

C/CAG

CITY/COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS OF SAN MATEO COUNTY

Atherton • Belmont • Brisbane • Burlingame • Colma • Daly City • East Palo Alto • Foster City • Half Moon Bay • Hillsborough • Menlo Park • Millbrae • Pacifica • Portola Valley • Redwood City • San Bruno • San Carlos • San Mateo • San Mateo County • South San Francisco • Woodside

AGENDA

BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE (BPAC) SPECIAL MEETING

Date: Thursday, January 27, 2022

Time: 7:00 PM

On September 16, 2021, the Governor signed AB 361, which amended certain provisions of the Ralph M. Brown Act in order to allow for local legislative bodies to conduct their meetings remotely via telephonically or by other electronic means under specified circumstances. Thus, pursuant to Government Code section 54953(e), the C/CAG Board and Committee meetings will be conducted via remote conferencing. Members of the public may observe or participate in the meeting remotely via one of the options below.

Join Zoom Meeting

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/87055884556?pwd=TkRMUmdjY2NsRHdjaG1ITGV1cmd1QT09>

Meeting ID: 870 5588 4556

Passcode: 106857

Join by Phone: 669-900-6833

Meeting ID: 870 5588 4556

Passcode: 106857

Persons who wish to address the C/CAG BPAC on an item to be considered at this meeting, or on items not on this agenda, are asked to submit written comments to kcheung1@smcgov.org. Spoken public comments will also be accepted during the meeting through Zoom. Please see instructions for written and spoken public comments at the end of this agenda.

-
- | | | | |
|----|---|---|--------------|
| 1. | Call to Order | Action (Robinson) | No materials |
| 2. | Review of Meeting Procedures | Information (Cheung) | No materials |
| 3. | Public comment on items not on the agenda | Limited to 2 minutes per speaker. | No materials |

| | | | |
|----|--|---------------------------|--------------|
| 4. | Review and approval of 2022 Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee Meeting Calendar | Action (Cheung) | Page 1 |
| 5. | Approval of the Minutes from the July 22, 2021 Committee meeting | Action (Robinson) | Page 2-4 |
| 6. | Approval of the Minutes from the September 23, 2021 Committee meeting | Action (Robinson) | Page 5-7 |
| 7. | Receive presentations from the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Applicants for Fiscal Year 2022/23 Cycle | Information (Cheung) | Page 8-13 |
| 8. | Member Communications | Information (Robinson) | No materials |
| 9. | Adjournment | Information (Robinson) | No materials |

The next regularly scheduled BPAC meeting will be on February 24, 2022.

PUBLIC NOTICING: All notices of C/CAG regular BPAC meetings, standing committee meetings, and special meetings will be posted at the San Mateo County Transit District Office, 1250 San Carlos Ave., San Carlos, CA, and on C/CAG's website at: <http://www.ccag.ca.gov>.

PUBLIC RECORDS: Public records that relate to any item on the open session agenda for a regular Board meeting, standing committee meeting, or special meeting are available for public inspection. Those public records that are distributed less than 72 hours prior to a regular meeting are available for public inspection at the same time they are distributed to all members, or a majority of the members, of the Committee. The Board has designated the City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County (C/CAG), located at 555 County Center, 5th Floor, Redwood City, CA 94063, for the purpose of making public records available for inspection. Such public records are also available on C/CAG's website at: <http://www.ccag.ca.gov>. Please note that C/CAG's office is temporarily closed to the public; please contact Kaki Cheung at (650) 363-4105 to arrange for inspection of public records.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION DURING VIDEOCONFERENCE MEETINGS: Persons with disabilities who require auxiliary aids or services to participate in this meeting should contact Kaki Cheung at (650) 363-4105, five working days prior to the meeting date.

Written comments should be emailed in advance of the meeting. Please read the following instructions carefully:

1. Your written comment should be emailed to kcheung1@smcgov.org.
2. Your email should include the specific agenda item on which you are commenting or note that your comment concerns an item that is not on the agenda.
3. Members of the public are limited to one comment per agenda item.
4. The length of the emailed comment should be commensurate with the three minutes customarily allowed for verbal comments, which is approximately 250-300 words.
5. If your emailed comment is received at least 2 hours prior to the meeting, it will be provided to the

C/CAG BPAC members, made publicly available on the C/CAG website along with the agenda, and read aloud by C/CAG staff during the meeting. We cannot guarantee that emails received less than 2 hours before the meeting will be read during the meeting, but such emails will be included in the administrative record of the meeting.

