

C/CAG

City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County

*Atherton • Belmont • Brisbane • Burlingame • Colma • Daly City • East Palo Alto • Foster City • Half Moon Bay • Hillsborough • Menlo Park
Millbrae • Pacifica • Portola Valley • Redwood City • San Bruno • San Carlos • San Mateo • San Mateo County • South San Francisco • Woodside*

MEETING AGENDA

C/CAG Legislative Committee

Chair: Gina Papan **Vice Chair:** Adam Rak

Members: Davina Hurt (C/CAG Chair), Ricardo Ortiz (C/CAG Vice-Chair)
Elizabeth Lewis, Karen Cunningham, Lisa Gauthier,
Anders Fung, Sue Vaterlaus, Michael Salazar

May 12, 2022 - 5:15 P.M.

On September 16, 2021, the Governor signed AB 361, which amended certain provisions of the Ralph M. Brown Act in order to allow for local legislative bodies to conduct their meetings remotely via telephonically or by other electronic means under specified circumstances. Thus, pursuant to Government Code section 54953(e), C/CAG Committee meetings will be conducted via remote conferencing. Members of the public may observe or participate in the meeting remotely via one of the options below.

Join by Zoom:

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89312016002?pwd=anJjL2Y2TjZtNkNibklBdkhIV1Y1dz09>

Meeting ID: 893 1201 6002

Passcode: 051222

Join by Phone:

(669)900-6833 US (San Jose)

Meeting ID: 893 1201 6002

Passcode: 051222

Find your local number: <https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89312016002?pwd=anJjL2Y2TjZtNkNibklBdkhIV1Y1dz09>

Persons who wish to address the C/CAG Legislative Committee on an item to be considered at this meeting, or on items not on this agenda, are asked to submit written comments to Kim Springer at kspringer@smcgov.org. Please see below for instructions. Spoken public comments will also be accepted during the meeting through Zoom. Please see instructions for written and spoken public comments at the end of this agenda.

1.	Call to Order	Papan	
2.	COVID-19 announcement and brief overview of teleconference meeting procedures	Springer	
3.	Roll call	Springer	
4.	Public comment on related items not on the agenda.	Papan	
5.	Review and approve the Legislative Committee meeting minutes from the meeting held on April 14, 2022.	Action Papan	Pages 4-7
6.	Update on scheduling meetings and topics of discussion with the San Mateo County Delegation in the 2021-22 Legislative Session.	Information Robinson/Antwih	Page 8
7.	Review legislative update and, if appropriate, recommend approval of C/CAG legislative policies, priorities, or positions. (A position may be taken on any legislation, including legislation not previously identified in the legislative update. Action is only necessary if recommending approval of a policy, priority, or position.)	Possible Action Robinson/Antwih Papan	Pages 9-14
8.	Adjournment	Papan	

Next Meeting: June 9, 2022

PUBLIC NOTICING: All notices of C/CAG regular Board meetings, standing committee meetings, and special meetings will be posted at the San Mateo County Courtyard, 555 County Center, Redwood City, CA, and on C/CAG's website at: <http://www.ccag.ca.gov>.

PUBLIC RECORDS: Public records that relate to any item on the open session agenda for a regular Board meeting, standing committee meeting, or special meeting are available for public inspection. Those public records that are distributed less than 72 hours prior to a regular meeting are available for public inspection at the same time they are distributed to all members, or a majority of the members, of the Board or committee. The Board has designated the City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County (C/CAG), located at 555 County Center, 5th Floor, Redwood City, CA 94063, for the purpose of making public records available for inspection. Such public records are also available on C/CAG's website at: <http://www.ccag.ca.gov>. Please note that C/CAG's office is temporarily closed to the public; please contact Mima Guilles at (650) 599-1406 to arrange for inspection of public records.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION DURING VIDEOCONFERENCE MEETINGS: Persons with disabilities who require auxiliary aids or services to participate in this meeting should contact Mima Guilles at (650) 599-1406, five working days prior to the meeting date.

