

# C/CAG

## City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County

*Atherton • Belmont • Brisbane • Burlingame • Colma • Daly City • East Palo Alto • Foster City • Half Moon Bay • Hillsborough • Menlo Park  
Millbrae • Pacifica • Portola Valley • Redwood City • San Bruno • San Carlos • San Mateo • San Mateo County • South San Francisco • Woodside*

## MEETING AGENDA

### C/CAG Legislative Committee

**\*\*\*HYBRID MEETING - IN-PERSON AND BY VIDEOCONFERENCE\*\*\***

<b>Date:</b> Thursday, March 12, 2026	<b>Join by Webinar:</b> <a href="https://us02web.zoom.us/j/88336143259?pwd=whm9I42SfInFshTwdT2GeAxtbtQDdu.1">https://us02web.zoom.us/j/88336143259?pwd=whm9I42SfInFshTwdT2GeAxtbtQDdu.1</a>
<b>Time:</b> 5:30 p.m.	
<b>Primary Location:</b> 1250 San Carlos Ave. 2 <sup>nd</sup> Floor, Auditorium San Carlos, CA 94070	<b>Webinar ID:</b> 883 3614 3259
	<b>Password:</b> 031226
	<b>Join by Phone:</b> (669) 900-6833

**Chair:** Stacey Jimenez **Vice Chair:** Adam Rak (C/CAG Chair)

**Members:** Eddie Flores, Anders Fung, Gina Papan, Lisa Gauthier, Elizabeth Lewis, Andrea Pappajohn, Michael Salazar (C/CAG Vice Chair), Greg Wright

This meeting of the Legislative Committee will be held in person and by teleconference. Members of the public will be able to participate in the meeting remotely via the Zoom platform or in person at the location above. The Committee welcomes comments, including criticism, about the policies, procedures, programs, or services of the agency, or of the acts or omissions of the Board and committees. Speakers shall not disrupt, disturb, or otherwise impede the orderly conduct of a Board meeting. For information regarding how to participate in the meeting, either in person or remotely, please refer to the instructions at the end of the agenda.

## Meeting Agenda

No.	Item	Speaker	Pages
1.	Call to Order	Jimenez	
2.	Roll call	Petrofsky	
3.	Public comment on items not on the agenda.	Jimenez	
4.	Review and approve the Legislative Committee meeting minutes from the meeting held on December 11, 2025.	Action Petrofsky	4 - 7
5.	Review legislative update and, if appropriate, recommend approval of C/CAG legislative policies, priorities, or positions. (A position may be taken on any legislation, including legislation not previously identified in the legislative update. Action is only necessary if recommending approval of a policy, priority, or position.)	Possible Action Robinson/Antwih/Shaw Jimenez	8 - 17
6.	Adjournment	Jimenez	

**Next Meeting: April 9, 2026**

---

If you have any questions about this agenda, please contact C/CAG staff:

**Executive Director:** Sean Charpentier at [scharpentier@smcgov.org](mailto:scharpentier@smcgov.org)

**Senior Program Specialist:** Matt Petrofsky at [mpetrofsky@smcgov.org](mailto:mpetrofsky@smcgov.org)

**Clerk of the C/CAG Board:** Mima Crume (650) 599-1406

**PUBLIC NOTICING:** All notices of C/CAG regular Board meetings, standing committee meetings, and special meetings will be posted at the San Mateo County Courtyard, 555 County Center, Redwood City, CA, and on C/CAG's website at: <http://www.ccag.ca.gov>.

**PUBLIC RECORDS:** Public records that relate to any item on the open session agenda for a regular Board meeting, standing committee meeting, or special meeting are available for public inspection. Those public records that are distributed less than 72 hours prior to a regular Board meeting are available for public inspection at the same time they are distributed to all members, or a majority of the members, of the Board. The Board has designated the City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County (C/CAG), located at 555 County Center, 5th Floor, Redwood City, CA 94063, for the purpose of making public records available for inspection. Such public records are also available on C/CAG's website at: <http://www.ccag.ca.gov>. Please note that C/CAG's office is temporarily closed to the public; please contact Mima Crume at (650) 599-1406 to arrange for inspection of public records. ADA REQUESTS: Persons with disabilities who require auxiliary aids or services to participate in this meeting should contact Mima Crume at (650) 599-1406 or [mcrume@smcgov.org](mailto:mcrume@smcgov.org) by 10:00 a.m. prior to the meeting date.

