

C/CAG

City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County

*Atherton • Belmont • Brisbane • Burlingame • Colma • Daly City • East Palo Alto • Foster City • Half Moon Bay • Hillsborough • Menlo Park
Millbrae • Pacifica • Portola Valley • Redwood City • San Bruno • San Carlos • San Mateo • San Mateo County • South San Francisco • Woodside*

MEETING AGENDA

C/CAG Legislative Committee

Chair: Gina Papan **Vice Chair:** Adam Rak

Members: Davina Hurt (C/CAG Chair), Ricardo Ortiz (C/CAG Vice-Chair)
Elizabeth Lewis, Karen Cunningham, Lisa Gauthier,
Anders Fung, Sue Vaterlaus, Michael Salazar

July 14, 2022 - 5:15 P.M.

On September 16, 2021, the Governor signed AB 361, which amended certain provisions of the Ralph M. Brown Act in order to allow for local legislative bodies to conduct their meetings remotely via telephonically or by other electronic means under specified circumstances. Thus, pursuant to Government Code section 54953(e), C/CAG Committee meetings will be conducted via remote conferencing. Members of the public may observe or participate in the meeting remotely via one of the options below.

Join by Zoom:

[https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89665521620?pwd= W3o8PjYbnLB3N74-cBd4k5rS66TF1.1](https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89665521620?pwd=W3o8PjYbnLB3N74-cBd4k5rS66TF1.1)

Meeting ID: 896 6552 1620

Passcode: 071422

Join by Phone:

(669)900-6833 US (San Jose)

Meeting ID: 896 6552 1620

Passcode: 071422

Persons who wish to address the C/CAG Legislative Committee on an item to be considered at this meeting, or on items not on this agenda, are asked to submit written comments to Kim Springer at kspringer@smcgov.org. Please see below for instructions. Spoken public comments will also be accepted during the meeting through Zoom. Please see instructions for written and spoken public comments at the end of this agenda.

1.	Call to Order	Papan	
2.	COVID-19 announcement and brief overview of teleconference meeting procedures	Springer	
3.	Roll call	Springer	
4.	By motion, find that, as a result of the continuing COVID-19 pandemic state of emergency declared by Governor Newsom, meeting in person would present imminent risks to the health or safety of attendees.	Charpentier	Pages 4-6
5.	Public comment on related items not on the agenda.	Papan	
6.	Review and approve the Legislative Committee meeting minutes from the meeting held on June 9, 2022.	Action Papan	Pages 7-9
7.	Review legislative update and, if appropriate, recommend approval of C/CAG legislative policies, priorities, or positions. (A position may be taken on any legislation, including legislation not previously identified in the legislative update. Action is only necessary if recommending approval of a policy, priority, or position.)	Possible Action Robinson/Antwih Papan	Pages 10-15
8.	Adjournment	Papan	

Next Meeting: September 8, 2022

PUBLIC NOTICING: All notices of C/CAG regular Board meetings, standing committee meetings, and special meetings will be posted at the San Mateo County Courtyard, 555 County Center, Redwood City, CA, and on C/CAG’s website at: <http://www.ccag.ca.gov>.

PUBLIC RECORDS: Public records that relate to any item on the open session agenda for a regular Board meeting, standing committee meeting, or special meeting are available for public inspection. Those public records that are distributed less than 72 hours prior to a regular meeting are available for public inspection at the same time they are distributed to all members, or a majority of the members, of the Board or committee. The Board has designated the City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County (C/CAG), located at 555 County Center, 5th Floor, Redwood City, CA 94063, for the purpose of making public records available for inspection. Such public records are also available on C/CAG’s website at: <http://www.ccag.ca.gov>. Please note that C/CAG’s office is temporarily closed to the public; please contact Mima Guilles at (650) 599-1406 to arrange for inspection of public records.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION DURING VIDEOCONFERENCE MEETINGS: Persons with disabilities who require auxiliary aids or services to participate in this meeting should contact Mima Guilles at (650) 599-1406, five working days prior to the meeting date.