Written Comments should be emailed in advance of the meeting. Please read the following instructions carefully:

1. Your written comment should be emailed to kspringer@smcgov.org with the Subject line: C/CAG Leg. Written Comments.”
2. Your email should include the specific agenda item on which you are commenting or note that your comment concerns an item that is not on the agenda.
3. Members of the public are limited to one comment per agenda item.
4. The length of the emailed comment should be commensurate with the two minutes customarily allowed for verbal comments, which is approximately 250-300 words.
5. If your emailed comment is received at least 2 hours prior to the meeting, it will be provided to the C/CAG Committee members and made publicly available on the C/CAG website along with the agenda. We cannot guarantee that emails received less than 2 hours before the meeting will be able to be posted or provided to Committee members prior to the meeting, but such emails will be included in the administrative record of the meeting.

Oral Comments will be accepted during the meeting through Zoom. Please read the following instructions carefully:

1. The Legislative Committee meeting may be accessed through Zoom at the online location indicated at the top of this agenda.
2. You may download the Zoom client or connect to the meeting using an internet browser. If using your browser, make sure you are using a current, up-to-date browser: Chrome 30+, Firefox 27+, Microsoft Edge 12+, Safari 7+. Certain functionality may be disabled in older browsers including Internet Explorer.
3. You will be asked to enter an email address and name. We request that you identify yourself by your name as this will be visible online and will be used to notify you that it is your turn to speak.
4. When C/CAG Staff or the Committee Chair/Vice-Chair call for the item on which you wish to speak, click on “raise hand.” C/CAG staff will activate and unmute speakers in turn. Speakers will be notified shortly before they are called on to speak.
5. When called, please limit your remarks to the time allotted.

If you have any questions about this agenda, please contact C/CAG staff:

Executive Director: Sean Charpentier: scharpentier@smcgov.org

Transportation Systems Coordinator: Kim Springer at kspringer@smcgov.org

Administrative Assistant: Mima Guilles (650) 599-1406

C/CAG AGENDA REPORT

Date: May 12, 2022
To: City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County Legislative Committee
From: Sean Charpentier, Executive Director
Subject: Review and approve the Legislative Committee meeting minutes from the meeting held on April 14, 2022.

(For further information, contact Kim Springer at kspringer@smcgov.org)

RECOMMENDATION

That the Legislative Committee review and approve the Legislative Committee meeting minutes from the meeting held on April 14, 2022.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Draft C/CAG Legislative Committee Meeting Minutes, April 14, 2022

**CITY/COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS
LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE**

**Draft Meeting Minutes
April 14, 2022 Meeting**

Staff and Guests Attending:

C/CAG Staff:

Sean Charpentier, Kim Springer, Reid Bogert, Jeffrey Lacap, Kaki Cheung, Mima Crume, Susy Kalkin, Eva Gaye, Audrey Shiramizu, Van Ocampo

Legislative Consultant:

Andrew Antwih – Shaw / Yoder / Antwih / Schmelzer and Lange, Inc.

Others Attending:

Marc Hershman – PCE, Drew – Public

1. Call to Order.

Chair Papan called the meeting to order at approximately 5:18 p.m.

2. COVID-19 announcement and brief overview of Zoom teleconference meeting procedures.

C/CAG staff read the COVID-19 announcement and teleconference meeting procedures.

3. Roll call.

C/CAG staff conducted roll call, and a quorum of seven was present at roll call and two additional committee members arrived for a total of nine as shown below.

Agency	Name	April 14, 2022
Atherton	Elizabeth Lewis	
Belmont	Davina Hurt	X
Brisbane	Karen Cunningham	X
Burlingame	Ricardo Ortiz	X
East Palo Alto	Lisa Gauthier	X
Millbrae	Gina Papan (Chair)	X
Millbrae	Anders Fung	X
Pacifica	Sue Vaterlaus	X
San Bruno	Michael Salazar	X
San Carlos	Adam Rak (Vice Chair)	X

4. By motion, find that, as a result of the continuing COVID-19 pandemic state of emergency declared by Governor Newsom, meeting in person would present imminent risks to the health or safety of attendees.

C/CAG staff presented Item 4, noting that it had been over 30 days since the C/CAG Board met and passed an AB 361 resolution, triggering the current Brown Act requirement for the Legislative Committee to make the proposed finding. Member Ortiz motioned approval, seconded by Member Salazar. A rollcall vote was taken. Motion passed (9,0,0)

5. Public comment on related items not on the agenda.

There were no written or public comments.