**PUBLIC PARTICIPATION DURING HYBRID MEETINGS:** During hybrid meetings of the C/CAG Board, members of the public may address the Board as follows:

**WRITTEN COMMENTS:** Written comments should be emailed in advance of the meeting. Please read the following instructions carefully:

1. Your written comment should be emailed to [mpetrosky@smcgov.org](mailto:mpetrosky@smcgov.org).
2. Your email should include the specific agenda item on which you are commenting or note that your comment concerns an item that is not on the agenda.
3. Members of the public are limited to one comment per agenda item.
4. If your emailed comment is received at least 2 hours prior to the meeting, it will be provided to the Committee members, made publicly available on the C/CAG website along with the agenda. Emails received less than 2 hours before the meeting will be provided to the Committee members and included in the administrative record of the meeting as soon as practicable.

**SPOKEN COMMENTS:** Members of the public who wish to speak on matters not on the agenda will be given an opportunity to address the Committee during the agenda item titled "Public Comment on Items Not on the Agenda." Members of the public who wish to speak on a matter on the agenda will have two minutes each to address the Committee on that agenda item unless a different time limit is established by the Chair. No speaker who has already spoken on an item will be entitled to speak to that item again. At the call of the Chair, public comments will be taken in-person and remote. Public comments will be taken first by speakers in person followed by via Zoom. If you have documents you wish to distribute to the Committee and include in the official record, please hand it to the C/CAG Staff who will distribute the information to the Committee members and staff. \*Those participating remotely will:

1. Access the meeting through Zoom at the online location or via phone as indicated at the top of this agenda.
2. Download the Zoom client or connect to the meeting using an internet browser. If using your browser, make sure you are using a current, up-to-date browser: Chrome 30+, Firefox 27+, Microsoft Edge 12+, Safari 7+. Certain functionality may be disabled in older browsers including Internet Explorer.
3. Enter an email address and name. We request that you identify yourself by your name as this will be visible online and will be used to notify you that it is your turn to speak.
4. Click on "raise hand" when the C/CAG Clerk or Chair calls for the item on which you wish to speak. The Clerk will activate and unmute speakers in turn. Speakers will be notified shortly before they are called on to speak. If calling in via phone, press \*9 to raise your hand and when called upon press \*6 to unmute.
5. When called, please limit your remarks to the time allotted.

## C/CAG AGENDA REPORT

Date: March 12, 2026

To: City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County Legislative Committee

From: Matt Petrofsky, Committee Staff

Subject: Review and approve the Legislative Committee meeting minutes from the meeting held on December 11, 2025.

(For further information contact Matt Petrofsky at [mpetrofsky@smcgov.org](mailto:mpetrofsky@smcgov.org))

---

### RECOMMENDATION

That the Legislative Committee review and approve the Legislative Committee meeting minutes from the meeting held on December 11, 2025.

### ATTACHMENTS

1. Draft C/CAG Legislative Committee Meeting Minutes, December 11, 2025

**CITY/COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS  
LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE**

**Draft Meeting Minutes  
December 11, 2025 Meeting**

**Staff and Guests Attending:**

C/CAG Staff:

Sean Charpentier, Mima Crume, Kaki Cheung, Dan Sternkopf, Susy Kalkin, Jeff Lacap, Matt Petrofsky

Legislative Consultants:

Matt Robinson  
Lizzie Cootsona

Others Attending:

N/A

**1. Call to Order.**

Chair Papan called the meeting to order at approximately 5:46 p.m.

**2. Roll call.**

C/CAG staff conducted roll call, five members were present, short of a quorum.