Written Comments should be emailed in advance of the meeting. Please read the following instructions carefully:

1. Your written comment should be emailed to kspringer@smcgov.org with the Subject line: C/CAG Leg. Written Comments.”
2. Your email should include the specific agenda item on which you are commenting or note that your comment concerns an item that is not on the agenda.
3. Members of the public are limited to one comment per agenda item.
4. The length of the emailed comment should be commensurate with the two minutes customarily allowed for verbal comments, which is approximately 250-300 words.
5. If your emailed comment is received at least 2 hours prior to the meeting, it will be provided to the C/CAG Committee members and made publicly available on the C/CAG website along with the agenda. We cannot guarantee that emails received less than 2 hours before the meeting will be able to be posted or provided to Committee members prior to the meeting, but such emails will be included in the administrative record of the meeting.

Oral Comments will be accepted during the meeting through Zoom. Please read the following instructions carefully:

1. The Legislative Committee meeting may be accessed through Zoom at the online location indicated at the top of this agenda.
2. You may download the Zoom client or connect to the meeting using an internet browser. If using your browser, make sure you are using a current, up-to-date browser: Chrome 30+, Firefox 27+, Microsoft Edge 12+, Safari 7+. Certain functionality may be disabled in older browsers including Internet Explorer.
3. You will be asked to enter an email address and name. We request that you identify yourself by your name as this will be visible online and will be used to notify you that it is your turn to speak.
4. When C/CAG Staff or the Committee Chair/Vice-Chair call for the item on which you wish to speak, click on “raise hand.” C/CAG staff will activate and unmute speakers in turn. Speakers will be notified shortly before they are called on to speak.
5. When called, please limit your remarks to the time allotted.

If you have any questions about this agenda, please contact C/CAG staff:

Executive Director: Sean Charpentier: scharpentier@smcgov.org

Transportation Systems Coordinator: Kim Springer at kspringer@smcgov.org

Administrative Assistant: Mima Guilles (650) 599-1406

C/CAG AGENDA REPORT

Date: July 14, 2022

To: City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County Legislative Committee

From: Sean Charpentier, Executive Director

Subject: By motion, find that, as a result of the continuing COVID-19 pandemic state of emergency declared by Governor Newsom, meeting in person would present imminent risks to the health or safety of attendees.

(For further information contact Sean Charpentier at scharpentier@smcgov.org)

RECOMMENDATION

That the C/CAG Legislative Committee find, by motion, that, as a result of the continuing COVID-19 pandemic state of emergency declared by Governor Newsom, meeting in person would present imminent risks to the health or safety of attendees.

FISCAL IMPACT

There is no fiscal impact.

SOURCE OF FUNDS

Not applicable

BACKGROUND

On June 11, 2021, Governor Newsom issued Executive Order N-08-21, which rescinded his prior Executive Order N-29-20 and set a date of October 1, 2021 for public agencies to transition back to public meetings held in full compliance with the Brown Act. The original Executive Order provided that all provisions of the Brown Act that required the physical presence of members or other personnel as a condition of participation or as a quorum for a public meeting were waived for public health reasons. If these waivers fully sunset on October 1, 2021, legislative bodies subject to the Brown Act would have to contend with a sudden return to full compliance with in-person meeting requirements as they existed prior to March 2020, including the requirement for full physical public access to all teleconference locations from which board members were participating.

On September 16, 2021, the Governor signed AB 361, a bill that formalizes and modifies the teleconference procedures implemented by California public agencies in response to the Governor's Executive Orders addressing Brown Act compliance during the COVID-19 emergency. AB 361 allows a local agency legislative body to continue to use teleconferencing under the same basic rules as provided in the Executive Orders when certain circumstances occur or when certain findings have been

made and adopted by the legislative body.

AB 361 provides that Brown Act legislative bodies must return to in-person meetings on October 1, 2021, unless they choose to continue with fully teleconferenced meetings because a specific declaration of a state or local health emergency is appropriately made. AB 361 allows legislative bodies to continue to conduct virtual meetings as long as there is a gubernatorially-proclaimed public emergency in combination with (1) local health official recommendations for social distancing or (2) adopted findings that meeting in person would present an imminent risk to health or safety. AB 361 is effective immediately as urgency legislation and will sunset on January 1, 2024.