Spoken comments will be accepted during the meeting through Zoom. Please read the following instructions carefully:

1. The C/CAG BPAC meeting may be accessed through Zoom at the online location indicated at the top of this agenda.
2. You may download the Zoom client or connect to the meeting using an internet browser. If using your browser, make sure you are using a current, up-to-date browser: Chrome 30+, Firefox 27+, Microsoft Edge 12+, Safari 7+. Certain functionality may be disabled in older browsers including Internet Explorer.
3. You will be asked to enter an email address and name. We request that you identify yourself by your name as this will be visible online and will be used to notify you that it is your turn to speak.
4. When C/CAG Staff or Chair call for the item on which you wish to speak, click on “raise hand.” Staff will activate and unmute speakers in turn. Speakers will be notified shortly before they are called on to speak.
5. When called, please limit your remarks to the time allotted.

If you have any questions about this agenda, please contact C/CAG staff:

Program Director: Kaki Cheung (650) 363-4105 kcheung1@smcgov.org

C/CAG AGENDA REPORT

Date: January 27, 2022
To: Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee
From: Kaki Cheung, Program Director
Subject: Review and approval of 2022 Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee Meeting Calendar

(For further information, contact Kaki Cheung at kcheung1@smcgov.org)

RECOMMENDATION

That the Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee reviews and approves the 2022 meeting calendar.

FISCAL IMPACT

There is no financial impact related to this item.

SOURCE OF FUNDS

Not Applicable.

BACKGROUND

The proposed schedule of Committee meetings in 2022 will be as follows:

- January 27, 2022
- February 24, 2022
- March 24, 2022
- May 26, 2022
- July 28, 2022
- September 22, 2022
- October 27, 2022
- January 26, 2023

The Committee meetings are typically held bi-monthly on the fourth Thursday at 7:00 PM. Until further notice, the Committee meetings will be conducted using the Zoom platform. Staff has also proposed adding the January 2023 meeting date to the calendar in the event that the October 2022 meeting gets canceled.

ATTACHMENT

None.

City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County (C/CAG)
Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee (BPAC)
Meeting Minutes
July 22, 2021

1. Call to Order

Chair Robinson called the meeting to order at 7:02 PM.

| Name | Agency | Jan 2021 | Feb* 2021 | March 2021 | May 2021 | July 2021 |
|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| <u>Public</u> | | | | | | |
| Malcolm Robinson – Chair | San Bruno | X | X | X | X | X |
| Matthew Self | County of San Mateo | X | | X | | X |
| Marina Fraser | Half Moon Bay | X | X | X | X | |
| Justin Yuen | South San Francisco | X | X | X | X | X |
| Alan Uy | Daly City | X | X | X | X | X |
| Brian Levenson | Redwood City | | | X | X | |
| Angela Hey | Portola Valley | N/A | N/A | N/A | X** | X |
| <u>Elected</u> | | | | | | |
| Ann Schneider – Vice Chair | Millbrae | X | X | X | X | X |
| Don Horsley | County of San Mateo | | X | X | X | X |
| Emily Beach | Burlingame | X | X | X | X | X |
| Mary Bier | Pacifica | X | X | X | | |
| Patrick Sullivan | Foster City | X | X | X | X | X |
| Vacant Seat | | | | | | |
| Vacant Seat | | | | | | |
| Vacant Seat | | | | | | |

*This meeting was a special meeting and not originally on the BPAC 2021 Meeting Calendar.

**Angela Hey was appointed to the Committee at the May C/CAG Board Meeting.

A list of the members in attendance at the July 22, 2021 meeting can be found above.

Staff Attending: Mikaela Hiatt, Kaki Cheung, Sandy Wong– C/CAG

2. Review of Meeting Procedures

C/CAG staff Mikaela Hiatt reviewed procedures related to how the meeting would be conducted via Zoom.

