

C/CAG

City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County

Atherton • Belmont • Brisbane • Burlingame • Colma • Daly City • East Palo Alto • Foster City • Half Moon Bay • Hillsborough • Menlo Park
Millbrae • Pacifica • Portola Valley • Redwood City • San Bruno • San Carlos • San Mateo • San Mateo County • South San Francisco • Woodside

MEETING AGENDA

C/CAG Legislative Committee

Chair: Gina Papan **Vice Chair:** Adam Rak

Members: Elizabeth Lewis, Davina Hurt, Karen Cunningham, Lisa Gauthier,
Marie Chuang, Anders Fung, Sue Vaterlaus, Richard Salazar

January 13, 2022 - 5:15 P.M.

On September 16, 2021, the Governor signed AB 361, which amended certain provisions of the Ralph M. Brown Act in order to allow for local legislative bodies to conduct their meetings remotely via telephonically or by other electronic means under specified circumstances. Thus, pursuant to Government Code section 54953(e), C/CAG Committee meetings will be conducted via remote conferencing. Members of the public may observe or participate in the meeting remotely via one of the options below.

Join by Zoom:

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/87984675838?pwd=YjBZVmVxWU9MUjhoOEExuZ0FGMWJDQT09>

Meeting ID: 879 8467 5838

Passcode: 011322

Join by Phone:

(669)900-6833 US (San Jose)

Meeting ID: 879 8467 5838

Passcode: 011322

Find your local number: <https://us02web.zoom.us/u/kbegri4IG3>

Persons who wish to address the C/CAG Legislative Committee on an item to be considered at this meeting, or on items not on this agenda, are asked to submit written comments to Kim Springer at kspringer@smcgov.org. Spoken public comments will also be accepted during the meeting through Zoom. Please see instructions for written and spoken public comments at the end of this agenda.

1.	Call to Order	Papan	
2.	COVID-19 announcement and brief overview of teleconference meeting procedures	Springer	
3.	Roll call	Springer	
4.	By motion, find that, as a result of the continuing COVID-19 pandemic state of emergency declared by Governor Newsom, meeting in person would	Charpentier	Pages 1-3

	present imminent risks to the health or safety of attendees.		
5.	Public comment on related items not on the agenda	Papan	
6.	Approval of Minutes from December 9, 2021	Action Papan	Pages 4-7
7.	Review and recommend approval of the Annual C/CAG Legislative Policies for 2022	Action Charpentier/Springer	Pages 8-16
8.	Discuss scheduling meetings with the San Mateo County Delegation at the outset of the 2022-23 Legislative Session.	Information Robinson/Antwih	Pages 17
9.	Review and receive information on C/CAG legislative policies, priorities, and legislative update	Action Robinson/Antwih Papan	Pages 18-21
10.	Adjournment	Papan	

Next Meeting: February 10, 2022

PUBLIC NOTICING: All notices of C/CAG regular Board meetings, standing committee meetings, and special meetings will be posted at the San Mateo County Transit District Office, 1250 San Carlos Ave., San Carlos, CA, and on C/CAG's website at: <http://www.ccag.ca.gov>.

PUBLIC RECORDS: Public records that relate to any item on the open session agenda for a regular Board meeting, standing committee meeting, or special meeting are available for public inspection. Those public records that are distributed less than 72 hours prior to a regular meeting are available for public inspection at the same time they are distributed to all members, or a majority of the members, of the Board or committee. The Board has designated the City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County (C/CAG), located at 555 County Center, 5th Floor, Redwood City, CA 94063, for the purpose of making public records available for inspection. Such public records are also available on C/CAG's website at: <http://www.ccag.ca.gov>. Please note that C/CAG's office is temporarily closed to the public; please contact Mima Guilles at (650) 599-1406 to arrange for inspection of public records.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION DURING VIDEOCONFERENCE MEETINGS: Persons with disabilities who require auxiliary aids or services to participate in this meeting should contact Mima Guilles at (650) 599-1406, five working days prior to the meeting date.

Written Comments should be emailed in advance of the meeting. Please read the following instructions carefully:

1. Your written comment should be emailed to kspringer@smcgov.org with the Subject line: C/CAG Leg. Written Comments.”
2. Your email should include the specific agenda item on which you are commenting or note that your comment concerns an item that is not on the agenda.
3. Members of the public are limited to one comment per agenda item.
4. The length of the emailed comment should be commensurate with the two minutes customarily allowed for verbal comments, which is approximately 250-300 words.
5. If your emailed comment is received at least 2 hours prior to the meeting, it will be provided to the C/CAG Committee members and made publicly available on the C/CAG website along with the agenda. We cannot

guarantee that emails received less than 2 hours before the meeting will be able to be posted or provided to Committee members prior to the meeting, but such emails will be included in the administrative record of the meeting.