AB 361 also requires that, if the state of emergency remains active for more than 30 days, the legislative body must make findings by majority vote every 30 days to continue using the bill's exemption to the Brown Act teleconferencing rules. Specifically, the legislative body must find that the need for teleconferencing persists due to risks posed by the ongoing state of emergency. Effectively, this means that local agencies must either agendaize a Brown Act meeting once every thirty days to make these findings, or, **if a local agency has not made such findings within the prior 30 days, the local agency must re-adopt the initial findings if it wishes to conduct a remote meeting.**

Cities throughout San Mateo County and San Mateo County have made the findings required to continue remote meetings. On April 14, 2022, the C/CAG Board of Directors approved Resolution 22-18, which made the findings necessary for remote meetings for both the Board of Directors and its standing Committees, including the Legislative Committee.

Unfortunately, July 14, 2022 is 35 days after the C/CAG Board of Directors approved Resolution 22-18.

The July 14, 2022 C/CAG Board meeting includes a resolution similar to 22-18 that would make the findings necessary to continue with remote meetings for both the C/CAG Board and standing C/CAG Committees for another 30 days.

DISCUSSION

The County's high vaccination rate, successfully-implemented local health measures (such as indoor masking), and best practices by the public (such as voluntary social distancing) have proven effective, in combination, at controlling the local spread of COVID-19.

However, reducing the circumstances under which people come into close contact remains a vital component of the County's COVID-19 response strategy. While local agency public meetings are an essential government function, the last 22 months have demonstrated that conducting such meetings virtually is feasible.

Public meetings pose high risks for COVID-19 spread for several reasons. These meetings bring together people from throughout a geographic region, increasing the opportunity for COVID-19 transmission. Further, the open nature of public meetings makes it difficult to enforce compliance with vaccination, physical distancing, masking, cough and sneeze etiquette, or other safety measures. Moreover, some of the safety measures used by private businesses to control these risks may be less

effective for public agencies.

These factors combine to make in-person public meetings imminently risky to health and safety.

Because local rates of transmission of COVID-19 are in the “high” tier as measured by the Centers for Disease Control as of the date of this report, we recommend that the Board avail itself of the provisions of AB 361 allowing continuation of remote meetings by adopting findings to the effect that conducting in-person meetings would present an imminent risk to the health and safety of attendees.

Given that the Legislative Committee meeting is occurring 35 days after the approval of C/CAG Resolution 22-36, staff recommend that in order to continue to have remote meetings, the Legislative Committee find, by motion, that conducting in-person meetings at the present time would present an imminent risk to the health and safety of attendees.

ATTACHMENTS

None

C/CAG AGENDA REPORT

Date: July 14, 2022

To: City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County Legislative Committee

From: Sean Charpentier, Executive Director

Subject: Review and approve the Legislative Committee meeting minutes from the meeting held on June 9, 2022.

(For further information, contact Kim Springer at kspringer@smcgov.org)

RECOMMENDATION

That the Legislative Committee review and approve the Legislative Committee meeting minutes from the meeting held on June 9, 2022.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Draft C/CAG Legislative Committee Meeting Minutes, June 9, 2022

**CITY/COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS
LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE**

**Draft Meeting Minutes
June 9, 2022 Meeting**

Staff and Guests Attending:

C/CAG Staff:

Sean Charpentier, Kim Springer, Reid Bogert, Jeffrey Lacap, Kaki Cheung, Susy Kalkin, Eva Gaye, Audrey Shiramizu, Mima Crume

Legislative Consultant:

Matt Robinson & Andrew Antwih – Shaw / Yoder / Antwih / Schmelzer and Lange, Inc.

Others Attending:

Marc Hershman - PCE, Drew – Public

1. Call to Order.

Chair Papan called the meeting to order at approximately 5:22 p.m.

2. COVID-19 announcement and brief overview of Zoom teleconference meeting procedures.

C/CAG staff read the COVID-19 announcement and teleconference meeting procedures.

3. Roll call.

C/CAG staff conducted roll call, and a quorum of nine was present at roll call as shown below.

