funds previously programmed for highway projects as adopted in the 2020 STIP are still committed; however, the timing of those funds being available is not guaranteed. CTC may also reprogram current projects into later years.

Caltrans presented the draft statewide 2020 STIP Fund Estimates for the five-year STIP period (FY 2020/21 through FY 2024/25) to the CTC at their June 23, 2021 meeting. MTC Staff shared detailed fund estimates for San Mateo County along with the rest of the Bay Area region on July 1, 2021. San Mateo County is projected to receive approximately \$16 million new funds for the 2022 STIP. C/CAG Staff will use this as a working estimate, which may later be adjusted by the CTC upon adoption of final the STIP Fund Estimate. Of the approximately \$16 million of new STIP funds, \$3 million is from the Coronavirus Response and Relief Supplemental Appropriations Act (CRRSAA).

As a result, the current working estimate is approximately \$13 million dollars for the 2022 STIP to program for transportation projects, available to be programmed in FY 2025-26 and FY 2026-27 and approximately \$3 million in CRRSAA funds is required to be programmed in FY2022-23 and FY2023-24. Staff will use this as a working estimate, which may later be adjusted by the CTC upon adoption of the final STIP Fund Estimate.

On July 19, 2021, C/CAG staff reached out to all directors of public works and city/county managers via e-mail, soliciting for candidate projects to consider with a due date of August 3, 2021.

By the deadline, C/CAG had received two responses from the following jurisdictions:

- City of South San Francisco – \$10.1 million for Caltrain Grade Separation in South San Francisco / San Bruno. Because of the policy of dedicating STIP funds towards highway projects, this project isn't eligible for STIP funds.
- City of Pacifica - \$2.7 million for Highway 1/Manor Drive Overcrossing Improvement Project. The project does not have a signed PSR yet and is also not eligible for STIP funds at this time.

Staff collaborated with the Transportation Authority (SMCTA) and Caltrans staff and recommends the Proposed Draft 2022 STIP as attached. Below are some highlights:

1. From 2020 STIP - Program \$1,685,000 in CRRSAA funds to fund construction support phase in FY24 for 92/101 Area Improvement Project
2. From 2020 STIP - Program \$1,412,000 in CRRSAA funds to fund construction phase in FY23 for ITS/Smart Corridor Northern Cities Segment Project
3. New – Program \$13,158,000 in 2022 STIP funds to fund construction phase in FY27 for the SR-92/US -101 Direct Connector Project

## Recommendation

The C/CAG CMP TAC Committee recommended approval of the draft 2022 STIP for San Mateo County at their August 19, 2021 meeting.

Following the C/CAG CMEQ Committee meeting, a presentation of the draft list to the C/CAG Board at their September 9<sup>th</sup> meeting. To comply with the schedule set forth by the MTC, the C/CAG Board will need to endorse the 2022 STIP project list for San Mateo County at the October 14, 2021 Board meeting to meet MTC's anticipated October 6, 2021 project submittal deadline.

Upon approval by the C/CAG Board in October, the Proposed 2022 STIP for San Mateo County will be forwarded to the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) for inclusion in the Bay Area regional STIP proposal. If approved by the MTC, as scheduled on December 15, 2021, the proposal will be forwarded to the California Transportation Commission (CTC) for approval and adoption in March 2022.

## **ATTACHMENTS**

1. Summary of Proposed 2022 STIP for San Mateo County

**CCAG San Mateo County - DRAFT 2022 STIP**  
(Amounts in \$1000's)

		Lead Agency	Rte	PPNO	Project	Project Totals by Fiscal Year						Project Totals by Component						Comments			
						Prior (Info Only)	21-22 (Info Only)	22-23	23-24	24-25	25-26	26-27	R/W	Const	E & P	PS&E	R/W Sup		Con Sup		
Adopted in 2020 STIP (Carryover)	Projects	SM C/CAG	92	668D	SR 92/US 101 Short Term Area Improvements	2,411	3,217		1,685										1,685	Program \$1,685 CRRSAA funds to fund CON-SUP phase in FY24	
		Redwood City	101	692K	Woodside Interchange Improvements		8,000						8,000								
		South San Francisco	101	702D	Produce Interchange - Improvements	5,000											5,000				
		Daly/Bris/Colma	101/280	658G	ITS Improvements in San Mateo Northern Cities - (Daly City, Brisbane, and Colma)			9,312						9,312							Program \$1,412 in CRRSAA funds to fund CON phase in FY23
		SM C/CAG	101	658M	US 101 Managed Lane Project North of I-380				7,177								7,117				
	Admin	SM C/CAG		2140A	Planning, programming, and monitoring (CMA)			154	154												
Proposed for 2022 STIP	Projects	SM C/CAG	92	NEW	SR92/US 101 Direct Connector							13,158	13,158							Program \$13,158 funds for CON phase in FY27	
	Admin	SM C/CAG			CRRSAA PPM			81	82												
	Admin	SM C/CAG		2140A	Planning, programming, and monitoring (CMA)					195	195	196									

CRRSAA Available to Program in FY23 and FY24:	3,097	2022 STIP Available to Program in FY26 and FY 27:	13,158
---	-------	---	--------