3. Public Comment on items not on the agenda

None.

4. Approval of Meeting Minutes of May 27, 2021

No comments were received for the meeting minutes.

Motion: Member Yuen motioned to approve. Member Sullivan seconded the motion. Roll call was taken. All in attendance voted to approve. The motion passed.

5. Review and recommend approval of the Fiscal Year 2022/23 Cycle Transportation Development Act Article 3 Program Call for Projects and schedule (Action)

C/CAG Staff, Mikaela Hiatt, presented to the Committee the Fiscal Year 2022/23 Cycle Transportation Development Act Article 3 Program Call for Projects and schedule. Staff was seeking Committee's recommendation to C/CAG Board for the release of Call for Projects and the proposed schedule. Mikaela shared the programmatic changes from the last call, including consideration of past funding history, adding an equity component, and making Quick Build projects eligible for the project. Mikaela discussed the Call for Projects schedule with the Committee. The C/CAG Board is expected to award project funding at the March 10, 2022 meeting.

Members expressed their support for the Call for Projects package.

Motion: Member Beach motioned to approve. Member Self seconded the motion. Roll call was taken. Member Yuen abstained from the motion. All others in attendance voted to approve. The motion passed.

6. Member Communications

Chair Robinson congratulated C/CAG Executive Director Sandy Wong on her retirement. Sandy Wong thanked the Committee for their hard work and expressed her appreciation for Committee's work.

Vice Chair Schneider mentioned that Millbrae is moving forward for the Comprehensive Active Transportation Plan, gave an update on the Spur Trail project, the Junipero Serra project, and City's coordination with Caltrain.

Member Self shared recent experiences he had with active transportation infrastructure in Slovenia and expressed admiration for the country's shared mobility program.

Member Sullivan gave an update on the levee project in Foster City.

Chair Robinson shared a successful example of bicycle infrastructure in the County and recommended these facilities to be expanded further throughout the County.

7. Adjourn

Chair Robinson adjourned the meeting at 7:40 PM.

City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County (C/CAG)
Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee (BPAC)
Meeting Minutes
September 23, 2021

1. Call to Order

Vice Chair Schneider called the meeting to order at 7:07 PM.

| Name | Agency | Jan 2021 | Feb* 2021 | March 2021 | May 2021 | July 2021 | Sept. 2021 |
|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| <u>Public</u> | | | | | | | |
| Malcolm Robinson – Chair | San Bruno | X | X | X | X | X | |
| Matthew Self | County of San Mateo | X | | X | | X | X |
| Marina Fraser | Half Moon Bay | X | X | X | X | | X |
| Justin Yuen | South San Francisco | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Alan Uy | Daly City | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Brian Levenson | Redwood City | | | X | X | | X |
| Angela Hey** | Portola Valley | N/A | N/A | N/A | X** | X | X |
| <u>Elected</u> | | | | | | | |
| Ann Schneider – Vice Chair | Millbrae | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Don Horsley | County of San Mateo | | X | X | X | X | |
| Emily Beach | Burlingame | X | X | X | X | X | X |
| Mary Bier | Pacifica | X | X | X | | | |
| Patrick Sullivan | Foster City | X | X | X | X | X | |
| Vacant Seat | | | | | | | |
| Vacant Seat | | | | | | | |
| Vacant Seat | | | | | | | |

*This meeting was a special meeting and not originally on the BPAC 2021 Meeting Calendar.

**Angela Hey was appointed to the Committee at the May 2021 C/CAG Board Meeting

A list of the members in attendance at the September 23, 2021 meeting can be found above.

Staff Attending: Mikaela Hiatt, Kaki Cheung, Sean Charpentier, and Jeff Lacap – C/CAG
Others in Attendance: Shirley Chan, Roland Yip – Daly City; Sue-Ellen Atkinson – San Mateo; Malahat Owrang – Redwood City; Ray Razavi – Half Moon Bay; David Wong – San Bruno; Jeffrey Chou – South San Francisco; Brae Hunter – County of San Mateo; and others not noted.

2. Review of Meeting Procedures

C/CAG staff Mikaela Hiatt reviewed procedures related to how the meeting would be conducted via Zoom.

3. Public Comment on items not on the agenda

None.