Oral Comments will be accepted during the meeting through Zoom. Please read the following instructions carefully:

1. The Legislative Committee meeting may be accessed through Zoom at the online location indicated at the top of this agenda.
2. You may download the Zoom client or connect to the meeting using an internet browser. If using your browser, make sure you are using a current, up-to-date browser: Chrome 30+, Firefox 27+, Microsoft Edge 12+, Safari 7+. Certain functionality may be disabled in older browsers including Internet Explorer.
3. You will be asked to enter an email address and name. We request that you identify yourself by your name as this will be visible online and will be used to notify you that it is your turn to speak.
4. When C/CAG Staff or the Committee Chair/Vice-Chair call for the item on which you wish to speak, click on “raise hand.” C/CAG staff will activate and unmute speakers in turn. Speakers will be notified shortly before they are called on to speak.
5. When called, please limit your remarks to the time allotted.

If you have any questions about this agenda, please contact C/CAG staff:

Executive Director: Sean Charpentier: scharpentier@smcgov.org

Transportation Systems Coordinator: Kim Springer at kspringer@smcgov.org

Administrative Assistant: Mima Guilles (650) 599-1406

C/CAG AGENDA REPORT

Date: January 13, 2022

To: City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County Legislative Committee

From: Sean Charpentier, Executive Director

Subject: By motion, find that, as a result of the continuing COVID-19 pandemic state of emergency declared by Governor Newsom, meeting in person would present imminent risks to the health or safety of attendees.

(For further information contact Sean Charpentier at scharpentier@smcgov.org)

RECOMMENDATION

That the C/CAG Legislative Committee find, by motion, that, as a result of the continuing COVID-19 pandemic state of emergency declared by Governor Newsom, meeting in person would present imminent risks to the health or safety of attendees.

FISCAL IMPACT

There is no fiscal impact.

SOURCE OF FUNDS

Not applicable

BACKGROUND

On June 11, 2021, Governor Newsom issued Executive Order N-08-21, which rescinded his prior Executive Order N-29-20 and set a date of October 1, 2021 for public agencies to transition back to public meetings held in full compliance with the Brown Act. The original Executive Order provided that all provisions of the Brown Act that required the physical presence of members or other personnel as a condition of participation or as a quorum for a public meeting were waived for public health reasons. If these waivers fully sunset on October 1, 2021, legislative bodies subject to the Brown Act would have to contend with a sudden return to full compliance with in-person meeting requirements as they existed prior to March 2020, including the requirement for full physical public access to all teleconference locations from which board members were participating.

On September 16, 2021, the Governor signed AB 361, a bill that formalizes and modifies the teleconference procedures implemented by California public agencies in response to the Governor's Executive Orders addressing Brown Act compliance during the COVID-19 emergency. AB 361 allows a local agency legislative body to continue to use teleconferencing under the same basic rules as provided in the Executive Orders when certain circumstances occur or when certain findings have been

made and adopted by the legislative body.

AB 361 provides that Brown Act legislative bodies must return to in-person meetings on October 1, 2021, unless they choose to continue with fully teleconferenced meetings because a specific declaration of a state or local health emergency is appropriately made. AB 361 allows legislative bodies to continue to conduct virtual meetings as long as there is a gubernatorially-proclaimed public emergency in combination with (1) local health official recommendations for social distancing or (2) adopted findings that meeting in person would present an imminent risk to health or safety. AB 361 is effective immediately as urgency legislation and will sunset on January 1, 2024.

AB 361 also requires that, if the state of emergency remains active for more than 30 days, the legislative body must make findings by majority vote every 30 days to continue using the bill's exemption to the Brown Act teleconferencing rules. Specifically, the legislative body must find that the need for teleconferencing persists due to risks posed by the ongoing state of emergency. Effectively, this means that local agencies must either agendaize a Brown Act meeting once every thirty days to make these findings, or, **if a local agency has not made such findings within the prior 30 days, the local agency must re-adopt the initial findings if it wishes to conduct a remote meeting.**

Cities throughout San Mateo County and San Mateo County have made the findings required to continue remote meetings. On December 9, 2021, the C/CAG Board of Directors approved Resolution 21-88, which made the findings necessary for remote meetings for both the Board of Directors and its standing Committees, including the Legislative Committee.

Unfortunately, January 13, 2022 is 35 days after the C/CAG Board of Directors approved Resolution 21-88.

The January 13, 2022 C/CAG Board meeting includes a resolution similar to 21-88 that would make the findings necessary to continue with remote meetings for both the C/CAG Board and standing C/CAG Committees for another 30 days.

DISCUSSION

The County's high vaccination rate, successfully-implemented local health measures (such as indoor masking), and best practices by the public (such as voluntary social distancing) have proven effective, in combination, at controlling the local spread of COVID-19.