6. Review and approve the Legislative Committee meeting minutes from the meeting held on March 10, 2022.

The Committee approved the meeting minutes from the March 10, 2022 C/CAG Legislative Committee Meeting. Member Vaterlaus motioned approval, seconded by Member Gauthier. Roll call vote was taken. Motion passed (9,0,0).

7. Update on scheduling meetings and topics of discussion with the San Mateo County Delegation early in the 2022-23 Legislative Session.

Consultant Andrew Antwih shared an update on the legislative calendar, a description of the Governor's proposal for gas cost relief to Californians totaling \$11.3 billion, and meetings with legislators Becker and Mullin on two: Hwy 101/92 Area Improvement and Stormwater, budget requests.

The Committee discussed various responses to the governor's multi-billion-dollar cost relief proposal and how C/CAG should respond. After discussion, the Committee made three motions. The first motion by Member Rak was to support the Governor's gas tax rebate with a means-based test for who received the rebate, seconded by Member Vaterlaus. A roll call vote was taken and passed with Member Hurt abstaining and Members Fung and Ortiz voting against (6,2,1). Member Hurt made a second motion supporting the free transit passes for three months portion of the Governor's gas tax rebate proposal, which was seconded by Member Ortiz. Motion passed unanimously (9,0,0). A third motion was made by Member Cunningham and seconded by Member Rak, supporting the additional funding in the Governor's proposal for \$1 billion for active transportation. The motion passed unanimously (9,0,0).

Andrew Antwih suggested that any letters from the Legislative Committee through the C/CAG Board be sent by the end of the first week of May, since the second week of May is the usual time for the May-revised budget to come out.

8. Review legislative update and, if appropriate, recommend approval of C/CAG legislative policies, priorities, or positions. (A position may be taken on any legislation, including legislation not previously identified in the legislative update. Action is only necessary if recommending approval of a policy, priority, or position.)

The Committee discussed the following bills and established positions for report out to the C/CAG Board:

AB 1067 (Portantino) Parking Requirement: After discussion and concerns about local control and a concern for the use of ½ mile distance being feasible for all anyway, Committee Member Rak made a motion to oppose, seconded by Ortiz. A roll call vote was taken, passing unanimously (9,0,0).

AB 1817 (Ting) PFAS Ban in Textiles: There were no committee comments. A motion to support was made by Member Cunningham and seconded by Member Ortiz. A roll call vote was taken, and the motion passed unanimously (9,0,0).

AB 1944 (Lee) Brown Act Changes: The committee briefly discussed supporting and adding an urgency clause to the existing bill. A motion for the same was made by Member Vaterlaus and seconded by Member Salazar. A roll call vote was taken and the motion passed unanimously (9,0,0).

AB 2237 (Friedman) Sustainable Communities Strategies Implementation: C/CAG Legislative Consultant recommended monitoring this bill and the Committee agreed.

AB 2247 (Bloom) PFAS Reporting Platform: C/CAG's Legislative Consultant suggests a support position. The Committee discussed both how the public will be made aware and cost of program. The Committee decided to monitor this bill.

AB 2438 (Friedman) Transportation Funding Programs: The Consultant recommends for the Committee to monitor, and the Committee agreed.

AB 2622 (Mullin) Sales Tax Exemption for Electric Busses. There was no discussion on this bill. Member Hurt motioned to support the bill, seconded by Gauthier. A roll call vote was taken and passed unanimously (9,0,0).

The remainder of the bills on the report attached to the agenda for discussion were already discussed at past Committee meeting and were considered for further discussion. Chair Papan wished to discuss a few of those bills. SB 917 (Becker) was discussed and an updated was requested for a newest version and those that support or do not support the bill.

SB 922 (Wiener) CEQA Exemption for Transit was also discussed to better understand any recent changes to the bill. A motion was made by Member Cunningham to oppose the bill, which was seconded by Member Rak. A roll call vote was taken, and the motion passed unanimously (9,0,0).

9. Adjournment.

The meeting adjourned at approximately 6:28 P.M.

C/CAG AGENDA REPORT

Date: May 12, 2022

To: C/CAG Legislative Committee

From: Sean Charpentier, Executive Director

Subject: Update on scheduling meetings and topics of discussion with the San Mateo County Delegation in the 2021-22 Legislative Session.

(For further information, contact Kim Springer at kspringer@smcgov.org)

RECOMMENDATION

That the C/CAG Legislative Committee receive an update on scheduling meetings and topics of discussion with the San Mateo County Delegation early in the 2021-22 Legislative Session.