4. Approval of Meeting Minutes of July 22, 2021

The item was postponed given the lack of a quorum.

5. Review and recommended approval to amend the One Bay Area Grant 2 (OBAG 2) Program to reflect a reallocation of \$797,250 to two new projects in the Cities of Daly City and Millbrae (Action)

This item was moved to the end of the agenda in the hope of securing a quorum. C/CAG Staff Jeff Lacap presented to the Committee a request of reallocation of OBAG 2 program funds. The two new projects are located in the Cities of Daly City and Millbrae. The Daly City project is the Southgate Avenue and School Street safety improvements project and the Millbrae project is the joint Park Blvd/Cypress Ave to Juanita Ave and Santa Teresa Way/Park Blvd to Lomita Ave. These two projects originated from the MTC Safe and Seamless Mobility Quick Strike Program call for projects, and were ranked high on the project list. The Committee has previously reviewed and approved the Complete Streets Checklists.

No action was taken on the item as there was no quorum.

6. Review and recommend approval of a request for reallocation of FY 2019-20 Transportation Development Act Article 3 (TDA 3) funds for the City of Daly City Mission Street Streetscape Project (Action)

The item was postponed given the lack of a quorum.

7. Receive an update from Transportation Development Act (TDA) Article 3 program sponsors on recently completed and active Bicycle and Pedestrian Projects. (Information)

Active TDA Article 3 Program project sponsors presented the current status of projects funded during the FY 19-20 and FY 17-18 cycles. Sponsors shared updates on schedules, noted the expenditure to date, and provided estimates of project completion date.

8. Member Communications

C/CAG staff Mikaela Hiatt informed the Committee she would be leaving C/CAG in the coming weeks to begin a new position. Committee members congratulated Mikaela.

9. Adjourn

Vice Chair Schneider adjourned the meeting at 8:32 PM.

C/CAG AGENDA REPORT

Date: January 27, 2022
 To: Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee
 From: Kaki Cheung, Program Director
 Subject: Receive presentations from the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Applicants for Fiscal Year 2022/23 Cycle

(For further information, contact Kaki Cheung at kcheung1@smcgov.org)

RECOMMENDATION

That the Bicycle and Pedestrian Committee receives presentation from the Transportation Development Act Article 3 applicants on proposals for the Fiscal Year 2022/23 grant cycle.

FISCAL IMPACT

There is no financial impact related to this item. It is expected that approximately \$2,250,000 will be available for the FY 2022/23 Cycle of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 (TDA Art. 3) Program.

SOURCE OF FUNDS

TDA Art. 3 funds are derived from the following sources:

- Local Transportation Funds (LTF), derived from a ¼ cent of the general sales tax collected statewide
- State Transit Assistance Fund (STA), derived from the statewide sales tax on gasoline and diesel fuel.

BACKGROUND

TDA Article 3 funds are made available through State funds and are distributed by the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) to C/CAG on a formulaic basis. As the program administrator for San Mateo County, C/CAG issued a call for project nominations for eligible bicycle and/or pedestrian projects within the county. At the July 2021 meeting, the Committee voted to recommend C/CAG Board approval of the Call for Projects application package and scoring sheet. Notice of Call for Projects was released on September 13, 2021, and applications were due on November 15th, 2021. Project sponsors are required to provide a 10% local funding match for both project types. Projects will be scored based on factors such as project readiness, community support, program objectives such as safety, equity, and local match.

By the deadline, C/CAG received one planning application and eleven capital applications seeking a total of \$3.32M. Of the \$2.25M available for this grant cycle, an amount of \$300,000 are available to fund planning projects. The remaining \$1,950,000 is set aside for capital projects. The maximum grant amount for the planning project and capital projects is \$100,000 and \$400,000, respectively.