However, reducing the circumstances under which people come into close contact remains a vital component of the County's COVID-19 response strategy. While local agency public meetings are an essential government function, the last 18 months have demonstrated that conducting such meetings virtually is feasible.

Public meetings pose high risks for COVID-19 spread for several reasons. These meetings bring together people from throughout a geographic region, increasing the opportunity for COVID-19 transmission. Further, the open nature of public meetings makes it difficult to enforce compliance with vaccination, physical distancing, masking, cough and sneeze etiquette, or other safety measures. Moreover, some of the safety measures used by private businesses to control these risks may be less

effective for public agencies.

These factors combine to make in-person public meetings imminently risky to health and safety.

Because local rates of transmission of COVID-19 are in the “high” tier as measured by the Centers for Disease Control as of the date of this report, we recommend that the Board avail itself of the provisions of AB 361 allowing continuation of remote meetings by adopting findings to the effect that conducting in-person meetings would present an imminent risk to the health and safety of attendees.

Given that the Legislative Committee meeting is occurring 35 days after the approval of C/CAG Resolution 21-88, staff recommend that in order to continue to have remote meetings, the Legislative Committee find, by motion, that conducting in-person meetings at the present time would present an imminent risk to the health and safety of attendees.

ATTACHMENTS

None

C/CAG AGENDA REPORT

Date: January 13, 2022

To: City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County Legislative Committee

From: Sean Charpentier, Executive Director

Subject: Review and approve the Legislative Committee meeting minutes from the meeting held on December 9, 2021.

(For further information, contact Kim Springer at kspringer@smcgov.org)

RECOMMENDATION

That the Legislative Committee review and approve the Legislative Committee meeting minutes from the meeting held on December 9, 2021.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Draft C/CAG Legislative Committee Meeting Minutes, December 9, 2021

**CITY/COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS
LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE**

**Draft Meeting Minutes
December 9, 2021 Meeting**

Staff and Guests Attending:

C/CAG Staff:

Sean Charpentier, Kim Springer, Melissa Andrikopoulos, Reid Bogert, Jeffrey Lacap, Kaki Cheung, Mima Crume,

Legislative Consultant:

Andrew Antwih and Matt Robinson – Shaw / Yoder / Antwih / Schmelzer and Lange, Inc.

Others Attending:

Jeff Sparks – Senator Scott Wiener’s Office

Marc Hershman – Peninsula Clean Energy

Drew - Public

1. Call to Order.

Chair Papan called the meeting to order at 5:30 p.m.

2. COVID-19 announcement and brief overview of Zoom teleconference meeting procedures.

C/CAG staff read the COVID-19 announcement and teleconference meeting procedures.

3. Legislative Committee Roster and Roll call.

C/CAG staff conducted roll call, and a quorum of eight was present as shown below.

Agency	Name	Dec 9, 2021
Atherton	Elizabeth Lewis	X
Belmont	Davina Hurt	
Brisbane	Karen Cunningham	X
East Palo Alto	Lisa Gauthier	
Hillsborough	Marie Chuang	X
Millbrae	Gina Papan (Chair)	X
Millbrae	Anders Fung	X
Pacifica	Sue Vaterlaus	X
San Bruno	Michael Salazar	X
San Carlos	Adam Rak (Vice Chair)	X

4. Public comment on related items not on the agenda.

Sean Charpentier, C/CAG Executive Director, thanked Reid Bogert for his past staff work for the C/CAG Legislative Committee and introduced Kim Springer as the new staff for the Committee.

There were no other public comments.

5. Approval of Minutes from September 9, 2021.

Committee approved the meeting minutes from the July 8, 2021 C/CAG Legislative Committee Meeting. Member Lewis motioned approval, seconded by Member Chuang. Roll call vote was taken. Motion passed unanimously (8:0:0).

6. Review and approve the C/CAG Legislative Committee meeting calendar for calendar year 2022.

Member Rak suggested the meeting date or time be modified to allow for additional meeting time. After discussion, the Committee motioned approval of the 2022 Committee meeting calendar with modification to begin the meetings at 5:15. Member Vaterlaus motioned approval, seconded by Member Salazar. Roll call vote was taken. Motion passed unanimously (8:0:0).

7. Nominate and elect a Chairperson and a Vice Chairperson for the C/CAG Legislative Committee for 2022.

Member Lewis nominated current Chair and Vice Chair, Papan and Rak respectively, for another year term. The nominations were seconded by Member Chuang. There were no other nominations. A vote was taken by roll call and the nominations were unanimously approved.