<b>Agency</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>In Person</b>	<b>Remote AB 2449</b>
Atherton	Elizabeth Lewis		
Burlingame	Andrea Pappajohn		
Foster City	Stacy Jimenez	Arrived at 5:49 p.m.	
Millbrae	Anders Fung	X	
MTC	Gina Papan (Chair)	X	
Pacifica	Greg Wright	X	
San Bruno	Michael Salazar	X	
San Carlos	Adam Rak		
San Mateo County	Lisa Gauthier		
South SF	Eddie Flores	X	

**3. Public comment on items not on the agenda.**

No public comment on items not on the agenda.

**4. Review and approve the Legislative Committee meeting minutes from the meeting held on November 13, 2025.**

The Committee voted on approval of the minutes of the November 13, 2025 meeting, with a motion from Member Salazar, seconded by Member Fung. A vote was taken, and the motion passed (6,0,0).

**5. Review and approval of C/CAG Legislative Committee meeting calendar for 2026.**

The Committee voted on approval of the C/CAG Legislative Committee meeting calendar for 2026, with a motion from Member Salazar, seconded by Member Jimenez. A vote was taken, and the motion passed (6,0,0).

**6. Review and recommend that the C/CAG Board of Directors approve Resolution 25-78 adding a Non-Voting Seat for a MTC Commissioner from San Mateo County to the Legislative Committee Roster.**

C/CAG Executive Director Charpentier provided an overview of the rationale for this change, noting that the addition would be a helpful way to ensure communication, facilitation, and coordination continues to occur with the MTC, and that the seat would be non-voting, would not count towards quorum, would not serve as the chair or vice-chair, and could only be filled by someone from San Mateo County upon appointment by the Board of Directors. Finally, he noted that MTC Commissioner Gina Papan submitted a letter of interest for the position.

The Committee voted on approval of the item, with a motion from Member Salazar, seconded by Member Wright. A vote was taken, and the motion passed (6,0,0).

**7. Nominate and elect a Chairperson and Vice Chairperson for the C/CAG Legislative Committee for 2026.**

Committee Chair Papan motioned to nominate Committee Vice Chair Jimenez as the Committee Chair, and C/CAG Board Chair Rak as the Committee Vice Chair.

The Committee voted on approval of the item, with the motion from Member Papan, seconded by Member Salazar. A vote was taken, and the motion passed (6,0,0).

**8. Review legislative update and, if appropriate, recommend approval of C/CAG legislative policies, priorities, or positions. (A position may be taken on any legislation, including legislation not previously identified in the legislative update. Action is only necessary if recommending approval of a policy, priority, or position.)**

C/CAG Legislative Consultant, Matt Robinson from Shaw Yoder Antwih Schmeltzer & Lange (SYASL) provided an update to the Committee, based on their monthly report provided in the agenda packet.

Robinson provided an update of the upcoming state legislative timeline, noting the January 31<sup>st</sup> deadline for existing bills to move to their second house, the February 20<sup>th</sup> deadline for the introduction of new bills, and the potential timing of upcoming changes to leadership in the state committees. Robinson also mentioned the cap-and-trade program and how the recent November auction generated fewer funds than had been projected, but that the reliance of state programs on that funding would not begin in earnest until next fiscal year. About \$4.2 billion is needed starting in FY26-27. The next auction is in July. Finally, Robinson noted they were beginning to

track some ballot measures, and that more information about those would be forthcoming.

C/CAG Executive Director Charpentier then provided an update that expanded on the legislative look ahead for 2026 presented at the November meeting. The updated presentation was included in the agenda packet. This included information about the upcoming legislative cycle and various legislative matters, potential budget earmarks, and a potential visit by Committee members and C/CAG staff to Sacramento.

**9. Review and approve draft C/CAG Legislative Policies for 2026.**

Chair Papan commended the work of Member Salazar and Member Rak for the work that went into the production of the policies. The Committee voted on approval of the C/CAG Legislative Policies for 2026, with a motion from Member Fung, seconded by Member Salazar. A vote was taken, and the motion passed (6,0,0).