FISCAL IMPACT

N/A

SOURCE OF FUNDS

N/A

BACKGROUND

Staff and consultants, Matt Robinson and Andrew Antwih, of Shaw, Yoder, Antwih, Schmelzer, & Lange (SYASL), will provide an update on potential priority legislative issues for discussion with the SMC delegation in the current legislative session. Future meetings with the delegation will be focused on C/CAG positions on current bills in Committee and any legislation C/CAG may want to see sponsored in the next legislative cycle.

Staff recommends receiving an update and looks forward to input from Committee members.

ATTACHMENTS

None.

C/CAG AGENDA REPORT

Date: May 12, 2022

To: C/CAG Legislative Committee

From: Sean Charpentier, Executive Director

Subject: Review legislative update and, if appropriate, recommend approval of C/CAG legislative policies, priorities, or positions. (A position may be taken on any legislation, including legislation not previously identified in the legislative update. Action is only necessary if recommending approval of a policy, priority, or position.)

(For further information, contact Kim Springer at kspringer@smcgov.org)

RECOMMENDATION

That the C/CAG Legislative Committee review the legislative update and, if appropriate, recommend approval of C/CAG legislative policies, priorities, or positions. (A position may be taken on any legislation, including legislation not previously identified in the legislative update. Action is only necessary if recommending approval of a policy, priority, or position.)

FISCAL IMPACT

N/A

SOURCE OF FUNDS

N/A

BACKGROUND

The C/CAG Legislative Committee receives monthly written reports and oral briefings from C/CAG's State legislative advocates. Important or interesting issues and votes on positions taken by the Committee, that arise out of the committee meetings, are reported to the full C/CAG Board. The attached report may include updates from Sacramento with respect to the State Budget process, State grant programs, recent committee hearings, and bill progress of interest to C/CAG since the Committee last met on March 10, 2022. The Legislature is well into the second year of the 2021-22 legislative session, into which approximately 2100 new bills have been introduced. Spring Recess was from April 7th to April 18th this year and the first round of Policy Committee hearings is scheduled to conclude on May 6.

C/CAG continues to pursue budget funding requests with members of the State delegation for projects benefitting San Mateo County. C/CAG staff, joined by staff from the San Mateo County Transportation Authority, met with Senator Becker and Assembly Member Mullin in mid-March to present and respond to questions posed by the delegates. One request for \$10 million is for the

construction phase of the US 101/SR 92 Interchange Area Improvements project. The other is a dual-purpose request for \$10 million for a stormwater project at Red Morton Community Park in Redwood City and for completing design work with Caltrans on a stormwater project in San Bruno, near the Hwy 380-280 interchange.

At this Committee meeting, the Committee will continue reviewing bills of interest and recommended positions to be shared with the C/CAG Board. The bills for discussion and any current or recommended positions, are provided in Attachment 1 to this staff report.

For additional information with respect to what the Metropolitan Transportation Commission/Association of Bay Area Governments Joint Legislative Committee, California League of Cities, California State Association of Counties (CSAC), and California Association of Councils of Government (CALCOG) are tracking, staff has included informational links to the relevant bill tracking websites, as well as the full legislative information for the State Legislature and the 2021 calendar of legislative deadlines. Lastly, staff have also included links to the 2022 legislation websites for the San Mateo County delegates for information only.

ATTACHMENTS

1. C/CAG Legislative Update, May 3, 2022 from Shaw Yoder Antwih Schmelzer & Lange

Below are informational links:

2. [Recent Joint ABAG MTC Legislation Committee Agendas](#)
3. [California State Association of Counties \(CSAC\) 2021 bill positions and tracking](#)
4. [California Associations of Councils of Government \(CALCOG\) 2021 bill tracking](#)
5. Full Legislative information is available for specific bills at <http://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/>
6. [2022 California State Calendar of Legislative Deadlines](#)
7. San Mateo County Delegation Sponsored Legislation 2021
 - [2021 Legislation from Assemblymember Marc Berman](#)
 - [2021 Legislation from Assemblymember Kevin Mullin](#)
 - [2021 Legislation from Assemblymember Phil Ting](#)
 - [2021 Legislation from Senator Josh Becker](#)
 - [2021 Legislation Senator Scott Wiener](#)
8. Current client roster for Shaw Yoder Antwih Schmelzer & Lange - <https://syaslp.com/clients/>