8. Review and receive information on C/CAG legislative policies, priorities, and legislative update.

Staff introduced Matt Robinson who provided a legislative update for the Committee. Robinson mentioned that there were going to be a number of special elections due to retirements, resignations, and other legislator shifts, such as the recently announced retirement of Congresswoman Jackie Speier and those seeking her position. Robinson also discussed the transportation funding packet, two-year bills C/CAG is tracking, timelines for two-year bills and new legislation, and that the governor will provide a proposed budget by January 10th, which will likely begin a discussion on the use of the budget surplus.

The Committee and staff discussed way in which C/CAG can have influence; sponsoring legislation, commenting on legislation, or requesting earmarks for specific projects.

The Committee approved the legislative update. Member Chuang motioned approval; seconded by Member Vaterlaus. Roll call vote was taken. Motion passed unanimously (8:0:0).

9. Review and recommend approval of the Annual C/CAG Legislative Policies for 2022

Committee staff reviewed and edited the C/CAG Legislative Policies for 2022 with input from the Committee.

A vote for approval of Item 9 was continued to the next meeting, at which time staff will bring an updated document back to the Committee for review.

10. Discuss scheduling meetings with the San Mateo County Delegation at the outset of the 2022-23 Legislative Session.

Item 10 was continued to next meeting.

11. Adjournment.

The meeting adjourned at approximately 6:30 P.M.

C/CAG AGENDA REPORT

Date: January 13, 2022

To: City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County Legislative Committee

From: Sean Charpentier, Executive Director

Subject: Review and recommend approval of the Annual C/CAG Legislative Policies for 2022.

(For further information or response to questions, contact Kim Springer at kspringer@s.cgov.org)

RECOMMENDATION

That the Legislative Committee review and recommend approval of the Annual C/CAG Legislative Policies for 2022.

FISCAL IMPACT

Many of the policies listed in the attached document have the potential to increase or decrease the fiscal resources available to C/CAG member agencies.

SOURCE OF FUNDS

New legislation.

BACKGROUND

Each year, the C/CAG Board adopts a set of legislative policies to provide direction to its Legislative Committee, staff, and legislative advocates. In the past, the C/CAG Board established policies that:

- Clearly defined a policy framework at the beginning of the Legislative Session.
- Identified specific policies to be accomplished during this session by C/CAG's legislative advocates.
- Limited the activities of C/CAG to areas where we can have the greatest impact.

During the time between fall recess and the scheduled December 9, 2021 Legislative Committee meeting, C/CAG staff worked with C/CAG's legislative advocates to make modifications and additions to policies to ensure C/CAG's policy platform is up-to-date and aligns with regional goals that are consistent with C/CAG's priorities, enacted legislation, and executive orders from the Governor's office. At the December 9, 2021 meeting, the Legislative Committee reviewed the revised the existing 2021 C/CAG Legislative Policies and asked that the document be brought back to the Committee for final review before recommending approval by the C/CAG Board of Directors as the 2022 C/CAG Legislative Policies. The revised document is included herein as Attachment 1.

At the December 9, 2021 Legislative Committee meeting, members asked about the definition of resilience. In the context of climate change, resiliency means that efforts apply

to both mitigation (reducing sources of ongoing emissions) and adaptation (preventatively preparing for future changes due to climate change). An example of mitigation is electrifying vehicles. An example of adaptation is building sea walls to prevent sea level rise water inundation. Adaptation, and therefore resiliency, applies to efforts related to sea level rise, higher heat, extreme weather, flooding, wildfire, and even agricultural shift.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Draft C/CAG Legislative Policies for 2022

C/CAG LEGISLATIVE POLICIES FOR 2022

(Adopted from December 10, 2020 version)

(Amended for Review: January 13, 2022 C/CAG Legislative and Board Meetings)

Policy #1 -

Protect against the diversion of local revenues, promote equitable distribution of state/regional resources and revenues, and protect against increased local cost resulting from State actions.

- 1.1 Support League of California Cities, California State Association of Counties, SAC, and other stakeholders, as well as initiatives, to protect local revenues.
- 1.2 Provide incentives and tools to local government to promote economic vitality.
- 1.3 Support efforts to improve the disadvantaged community screening tools used by the state on the allocation of “cap and trade” and other state funding programs to ensure that San Mateo County community needs are addressed.
- 1.4 Support appropriate new funding or the reinstatement of state funding for economic development and affordable housing, including the use of tax-increment financing or “Redevelopment 2.0.”
- 1.5 Pursue and support efforts that direct state and regional funds equitably to ensure a return to source.
- 1.6 Support State actions that ensure adequate funding is made available by the State for delegated re-alignment responsibilities and ensure that all State mandates are 100% reimbursed.
- 1.7 Oppose State actions that delegate responsibilities to local jurisdictions without full reimbursement for resulting costs.
- 1.8 Support funding efforts for the COVID-19 public health emergency that are responsive to equity, transportation, housing, and environmental needs in San Mateo County.

Policy #2 -

Protect against loss of local land use authority from State action.