**10. Adjournment.**

The meeting adjourned at approximately 6:25 P.M.

**C/CAG AGENDA REPORT**

Date: March 12, 2026

To: City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County Legislative Committee

From: Sean Charpentier, Executive Director

Subject: Review legislative update and, if appropriate, recommend approval of C/CAG legislative policies, priorities, or positions. (A position may be taken on any legislation, including legislation not previously identified in the legislative update. Action is only necessary if recommending approval of a policy, priority, or position.)

(For further information, contact Matt Petrofsky at [mpetrofsky@smcgov.org](mailto:mpetrofsky@smcgov.org))

---

**RECOMMENDATION**

C/CAG staff recommend that the C/CAG Legislative Committee review the legislative update and, if appropriate, recommend approval of C/CAG legislative policies, priorities, or positions. (A position may be taken on any legislation, including legislation not previously identified in the legislative update. Action is only necessary if recommending approval of a policy, priority, or position.)

**FISCAL IMPACT**

N/A

**SOURCE OF FUNDS**

N/A

**BACKGROUND**

The C/CAG Legislative Committee receives monthly written reports and oral briefings from C/CAG's State legislative advocate, Shaw Yoder Antwih Schmelzer & Lange (Consultant). Important legislative topics and any votes on positions taken or other actions recommended by the Legislative Committee to the C/CAG Board are reported to the full C/CAG Board through this item.

At Legislative Committee meetings, held prior to the Board meetings, the Committee will mainly receive updates from the Consultant on State budget and any additional information from Sacramento on bills discussed in the attached C/CAG Legislative Update. In addition, the consultant may discuss transportation or other program funding and changes in legislative leadership and committee assignments.

The attached report (Attachment 1) from the Consultant may include various updates from Sacramento with respect to the legislative timelines, State Budget, changes in leadership and committee rosters and chairs, State grant programs, recent committee hearings, and bill progress of

interest to C/CAG since the Committee and Board last met.

Attachment 1 has an updated report from Shaw Yoder Antwih Schmelzer & Lange.

### SB 677 (Wiener) Letter

At the December 11<sup>th</sup> C/CAG BOD meeting, staff mentioned that early in 2026 there might be amendments proposed to the approved SB 79 (Wiener), which authorizes high residential densities within ½ mile of a qualifying transit stations.

On January 5<sup>th</sup>, Senator Wiener introduced SB 677, which would amend SB 79 by adding ferry stations and clarifying a few more items. The League submitted an oppose unless amended position.

On January 6<sup>th</sup> C/CAG submitted a comment letter to Senator Wiener outlining a few concerns, including supporting the League's request for more time, the potential negative impact on planning for Bus Rapid Transit projects, additional analysis on the inclusion of the ferry locations, and the potential impacts on small businesses and the cities' ability to require ground floor retail. At the February 11<sup>th</sup> meeting, C/CAG Board ratified the letter, which is included as attachment 4.

Staff will update the Legislative Committee and ask for additional key messages before resending the letter.

### Submitted Federal and State Applications

Staff have submitted the following applications:

1. \$6.7m Safe-T Countywide Federal Build application for bicycle and safety improvements at 78 intersections and 16 segments throughout the County.
2. Federal and State budget requests for:
  - a) \$1.88m - HUD Highway Infrastructure Program earmark for green infrastructure in East Palo Alto, Daly City and South San Francisco.
  - b) \$1.5m - One Watershed Strategic Plan planning effort.
  - c) \$1.8m – a subset of the BUILD application.
3. \$5m Federal EPA for Targeted Approach to Reducing PCBs in San Mateo County in Brisbane, Burlingame, East Palo Alto, Menlo Park, Redwood City, San Bruno, San Carlos, San Mateo, South San Francisco, and San Mateo County.

For the relevant letters of support and project descriptions, see Attachment 2.

### E Bikes Update

E-Bikes are a prominent topic in California and Sacramento. There are at least 9 draft bills. See Attachment 3 for a Matrix of the bills and C/CAG adopted and staff recommended positions.