Agency	Name	June 9, 2022
Atherton	Elizabeth Lewis	X
Belmont	Davina Hurt	X
Brisbane	Karen Cunningham	X
Burlingame	Ricardo Ortiz	X
East Palo Alto	Lisa Gauthier	X
Millbrae	Gina Papan (Chair)	X
Millbrae	Anders Fung	X
Pacifica	Sue Vaterlaus	X
San Bruno	Michael Salazar	X
San Carlos	Adam Rak (Vice Chair)	

4. Public comment on related items not on the agenda.

There were no written or public comments.

5. Review and approve the Legislative Committee meeting minutes from the meeting held on May 12, 2022.

The Committee approved the meeting minutes from the May 12, 2022 C/CAG Legislative Committee Meeting. Member Lewis motioned approval, seconded by Member Salazar. Roll call vote was taken. Motion passed (7,0,0) by members present at that time.

6. Review legislative update and, if appropriate, recommend approval of C/CAG legislative policies, priorities, or positions. (A position may be taken on any legislation, including legislation not previously identified in the legislative update. Action is only necessary if recommending approval of a policy, priority, or position.)

Legislative consultants, Matt Robinson and Andrew Antwih, gave an update on various aspects of the governor's budget, the results of the primary election, and the Seamless legislation.

The results of the primary election the previous week were few as there were few surprises. There is to be a runoff election for State controller and for replacement of Congresswoman Jackie Speier's seat, between Kevin Mullin and (now) Supervisor David Canepa. TO please Assemblymember Mullin, Diane Papan has a strong position come November. Minimal change is expected in the makeup of the legislature. There may be a change in speakership of the State Assembly.

At this point, few specifics on the budget are known, but the big surprise was that there is an estimated projected \$100B budget surplus with about \$50B of available discretionary spending, with governor suggesting spending almost all of it in one-time programs. In terms of the actual budget, few changes in climate and housing, but new ideas coming forward on the rebate to registered vehicle owners. Few details on the transportation funding package are available. Andrew Antwih gave additional details on housing and homelessness proposals and relief to business in the state. It appears that the gas tax relief program has shifted to more of a relief for families program, also with few details. The goal is for the budget to be fully formed by June 15th.

Matt Robinson gave an update on the Seamless transportation bill. Jointly, Transit authority directors submitted a shared comment letter to Senator Becker's office. In general, transit operators are looking for language that allows them to give more input and have more influence and funding from partners such as MTC, to implement some of the changes.

Matt Robinson reviewed some of the bills for which C/CAG has previously taken positions.

7. Adjournment.

The meeting adjourned at approximately 6:22 P.M.

C/CAG AGENDA REPORT

Date: July 14, 2022

To: C/CAG Legislative Committee

From: Sean Charpentier, Executive Director

Subject: Review legislative update and, if appropriate, recommend approval of C/CAG legislative policies, priorities, or positions. (A position may be taken on any legislation, including legislation not previously identified in the legislative update. Action is only necessary if recommending approval of a policy, priority, or position.)

(For further information, contact Kim Springer at kspringer@smcgov.org)

RECOMMENDATION

That the C/CAG Legislative Committee review the legislative update and, if appropriate, recommend approval of C/CAG legislative policies, priorities, or positions. (A position may be taken on any legislation, including legislation not previously identified in the legislative update. Action is only necessary if recommending approval of a policy, priority, or position.)

FISCAL IMPACT

N/A

SOURCE OF FUNDS

N/A

BACKGROUND

The C/CAG Legislative Committee receives monthly written reports and oral briefings from C/CAG's State legislative advocates. Important or interesting issues and votes on positions taken by the Committee, that arise out of the committee meetings, are reported to the full C/CAG Board. The attached report may include updates from Sacramento with respect to the State Budget process, State grant programs, recent committee hearings, and bill progress of interest to C/CAG since the Committee last met. The Legislature is well into the second year of the 2021-22 legislative session, into which approximately 2100 new bills were introduced. Spring Recess was from April 7th to April 18th this year and the first round of Policy Committee hearings concluded on May 6. The next round of hearing is beginning.

The Legislative Committee may also discuss the need, based on current or past bills voted on by the Committee, current funding requests, or sponsorship of legislation, for meetings with delegation.