- 2.1 Support State actions that provide funding for local agencies to plan, incentivize, and mitigate for future housing development.
- 2.2 Support State actions that allow flexibility for local agencies to pool resources and share credit on their housing production reports.
- 2.3 Oppose State actions that would inhibit local agencies’ ability to make decisions that address the specific needs of that community.

- 2.4 Oppose State actions that would withhold funding (including transportation) from jurisdictions based on housing production performance outcomes.
- 2.5 Monitor legislation and ballot initiatives that impacts local housing and land-use authority and oppose and legislation that would usurp a local government's authority regarding land-use decisions.
- 2.6 Support legislation that identifies revenue to fund airport/land use compatibility plans.

Policy #3 -

Support actions that help to meet municipal stormwater permit requirements and secure stable funding to pay for current and future regulatory mandates.

- 3.1 Primary focus on securing additional revenue sources and achieving cost effectiveness for both C/CAG and its member agencies for funding state- and federally-mandated stormwater compliance efforts.
 - a. Advocate for funding for implementing the San Mateo County Stormwater Resource Plan, San Mateo County Sustainable Streets Master Plan, and local Green Infrastructure Plans, and advocate to support long-term reductions in harmful bacteria, trash, plastics, mercury, PCBs, and other pollutants discharging to the Bay and Ocean and to reduce flooding, recharge groundwater, adapt to a changing climate, and enhance communities.
 - b. Advocate for inclusion of water quality and stormwater management as a priority for funding in new sources of revenues (e.g. water bonds) and protect against a geographically unbalanced North-South allocation of resources.
 - c. Track and advocate for resources for stormwater management in State and Federal grant and loan programs.
 - d. Support efforts to identify regulatory requirements that are unfunded state mandates and ensure provision of state funding for such requirements.
 - e. Pursue and support efforts that address stormwater issues at statewide or regional levels and thereby reduce the cost share for C/CAG and its member agencies and limit the need to implement such efforts locally.
 - f. Advocate for better integration between parallel statewide efforts to manage stormwater for water quality improvement, long-term climate change adaptation, and groundwater recharge.
 - g. Support legislative efforts to provide additional funding for stormwater projects in San Mateo County, including funding for regional stormwater management efforts through the San Mateo County Flood and Sea Level Rise Resiliency District, and advancing schoolyard greening initiatives, regional-scale multi-benefit stormwater capture projects, and programmatic implementation of regional-scale distributed green stormwater infrastructure.
 - h. Support mechanisms that allow credit trading approaches for stormwater management and water quality improvement.

- 3.2 Support efforts to secure statewide legislation mandating abatement of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in building materials prior to demolition of relevant structures, in accordance with requirements in the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board's Municipal Regional Permit.
- 3.3 Pursue and support efforts that control pollutants at the source and extend producer responsibility, especially regarding trash and litter control, including but not limited to microplastics, ~~and~~ tire wear byproducts and other vehicle related pollutants, and emerging contaminants of concern.
- 3.4 Support efforts to place the burden/ accountability of reporting, managing, and meeting municipal stormwater requirements on the responsible source rather than the cities or county, such as properties that are known pollutant hot spots and third-party utility purveyors.
- 3.5 Advocate for integrated, prioritized, and achievable stormwater regulations that protect water quality and beneficial uses and account for limitations on municipal funding.
- 3.6 Pursue and support pesticide and rodenticide regulations that protect water quality and reduce pesticide toxicity.

Policy #4 -

Advocate and support an integrated and flexible approach to funding.

- 4.1 Advocate for appropriate and effective integrated approaches to both funding and project types for statewide and regional infrastructure efforts including stormwater management, transportation, ~~and~~ affordable housing, and both climate action and adaptation (resiliency).
- 4.2 Advocate for efforts that provide flexibility in funding sources to enable a holistic approach to fund programs and projects.

Policy #5 -

Support lowering the 2/3rd super majority vote for local special purpose taxes and fees.

- 5.1 Support constitutional amendments that reduce the vote requirements for special taxes and fees.
- 5.2 Oppose bills that impose restrictions on the expenditures, thereby reducing flexibility, for special tax category.

Policy #6 -

Protect and support transportation funding and policies aligned with C/CAG's priorities.

- 6.1 Maximize the Bay Area's and San Mateo County's share of any State General Fund surplus revenue invested in local/regional transportation.