May 3, 2022

TO: Board of Directors, City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County

FM: Matt Robinson & Andrew Antwih, Shaw Yoder Antwih Schmelzer & Lange

RE: **STATE LEGISLATIVE UPDATE – May 2022**

Legislative Update

The Legislature has mostly concluded its first round of policy committee hearings, with the policy committee deadline of May 6. The Legislature is wrapping up its initial round of budget hearings on the proposals in the Governor’s Proposed Fiscal Year 2022-23 State Budget. Most of the key proposals, including funding for transportation, will be subject to future discussions after the Governor releases his May Revise – the spring update to the budget - on, or around, May 13. We expect the state’s budget surplus may grow once tax revenues have been accounted for. As a reminder, the Governor pegged the discretionary surplus at approximately \$21 billion in January.

State Senate Unveils Transportation Funding Proposal

On April 28, the California State Senate [unveiled](#) a comprehensive budget proposal. The Senate’s plan comes approximately two-weeks ahead of the Governor’s May Revise and includes a reference to a transportation package and notes the package “*builds on the Governor’s \$5 billion General Fund proposal to create a \$20 billion, four-year package, with third and fourth years being subject to appropriation.*” The package consists of the following components:

- \$13.8 billion over four years for transit infrastructure, including:
 - Roughly \$11.8 billion for transit projects, split between Southern California and the balance of the state, with outyears allocated by formula
 - \$2 billion for grade separation projects
- \$2.9 billion over four years for climate investments, including:
 - \$2 billion for Active Transportation projects, which would include Highways to Boulevards and safety projects such as those outlined by the Administration
 - \$875 million over four years for local climate adaptation planning and projects
 - The package also proposes to set aside \$150 million per year in IJJA funds, as allowed under IJJA, for adaptation projects on the state highway system
- \$2.1 billion over four years for freight and workforce investments
 - \$1.2 billion for port infrastructure, as proposed by the Administration
 - \$110 million for a workforce training center, as proposed by the Administration

- \$790 million over four years in additional funding for the Trade Corridor Enhancement Program
- \$1.25 billion over four years for congestion mitigation and bridge repair and replacement
 - \$700 million over four years in additional funding for the Solutions for Congested Corridors Program
 - \$550 million over four years to augment federal and local funding for high-cost local bridge repair and replacement

Budget Requests

As you are aware, C/CAG is pursuing budget funding requests with members of our delegation for two projects benefitting San Mateo County. C/CAG staff, joined by staff from the San Mateo County Transportation Authority, met with staff from Senator Becker and Assembly Member Mullin’s Offices in mid-January to brief them on our asks. As a reminder, one is a request for \$10 million for the construction phase of the US 101/SR 92 Interchange Area Improvements project. The other is a dual-purpose request for \$10 million for a stormwater project at Red Morton Community Park in Redwood City and for completing design work with Caltrans on a stormwater project in San Bruno.

Bills For Discussion / Possible Action

AB 1778 (Garcia) Prohibition on Highway Projects

This bill would require Caltrans to consult the [California Healthy Places Index](#) as a condition of using state funds or staff to fund or permit freeway projects and would prohibit any state funds or staff time from being used to fund or permit freeway projects in areas that fall within the 0 to 50th percentile on the index.

AB 2011 (Wicks) Ministerial Housing Approvals in Commercial Zones

This bill would create a ministerial (by-right) pathway for affordable and mixed-income housing development in commercially zoned areas, if certain conditions are met. These housing developments would need to meet specified affordability and site criteria, as well as objective development standards and be within a zone where office, retail, or parking are a principally permitted use. The bill would require a developer using the process to require that certain wage and labor standards will be met, including that all construction workers shall be paid at least the general prevailing rate of wages.

Bills With Positions

SB 852 (Dodd) Climate Resilience Districts – *SUPPORT IF AMENDED*

Existing law authorizes certain local agencies to form a community revitalization authority (authority) within a community revitalization and investment area to carry out provisions of the Community Redevelopment Law in that area for purposes related to, among other things, infrastructure, affordable housing, and economic revitalization. [This bill](#) would authorize a city, county, city and county, special district, or a combination of any of those entities to form a climate resilience district for the purposes of raising and allocating funding for eligible projects and would define “eligible project” as projects that address sea level rise, extreme heat, extreme cold, the risk of wildfire, drought, and the risk of flooding. The bill would authorize the district to provide property tax increment revenues to the district, and/or other tax revenues, levying a benefit assessment, special tax, property-related fee, or other service charge or fee consistent with the requirements of the California Constitution.