Though C/CAG pursued budget funding requests with members of the delegation for two projects

benefitting San Mateo County, requests for \$10 million for the construction phase of the US 101/SR 92 Interchange Area Improvements project, and a dual-purpose request for \$10 million for a stormwater project at Red Morton Community Park in Redwood City and completion of design work with Caltrans on a stormwater project in San Bruno near the Hwy 380-280 interchange, did not receive funding.

At this Committee meeting, the Committee will mainly receive updates on the State budget and any additional information from the recent primary election but may also continue reviewing bills of interest and recommended positions to be shared with the C/CAG Board. Bill positions discussed at past Committee meetings and any current or recommended positions, are provided in Attachment 1 to this staff report.

For additional information with respect to what the Metropolitan Transportation Commission/Association of Bay Area Governments Joint Legislative Committee, California League of Cities, California State Association of Counties (CSAC), and California Association of Councils of Government (CALCOG) are tracking, staff has included informational links to the relevant bill tracking websites, as well as the full legislative information for the State Legislature and the 2021 calendar of legislative deadlines. Lastly, staff have also included links to the 2022 legislation websites for the San Mateo County delegates for information only.

ATTACHMENTS

1. C/CAG Legislative Update, June 30, 2022 from Shaw Yoder Antwih Schmelzer & Lange

Below are informational links:

2. [Recent Joint ABAG MTC Legislation Committee Agendas](#)
3. [California State Association of Counties \(CSAC\) 2021 bill positions and tracking](#)
4. [California Associations of Councils of Government \(CALCOG\) 2021 bill tracking](#)
5. Full Legislative information is available for specific bills at <http://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/>
6. [2022 California State Calendar of Legislative Deadlines](#)
7. San Mateo County Delegation Sponsored Legislation 2021
 - [2022 Legislation from Assemblymember Marc Berman](#)
 - [2021 Legislation from Assemblymember Kevin Mullin](#)
 - [2022 Legislation from Assemblymember Phil Ting](#)
 - [2021 Legislation from Senator Josh Becker](#)
 - [2022 Legislation Senator Scott Wiener](#)
8. Current client roster for Shaw Yoder Antwih Schmelzer & Lange - <https://syaspartners.com/clients/>



June 30, 2022

TO: Board of Directors, City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County

FM: Matt Robinson & Andrew Antwih, Shaw Yoder Antwih Schmelzer & Lange

RE: **STATE LEGISLATIVE UPDATE – July 2022**

Legislative Update

July 1 is a significant date in this year’s Legislative Session. It marks the last day for policy committees to meet and report bills to fiscal committees or to the floor. The Legislature will break for Summer Recess on July 1 returning on August 1, and the new fiscal year starts July 1. August 12 is the last day for fiscal committees to meet and the 2021-22 Legislative Session will adjourn on August 31.

Update on State Budget

On June 29, the Legislature sent Governor Newsom a massive \$300 billion Fiscal Year 2022-23 budget, which includes a multiyear, multi-billion-dollar transportation funding package. The Governor signed the FY 2022-23 Budget Act on June 30. The funding package contains significant investments in transit and clean transportation projects, and is primarily focused on capital projects, including those that have already received local, state and federal funding. The investments included in the funding package that benefit public transit or clean transportation projects include:

- \$3.65 billion starting in FY 2021-22 for transit capital projects to be administered through the Transit and Intercity Rail Capital Program.
- \$4 billion in FYs 2023-24 and 2024-25 for transit capital projects statewide, allocated via population-based formula.
- \$1.049 billion starting in FY 2021-22 for the Active Transportation Program.
- \$198 million starting in FY 2021-22 for local climate adaptation projects.
- \$350 million starting in FY 2021-22 for grade separation projects.

As we have flagged throughout the budget process, the allocation of these dollars would only proceed if the Legislature and the Administration reached an agreement on funding for the California high-speed rail project. The transportation funding package includes the appropriation of \$4.2 billion for the project from Proposition 1A and also includes a number of oversight provisions, including the creation of an Inspector General for the project.