- 6.2 Support efforts that protect transportation revenues from being pledged, transferred, or used for non-transportation purposes.
- 6.3 Support the implementation of SB 1 (Road Repair and Accountability Act of 2017) programs and monitor the implementation of Executive Order N-19-19, ~~and~~ N-79-20, and the Climate Action Plan for Transportation Infrastructure (CAPTI), which call for aligning transportation spending with the state’s climate goals.
- 6.4 Monitor and engage in the planning for and potential implementation of new transportation funding sources, including -identifying viable alternatives to the gas tax; and regional proposals to study congestion pricing.
- 6.5 Monitor legislation related to the California high-speed rail project.
- 6.6 Support legislation that identifies funding for active transportation, complete streets, and bike/pedestrian infrastructure projects, including multi-benefit projects that integrate transportation improvements with green stormwater infrastructure.
- 6.7 Support efforts to secure the appropriation and allocation of “cap and trade” revenues to support San Mateo County needs.
- 6.8 Support or sponsor efforts that finance and/ or facilitate operational improvements on the US 1, 35, 84, 92, 280, 380101 corridor, State Highway system and highway corridors in San Mateo County, including the 101 Express Lanes project.
- 6.9 Support alternative contracting and project delivery methods, such as Public Private Partnerships, that could result in project cost savings, such as accelerated deployment of technology including fiber optic cable for connected vehicle deployment, access to broadband capabilities, and more cost effective distributed green infrastructure for stormwater management.
- 6.10 Monitor the implementation of the new bridge toll program (Regional Measure 3) and advocate for an appropriate share of new revenues for projects in San Mateo County.
- 6.11 Monitor and engage in the effort to seek voter approval for a regional funding measure for transportation in 2024.
- 6.12 Support efforts that incentivize ridership and improve integration & connectivity among Bay Area transit systems. Monitor legislation that promotes transit-oriented development.
- 6.13 Support policies aimed at reducing vehicle miles traveled and associated traffic congestion by reducing the share of commuting by single-occupant vehicles.
- 6.14 Support efforts to improve the performance of high-occupancy vehicle (HOV) and express lanes.
- 6.15 Monitor or support legislation improving bicycle and pedestrian safety. In particular, support the implementation of AB 43 and modifying the state’s 85th percentile methodology for determining speed limits to provide greater flexibility to local agencies and continue to

~~support~~ authorization of automated speed enforcement technology to enforce speed limits and other elements of Vision Zero.

6.16 Support legislation that would amend ~~Government~~ Code Section – 65089.20 to increase the maximum voter-approved vehicle license fee from its existing \$10.

6.17 Support efforts to create local VMT/GHG mitigation programbanks to assist in reaching SB 375 GHG reduction goals.

6.18 Monitor legislation and funding opportunities for integration of autonomous vehicles and infrastructure.

6.19 Support and monitor efforts to facilitate the deployment of transformative transportation technologies with the goal of accelerating safety, mobility, environmental, equity and economic benefits associated with new mobility technologies. -Additionally, ensure strong federal vehicle safety standards while also preserving the ability of state and local agencies to continue to set policies governing the operation of vehicles on highways and local roads, regardless of whether they are driven autonomously or manually.

6.20 Support legislation that streamlines project delivery, including simplifying grant applications, streamlining CEQA, and accelerating project permit approvals.

Policy #7 -

Advocate for revenue solutions to address State budget issues that are also beneficial to Cities/Counties

7.1 Support measures to ensure that local governments receive appropriate revenues to service local communities.

7.2 Support measures and policies that encourage and facilitate public private partnerships.

Policy #8 -

Support bold climate protection action, Greenhouse Gas reduction, and energy conservation and resilience legislation

8.1 Support incentive approaches toward implementing AB 32 (California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006), SB 32 (extension of the target date and goals), and AB 398 (Cap and Trade), and legislation that supports electrification of infrastructure and the adoption of alternative fuel technologies.

8.2 Monitor climate legislation that would conflict with or override projects approved by the voters.

8.3 Support funding for both transportation and housing investments, which support the implementation of SB 375 (Sustainable Communities and Climate Protection Act of 2008), so that housing funds are not competing with transportation funds.

8.4 Monitor the regulatory process for implementing SB 743 (Evaluation of Transportation Impacts in CEQA) and impacts the new regulations may have on congestion management plans.

- 8.5 Support legislation that would require recording of vehicle miles of travel (VMT) as part of vehicle registration and initiatives to provide VMT and vehicle data from the DMV to evaluate trends in community greenhouse gas emissions and transit project outcomes.
- 8.6 Support efforts that ensure continued funding for ratepayer-funded local government partnerships to foster ~~energy conservation~~ energy efficiency, electrification, and resilience, or expand funding for generation and use of renewable and/ or clean energy sources (wind, solar, etc.).
- 8.7 Work to initiate legislation that provides new funding and incentives for building energy electrification, transition to electric vehicles, or other greenhouse gas reducing initiatives, in support of local government climate action plans and the Governor’s Executive Orders N-19-19 and N-79-20.
- 8.8 Support funding for electric vehicle and bike charging infrastructure, electric power storage capacity, and rebates for electric vehicle purchase.
- 8.9 Support legislation that supports Peninsula Clean Energy (PCE) objectives and oppose proposed legislation that impairs that effort.
- 8.10 Support legislation that provides local government access to data necessary for completing greenhouse gas emission inventories, or legislation that requires the State to ~~make use and provide~~ emissions data and develop community-scale greenhouse gas emission inventories, and make them publicly available.
- 8.11 Support efforts that will engage the business community in making contributions to community improvements associated with stormwater, transportation congestion relief and mobility options, affordable housing, greenhouse gas emissions reductions, electrification, and energy ~~resilience.savings.~~
- 8.12 Support legislative efforts to create a unified voice in San Mateo County and to manage integrated water issues including sea level rise, flooding, coastal erosion, and stormwater vulnerabilities.
- 8.13 Support legislation to provide funding and policy guidance on wildfire preparedness and emergency response to local jurisdictions.
- 8.14 Monitor efforts to address emergency preparedness and response activities by PG&E.