At the January 2022 meeting, Committee members will receive presentations from each applicant describing the proposed project. Each presenter will have 5 minutes to present with 3 minutes following the presentation for questions. The presentation schedule is as follows.

| Time | Jurisdictions |
|-------------|-----------------------------|
| 7:10 PM | City of Belmont |
| 7:20 PM | City of Menlo Park |
| 7:30 PM | City of Burlingame |
| 7:40 PM | City of Pacifica |
| 7:50 PM | City of South San Francisco |
| 8:00 PM | City of Brisbane |
| 8:10 PM | Town of Atherton |
| 8:20 PM | City of East Palo Alto |
| 8:30 PM | County of San Mateo |
| 8:40 PM | City of San Carlos |
| 8:50 PM | City of Millbrae |
| 9:00 PM | Town of Colma |

At the February meeting, the Committee will review staff’s recommendation on project award based on ranking factors identified in the scoring sheet (Attachment 1). The C/CAG Board is expected to approve the new FY2022/2023 Transportation Development Act Article 3 Program at its March or April meeting.

ATTACHMENT

1. TDA Art. 3 Program Call for Project Scoring Sheet

C/CAG

CITY/COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS OF SAN MATEO COUNTY

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE PROGRAM CALL FOR PROJECTS FISCAL YEAR 2022/23 SCORING SHEET

Revised: June 24, 2021 Note: Gray shaded cells to be scored by staff

| I. Project Name and Funding Request | |
|---|--|
| a. Applicant Agency: b. Partnering Agency (if applicable): | Rater Name: |
| I. Project Title: | Project type: (check one) <input type="checkbox"/> Capital/Quick Build <input type="checkbox"/> Planning |

| II. Project Screening: | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| a. Eligible jurisdiction: City, County of San Mateo, or joint powers agency in San Mateo County | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| b. Meets applicable Caltrans standards | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| c. CEQA approval, if applicable (Date of Approval: _____) | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes or N/A | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| d. BPAC or equivalent established as a standing committee | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| e. Project adheres to the MTC qualifications | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |

| Note: Rater may assign points within the prescribed range | Scale | Maximum Points | Points Assigned |
|---|--|----------------|-----------------|
| III. Project Description | | | |
| a. Degree to which proposal is clear and complete | 0 = Incomplete description, missing documentation 1-3 = Clear project description 4-5 = Clear and complete scope and documentation | 5 | |
| <i>Subtotal:</i> | | <i>Max. 5</i> | |

| IV. State of Readiness <i>For Capital Projects only: (Note: if Exempt or Not Applicable = eligible for full points)</i> | | | |
|---|--|----|--|
| a. Right-of-Way degree to which R.O.W. is secured | 0 = R.O.W. not certified, not started 2 = R.O.W. certification complete or not required | 2 | |
| b. Permits obtained degree to which permits are in place | 0 = No agreements or permits in place 1-2 = Some permits in place 3 = All permits and agreements complete | 3 | |
| c. Design Status degree to which design is complete | 0 = Design not started 1 = Design in progress 35% Complete 2 = Design in progress 65% Complete 3 = Design in progress 95% Complete 5 = Design 100% complete or is a Quick Build Project (ready to advertise) | 5 | |
| d. Schedule degree to which project can be completed before fundsexpire | 0 = No Major milestones and construction dates included 1-4 = Project completes PS&E only 4-7 = Project completes construction within 2-3 years 7-10 = Project completes construction within 1-2 years | 10 | |

| | | |
|------------------|----------------|--|
| <i>Subtotal:</i> | <i>Max. 20</i> | |
|------------------|----------------|--|

| V. Community Support | | <i>For all projects types:</i> | |
|--|---|--------------------------------|--|
| a. Project supported by BPAC or other group(s) | 0 = No documented support 1 - 3 = Support from BPAC only 4 - 8 = Support from BPAC <u>and other</u> group(s) | 8 | |
| c. Public Outreach | 0 = No documented outreach 1 = Some outreach conducted and documented 2 = Significant outreach conducted and documented | 2 | |
| <i>Subtotal:</i> | | <i>Max. 10</i> | |

| | | | | |
|--|--------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|
| Note: Rater may assign points within the prescribed range | Scale | Max Points Capital | Max Points Planning | Points Assigned |
|--|--------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|

| .VI. Meets Program Objectives | | | | |
|--|--|----|--|--|
| <i>For All Projects:</i> a. Project Need: Degree to which problems, need, and issues are described, urgent and documented | 0 = No need demonstrated 1-5 = Moderate description of need or problem 6-10 = Documented and apparent need, data cited | 10 | | |