The budget also includes significant investments in housing providing approximately \$2 billion for a multiyear affordable housing package, including investments in the Multifamily Housing Program, the

Housing Accelerator Program, the Farmworker Housing Program, ADU financing, and the Veterans Housing and Homelessness Prevention Program. Of this amount, \$425 million is proposed over two years for the Infill infrastructure Grant Program, \$500 million for the state Low-Income Housing Tax Credit program and \$500 million to establish the California Dream For All program to make homeownership more achievable for first time homebuyers, a critical first step to thriving in the middle class and building generational wealth.

Additionally, the budget provides \$700 million for the Encampment Resolution Grants Program, as well as an additional \$2 billion General Fund for student housing projects across the UC, CSU, and community college systems.

Finally, budget work will continue later this summer. Several key pieces of the budget are still being negotiated, including funding for climate programs, drought preparedness and response, and wildfires.

Bills of Interest

SB 54 (Allen) Solid Waste Reporting and Packaging

[This bill](#) would establish the Plastic Pollution Prevention and Packaging Producer Responsibility Act (Act), which would impose minimum content requirements and source reduction requirements for single-use packaging and food ware and to be achieved through an expanded producer responsibility program. By January 1, 2024, the bill would require producers of covered material to form and join a producer responsibility organization, subject to certain requirements and CalRecycle approval, to carry out the requirements of the Act by and would a producer of covered material from selling, offering for sale, importing, or distributing covered materials in the state unless the producer is approved to participate in the organization. The bill defines “covered material” to mean Covered material” as single-use packaging that is routinely recycled, disposed of, or discarded after its contents have been used and plastic single-use food service ware, but excludes packaging used for medical products, devices, and prescription drugs, animal medicines and drugs, infant formula, medical food, fortified nutritional supplements, insecticides, rodenticides, fungicides, hazardous materials, hazardous or flammable products, and certain beverage containers. **The Governor signed this bill on June 30.**

SB 932 (Portantino) General Plan Circulation Elements

[This bill](#) would require a legislative body of a city or county, upon the next substantive revision of the circulation element of a general plan occurring on or after January 1, 2025 to develop and implement bicycle plans, pedestrian plans, and traffic calming plans; identify safety corridors and any land or facility that generates high concentrations of bicyclists or pedestrians; use evidence-based strategies to develop safety measures specific to those areas that are intended to eliminate traffic fatalities, with an emphasis on fatalities of bicyclists, pedestrians, and users of any other form of micromobility device, and; establish traffic calming measures around schools and parks, and within business activity districts. The bill requires a city or county to begin implementation of the plan within two years of the date of adoption of the modified circulation element that includes the bicycle, pedestrian and traffic calming plans. From January 1, 2025 through January 1, 2028, the bill would create a private right of action in certain counties (San Mateo County is not included) if the city fails to revise the circulation element and a user is injured as a result of a collision with a motor vehicle within the right-of-way of safety corridors and any land or facility that generates high concentrations of bicyclists or pedestrians.

Bills With Positions

SB 852 (Dodd) Climate Resilience Districts – *SUPPORT IF AMENDED*

Existing law authorizes certain local agencies to form a community revitalization authority (authority) within a community revitalization and investment area to carry out provisions of the Community Redevelopment Law in that area for purposes related to, among other things, infrastructure, affordable housing, and economic revitalization. [This bill](#) would authorize a city, county, city and county, special district, or a combination of any of those entities to form a climate resilience district for the purposes of raising and allocating funding for eligible projects and would define “eligible project” as projects that address sea level rise, extreme heat, extreme cold, the risk of wildfire, drought, and the risk of flooding. The bill would authorize the district to provide property tax increment revenues to the district, and/or other tax revenues, levying a benefit assessment, special tax, property-related fee, or other service charge or fee consistent with the requirements of the California Constitution.

SB 917 (Becker) Seamless Bay Area – *SUPPORT IN CONCEPT*

[This bill](#) would require the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) to develop and adopt a Connected Network Plan, adopt an integrated transit fare structure, develop a comprehensive, standardized regional transit mapping and wayfinding system, develop an implementation and maintenance strategy and funding plan, and establish open data standards to support a more integrated public transportation network in the nine-county San Francisco Bay Area. This bill would also require the region’s transit agencies to comply with those established integrated fare structure, regional transit mapping and wayfinding system, implementation and maintenance strategy and funding plan, and open data standards.