<p><i>Policy #9 - Protection of water user rights and access to sustainable water supply</i></p>

- 9.1 Support the Bay Area Water Supply and Conservation Association (BAWSCA) efforts in the protection of water user rights for San Mateo County users.

- 9.2 Support legislation that provides funding for development of alternative sources of water supply, ~~and/or~~ resources for ~~community municipal~~ water supply conservation, and water capture initiatives.

*Policy #10 -
Other/Miscellaneous*

- 10.1 Monitor and Support Brown Act reforms that provide flexibility, facilitate public engagement, and allow for transparent, accessible, and participatory remote public meetings; with the goals of increasing participation from board members, committee members, and the public; and reducing vehicle miles traveled.

C/CAG AGENDA REPORT

Date: January 13, 2022

To: C/CAG Legislative Committee

From: Sean Charpentier, Executive Director

Subject: Discuss scheduling meetings with the San Mateo County Delegation at the outset of the 2022-23 Legislative Session.

(For further information, contact Kim Springer at kspringer@smcgov.org)

RECOMMENDATION

That the C/CAG Legislative Committee discuss scheduling meetings with the San Mateo County Delegation at the outset of the 2022-23 Legislative Session.

FISCAL IMPACT

N/A

SOURCE OF FUNDS

N/A

BACKGROUND

Staff and consultants Matt Robinson and Andrew Antwih, of Shaw, Yoder, Antwih, Schmelzer, & Lange, recommend discussing both priority legislative issues and setting meetings with representatives to discuss those priority issues before the next Legislative Session.

Staff recommends scheduling a meeting in late January or early February, with direction and input from Committee members.

ATTACHMENTS

None.

C/CAG AGENDA REPORT

Date: January 13, 2022

To: C/CAG Legislative Committee

From: Sean Charpentier, Executive Director

Subject: Review and recommend approval of C/CAG legislative policies, priorities, positions, and legislative update (A position may be taken on any legislation, including legislation not previously identified).

(For further information, contact Kim Springer at kspringer@smcgov.org)

RECOMMENDATION

That the C/CAG Legislative Committee review and recommend approval of C/CAG legislative policies, priorities, positions, and legislative update (A position may be taken on any legislation, including legislation not previously identified).

C/CAG staff does not have specific recommended actions with respect to the legislative update this month.

FISCAL IMPACT

N/A

SOURCE OF FUNDS

N/A

BACKGROUND

The C/CAG Legislative Committee receives monthly written reports and oral briefings from C/CAG's State legislative advocates. Important or interesting issues that arise out of the committee meeting are reported to the full C/CAG Board. The attached report may include updates from Sacramento with respect to the State Budget process, State grant programs, recent committee hearings, and bill progress of interest to C/CAG since the Committee last met on December 9, 2021. The Legislature has begun its 2022-23 session.

For additional information with respect to what the Metropolitan Transportation Commission/Association of Bay Area Governments Joint Legislative Committee, California League of Cities, California State Association of Counties (CSAC), and California Association of Councils of Government (CALCOG) are tracking, staff has included informational links to the relevant bill tracking websites, as well as the full legislative information for the State Legislature and the 2021 calendar of legislative deadlines. Lastly, staff have also included links to the 2021 legislation websites

for the San Mateo County delegates for information only.