For Planning Projects Only: (score reflects how well the following items are addressed)

| | | | | |
|----------------------------|--|----|--|--|
| Vision/Mission Statement | 1-4 point = briefly addressed, unclear vision and goals 4-7 points = adequately addressed, somewhat clear vision and goals 7-10 points = addressed well, detailed vision and goals | 10 | | |
| Budget and Tasks | 1-4 point = budget briefly addressed with little back up 4-7 points = budget and tasks reasonable and adequately addressed with back up 7-10 points = budget and tasks addressed well, in detail | 10 | | |
| Schedule | 1-4 point = project completed within 3 years 4-7 points = project completed within 2 years 7-10 points = project completed within 1 year | 10 | | |
| Outreach Methods | 1-2 point = briefly addressed and not overall engaging 3-4 points = adequately addressed and engages many groups 5 = addressed well, in detail with very thorough engagement | 5 | | |
| Data collection/evaluation | 1-2 point = briefly addressed 3-4 points = adequately addressed 5 points = addressed well, in detail | 5 | | |
| Specific Improvements | 1-2 point = not very specific improvements 3-4 points = some specific interest improvements addressed 5 points = detailed specific improvements and align with goals | 5 | | |

| | | | | |
|--|--|----------------|----------------|--|
| Multi-Modal/Complete Streets Concepts | 1-2 point = briefly addressed, vague concepts 3-4 points = adequately addressed, clear concepts 5=Detailed concepts and addressed multiple mode | 5 | | |
| Equity | 1-2 point = will provide positive benefits to one to three equity groups 3-4 points = will provide positive benefits to five to six equity groups 5 = will provide positive benefits to seven to eight equity groups | 5 | | |
| Consistency with local, regional, and statewide programs, goals, and plans | 1-2 point = briefly addressed and partially aligned 3-4 points = adequately addressed and aligned 5=addressed well,detailed alignment | 5 | | |
| For CapitalProjects Only (b – g): | | | | |
| b. Safety: degree of reduction in injury risk | 0 = minimal safety improvement 1-5 = moderate reduction of risks of severe crashes/injuries 6-10 = significant reduction of risks of severe crashes/injuries | 10 | | |
| c. Network Connectivity | 0=no network connectivity 1 - 5 = fills a gap in the network 6-10 = fills a gap and connects to schools, transit, high use activity centers, parks, or other key destinations | 10 | | |
| d. Equity | 0 = does not serve a community of concern, disadvantaged community, or equity focus area 1-2 = the project has an Equity Focus Area score of 6-8 3-4 = the project has an Equity Focus Area score of 8-10 5 = the project is in an MTC Equity Priority Community or CalEnviroscreen high risk census tract (top 25%) | 5 | | |
| f. Transportation purpose | 0 - 2 = serves primarily recreation 2 – 4 = serves primarily transportation 5 = serves transportation and recreation | 5 | | |
| g/h. Consistent with plans | 0=not included in local or regional plans 1-3 = included in local or regional plans 4-6 = priority in local plan Bicycle only projects: 7 = along the Countywide Backbone Network (CBN) 8 = along the CBN as a Medium Priority 9 = along the CBN as a High Priority Pedestrian only projects: 9 = within a Pedestrian Focus Area (PFA) Bike and Ped projects: 10 = along the CBN and in a PFA | 10 | | |
| <i>Subtotal</i> | | <i>Max: 50</i> | <i>Max: 70</i> | |

| VII. Funding and Local Match | | | |
|--|--|--|----------------|
| a. Local Cash Match (<i>min. 10% local dollar requirement</i>) | 0 = 0% match 2 = 10% match 4 = 20% match | 6 = 30% match 8 = 40% match 10 = 50% match | 10 |
| c. Project Funding History | 0 = the applicant has received funding in the past 10 years 5 = the applicant has not received funding in the past 10 years | | 5 |
| <i>Subtotal:</i> | | | <i>Max. 15</i> |
| Total Score: <i>(Maximum total points: 100)</i> | | | |

NOTE:

- Gray cells are to be filled by staff
- Capital Projects cells are highlighted in Orange
- Planning Projects cells are highlighted in Green
- White cells are for both types of Projects