SB 922 (Wiener) CEQA Exemptions for Transit – *SUPPORT IF AMENDED*

[This bill](#) would extend, until January 1, 2030, statutory exemptions from the requirement of the California Environmental Quality Act for clean transportation projects that make streets safer for walking and biking; speed up bus service on streets and improve its on-time performance; support faster bus service on state highways; expand carpooling; and improve wayfinding for people using transit, biking, or walking. This bill would also extend exemptions for the construction of infrastructure of facilities to charge or refuel zero-emission transit vehicles; active transportation and roadway improvements; and the building of new bus and light rail stations or terminals until January 1, 2030. C/CAG is requesting an amendment to prohibit any loss of housing from a project constructed under this bill’s provisions.

SB 1067 (Portantino) Parking Requirements – *OPPOSE*

[This bill](#) would prohibit a city, county, or city and county from imposing minimum automobile parking requirements on a housing development located within one-half mile of public transit and either dedicates 25 percent of the total units to very low, low-, and moderate-income households, students, the elderly, or persons with disabilities, or the developer demonstrates that the development would not have a negative impact on the local agency’s ability to meet specified housing needs and would not have a negative impact on existing residential or commercial parking within one-half mile of the project.

AB 1817 (Ting) PFAS Ban in Textiles – *SUPPORT*

Beginning January 1, 2023, existing law prohibits any food packaging that contains regulated perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances or PFAS and requires a manufacturer to use the least toxic

alternative when replacing regulated PFAS in food packaging. Existing law will also prohibit the sale and distribution of any new juvenile product that contains regulated PFAS chemicals. [This bill](#) would prohibit, beginning January 1, 2024, any person from selling or distributing any textile articles that contain regulated PFAS, and requires a manufacturer to use the least toxic alternative when replacing regulated PFAS in textile articles to comply with these provisions.

AB 1944 (Lee) Brown Act Changes – SUPPORT IF AMENDED

[This bill](#) would make changes to the Brown Act to clarify that if a member of a legislative body elects to teleconference from a location that is not public, the address does not need to be identified in the notice and agenda or be accessible to the public. This bill would also require all public meetings of a legislative body using teleconferencing to provide a video stream accessible to members of the public and an option for members of the public to address the body remotely during the public comment period through an audio-visual or call-in option. We suggest the author amend this bill to include an urgency clause so that it would take effect immediately. **This bill is not moving forward.**

AB 2011 (Wicks) Ministerial Housing Approvals in Commercial Zones – OPPOSE

This bill would create a ministerial (by-right) pathway for affordable and mixed-income housing development in commercially zoned areas, if certain conditions are met. These housing developments would need to meet specified affordability and site criteria, as well as objective development standards and be within a zone where office, retail, or parking are a principally permitted use. The bill would require a developer using the process to require that certain wage and labor standards will be met, including that all construction workers shall be paid at least the general prevailing rate of wages.

AB 2097 (Friedman) Parking Minimums – OPPOSE

[This bill](#) would prohibit a public agency from imposing a minimum automobile parking requirement, or enforcing a minimum automobile parking requirement, on residential, commercial, or other development if the development is located on a parcel that is within one-half mile of public transit. The bill does not preclude any requirement imposed on a new multifamily residential or nonresidential development to provide EV charging or accessible spaces.

AB 2622 (Mullin) Sales Tax Exemption for Transit Buses – SUPPORT

[This bill](#) would extend the sunset date from January 1, 2024 to January 1, 2026 on the state sales and use tax exemption for zero-emission buses (ZEBs) purchased by California public transit agencies. This bill is likely to be amended to shorten the sunset.

ACA 1 (Aguiar-Curry) Local Government Financing: Affordable Housing and Public Infrastructure: Voter Approval – SUPPORT

[This constitutional amendment](#) would lower the necessary voter threshold from a two-thirds supermajority to 55 percent to approve local general obligation bonds and special taxes for affordable housing and public infrastructure projects, including public transit. The C/CAG Board supported a nearly identical measure, also ACA 1 (Aguiar-Curry), in 2019.