ATTACHMENTS

1. C/CAG Legislative Update, January 3, 2022 from Shaw Yoder Antwih Schmelzer & Lange

Below are informational links:

2. [Recent Joint ABAG MTC Legislation Committee Agendas](#)
3. [California State Association of Counties \(CSAC\) 2021 bill positions and tracking](#)
4. [California Associations of Councils of Government \(CALCOG\) 2021 bill tracking](#)
5. Full Legislative information is available for specific bills at <http://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/>
6. [2022 California State Calendar of Legislative Deadlines](#)
7. San Mateo County Delegation Sponsored Legislation 2021
 - [2021 Legislation from Assemblymember Marc Berman](#)
 - [2021 Legislation from Assemblymember Kevin Mullin](#)
 - [2021 Legislation from Assemblymember Phil Ting](#)
 - [2021 Legislation from Senator Josh Becker](#)
 - [2021 Legislation Senator Scott Wiener](#)
8. Current client roster for Shaw Yoder Antwih Schmelzer & Lange - <https://syaslparkers.com/clients/>



January 3, 2022

TO: Board of Directors, City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County

FM: Matt Robinson & Andrew Antwih, Shaw Yoder Antwih Schmelzer & Lange

RE: **STATE LEGISLATIVE UPDATE – January 2022**

Legislative Update

The Legislature will return to Sacramento to begin the second year of the 2021-22 Legislative Session on January 3. AS a reminder, the Legislature released the [2022 Tentative Legislative Calendar](#) establishing the deadlines for 2022. February 18 marks the bill introduction deadline for new bills. Interestingly, in late-December, the Assembly announced to its employees it will reinstate its flexible work schedule until February 14, which allows staff to work remotely if necessary. Shortly after the Legislature reconvenes, Governor Newsom will release his January budget proposal on January 10. We expect it will contain proposals for investments in transportation, climate & drought resiliency, and affordable housing & homelessness.

Budget Requests

In Sacramento, C/CAG is exploring pursuing budget funding requests with members of our delegation for two projects benefitting San Mateo County. The first would be a request for \$10 million for a stormwater project at Red Morton Community Park in Redwood City. The project is a subsurface stormwater capture and detention system with options for harvest and reuse the water for irrigation and/or non-potable reuse for toilet flushing at a planned veterans building. The other funding ask would be for the construction phase of the US 101/SR 92 Interchange Area Improvements project. C/CAG staff will be meeting with delegation member staff in the coming weeks to provide an overview of the requests.

Bills of Interest

Below are Bills of Interest that must move to the other house by January 31 if they are to remain active in 2022:

AB 377 (Rivas) Water Quality – Two-Year Bill

[This bill](#) would require all California surface waters to be fishable, swimmable, and drinkable by January 1, 2050 and prohibit the State Water Resources Control Board and Regional Water Quality Control Boards from authorizing any discharges (including stormwater) that causes or contributes to an exceedance of a water quality standard in receiving waters. The bill would prohibit a regional water quality control plan from including a schedule for achieving a water quality standard adopted as of

January 1, 2021. The bill would require the state board and regional boards to develop by 2030 an Impaired Waterways Enforcement Program to enforce all remaining water quality standard violations and a Waterway Attainment Penalty Subaccount, composed of penalties obtained pursuant to the Impaired Waterways Enforcement Program, and would make moneys in the subaccount available for the state board to expend, upon appropriation by the Legislature, for purposes of the program. ***The C/CAG Board OPPOSES this bill.***

AB 629 (Chiu) Seamless Bay Area – Two-Year Bill

As currently drafted, [this bill](#) would require MTC to consult with transit agencies, local jurisdictions, county transportation agencies, and the public to establish and maintain a transit priority network for the San Francisco Bay area that designates corridors that can best support transit service.

This bill would require MTC to submit a copy of *the Fare Coordination and Integration Study and Business Case* to the Legislature by February 1, 2022, as well as a follow up report on the progress of implementing the recommendations in the study by January 1, 2023. The bill would require MTC to create a pilot program for an “accumulator pass” among operators providing service in at least three adjacent counties by July 1, 2023. This bill would require MTC in consultation with transit agencies to develop a standardized regional transit mapping and wayfinding system and to develop an implementation and maintenance strategy and funding plan for deployment of the system by July 1, 2024 and for each transit agency to use the system by July 1, 2025. The bill would require a transit operator in the Bay area to use open data standards to make available all routes, schedules, and fares in a specified data format and to track actual transmission of real-time information by transit vehicles and report that information to the commission to ensure that schedule predictions are available. The bill would require the commission to coordinate these activities and to develop an implementation and funding plan for deployment of real-time information. Finally, this bill would require MTC, Caltrans, and the operators of managed lanes in the Bay Area to take specified steps to ensure the regional managed lanes network supports seamless operation of high-capacity transit. ***This bill will need a new author as Assembly Chiu was appointed San Francisco City Attorney in early November.***

ACA 1 (Aguiar-Curry) Local Government Financing: Affordable Housing and Public Infrastructure: Voter Approval – Two-Year Bill

[This constitutional amendment](#) would lower the necessary voter threshold from a two-thirds supermajority to 55 percent to approve local general obligation bonds and special taxes for affordable housing and public infrastructure projects, including public transit. The C/CAG Board supported a nearly identical measure, also ACA 1 (Aguiar-Curry), in 2019. ***The C/CAG Board SUPPORTS this bill.***