SB 917 (Becker) Seamless Bay Area – *SUPPORT IN CONCEPT*

[This bill](#) would require the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) to develop and adopt a Connected Network Plan, adopt an integrated transit fare structure, develop a comprehensive, standardized regional transit mapping and wayfinding system, develop an implementation and maintenance strategy and funding plan, and establish open data standards to support a more integrated public transportation network in the nine-county San Francisco Bay Area. This bill would also require the region's transit agencies to comply with those established integrated fare structure, regional transit mapping and wayfinding system, implementation and maintenance strategy and funding plan, and open data standards.

SB 922 (Wiener) CEQA Exemptions for Transit – *OPPOSE*

[This bill](#) would permanently extend statutory exemptions from the requirement of the California Environmental Quality Act for clean transportation projects that make streets safer for walking and biking; speed up bus service on streets and improve its on-time performance; support faster bus service on state highways; expand carpooling; and improve wayfinding for people using transit, biking, or walking. This bill would similarly permanently extend CEQA exemptions for the construction of infrastructure of facilities to charge or refuel zero-emission transit vehicles; and the building of new bus and light rail stations or terminals.

SB 1067 (Portantino) Parking Requirements – *OPPOSE*

[This bill](#) would prohibit a city, county, or city and county from imposing minimum automobile parking requirements on a housing development located within one-half mile of public transit and either dedicates 25 percent of the total units to very low, low-, and moderate-income households, students, the elderly, or persons with disabilities, or the developer demonstrates that the development would not have a negative impact on the local agency's ability to meet specified housing needs and would not have a negative impact on existing residential or commercial parking within one-half mile of the project.

AB 1944 (Lee) Brown Act Changes – *SUPPORT IF AMENDED*

[This bill](#) would make changes to the Brown Act to clarify that if a member of a legislative body elects to teleconference from a location that is not public, the address does not need to be identified in the notice and agenda or be accessible to the public. This bill would also require all public meetings of a legislative body using teleconferencing to provide a video stream accessible to members of the public and an option for members of the public to address the body remotely during the public comment period through an audio-visual or call-in option. We suggest the author amend this bill to include an urgency clause so that it would take effect immediately.

AB 1817 (Ting) PFAS Ban in Textiles – *SUPPORT*

Beginning January 1, 2023, existing law prohibits any food packaging that contains regulated perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances or PFAS and requires a manufacturer to use the least toxic alternative when replacing regulated PFAS in food packaging. Existing law will also prohibit the sale and distribution of any new juvenile product that contains regulated PFAS chemicals. [This bill](#) would prohibit, beginning January 1, 2024, any person from selling or distributing any textile articles that contain regulated PFAS, and requires a manufacturer to use the least toxic alternative when replacing regulated PFAS in textile articles to comply with these provisions.

AB 2097 (Friedman) Parking Minimums – *OPPOSE*

[This bill](#) would prohibit a public agency from imposing a minimum automobile parking requirement, or enforcing a minimum automobile parking requirement, on residential, commercial, or other development if the development is located on a parcel that is within one-half mile of public transit. The bill does not preclude any requirement imposed on a new multifamily residential or nonresidential development to provide EV charging or accessible spaces.

AB 2622 (Mullin) Sales Tax Exemption for Transit Buses – *SUPPORT*

[This bill](#) would extend the sunset date from January 1, 2024 to January 1, 2026 on the state sales and use tax exemption for zero-emission buses (ZEBs) purchased by California public transit agencies. This bill is likely to be amended to shorten the sunset.

ACA 1 (Aguiar-Curry) Local Government Financing: Affordable Housing and Public Infrastructure: Voter Approval – *SUPPORT*

[This constitutional amendment](#) would lower the necessary voter threshold from a two-thirds supermajority to 55 percent to approve local general obligation bonds and special taxes for affordable housing and public infrastructure projects, including public transit. The C/CAG Board supported a nearly identical measure, also ACA 1 (Aguiar-Curry), in 2019.