### **EQUITY IMPACTS AND CONSIDERATIONS**

The C/CAG Legislative Committee considers equity in its positions on legislation and has included equity language in the annual Legislative Priorities document for C/CAG Board review and approval.

Decisions made in relation to transportation, housing, stormwater, and climate resilience have the potential to impact our most vulnerable communities in San Mateo County.

#### ATTACHMENTS

1. C/CAG Legislative Update, March 2, 2026 from Shaw Yoder Antwih Schmelzer & Lange
2. For the relevant letters of support and project descriptions, see attachments for Agenda item #7 for the 3/12/26 C/CAG Board Meeting at: <https://ccag.ca.gov/committees/board-of-directors-2/>)
3. Matrix of E-Bike Bills
4. SB 677 Comment letter

Below are informational links:

- [Recent Joint ABAG MTC Legislation Committee Agendas](#)
- [California State Association of Counties \(CSAC\) bill positions and tracking](#)
- [California Associations of Councils of Government \(CALCOG\) bill tracking](#)
- Full Legislative search is available for specific bills at <http://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/>
- [2025 California State Calendar of Legislative Deadlines](#)
- San Mateo County Delegation
  - [Legislation from Assemblymember Marc Berman](#)
  - [Legislation from Assemblymember Diane Papan](#)
  - [Legislation from Assemblymember Catherine Stefani](#)
  - [Legislation from Senator Josh Becker](#)
  - [Legislation Senator Scott Wiener](#)
- Bill Tracker for C/CAG by SYASL: [C/CAG Bill Tracking](#)
- Current client roster for Shaw Yoder Antwih Schmelzer & Lange - <https://syaslparters.com/clients/>



March 2, 2026

To: Board of Directors  
City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County

From: Matt Robinson, Andrew Antwih and Lizzie Guansona  
Shaw Yoder Antwih Schmelzer & Lange

Re: **STATE LEGISLATIVE UPDATE – March 2026**

---

***Legislative Update***

In Sacramento, the second year of the 2025-26 Legislative Session is in full swing. Following the release of the Governor’s proposed FY 2026-27 budget on January 9, the Department of Finance subsequently published a number of budget trailer bills (policy bills to implement the Governor’s budget). Over the coming months, the Assembly and Senate’s respective budget committees and subcommittees will meet frequently regarding the Governor’s proposed budget. In mid-May, the Governor will release his “May Revise,” an update to his January proposed budget. This will kick off final negotiations between the Governor, Senate, and Assembly to finalize the budget agreement. The budget must be passed by June 15, in advance of the new fiscal year, which begins on July 1.

Nearly 1800 new bills were introduced by the February 20 deadline. Notably, this is approximately 550 bills fewer than last year. Many of these bills are “spot” bills and will need to be amended before they can be heard in the Legislature’s policy committees. The Assembly requires spot bills to be amended by March 16 and the Senate by March 25. Also, bills must be in print for 30 days before they may be heard in a policy committee.

The Legislature will break for Spring Recess from March 26 and return on April 6. When they reconvene, they will have until April 24 to finish policy committee hearings for bills in the first house.

For information about key legislative and budget deadlines for next year, please see the 2026 Legislative Calendar [here](#).

***LAO Releases Updated Revenue Outlook***

As a reminder, the Legislative Analyst’s Office released its initial budget projections last Fall, estimating an \$18 billion budget deficit for the 2026-27 fiscal year, significantly higher than the Governor and Department of Finance’s projected \$2.9 billion shortfall. On February 20, the Legislative Analyst’s Office released an [updated revenue outlook](#), which suggests General Fund tax revenues could be higher than their earlier projections by several billion dollars. The LAO attributes this upgraded outlook entirely to higher expectations for income tax collections, which are being driven by enthusiasm around AI and the

related stock market boom. Given this, the LAO cautions that these surging revenues likely are not sustainable.

### ***Tax Threshold Measure Likely to Qualify for November Ballot***

Last summer, the Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association (Jarvis) submitted a series of potential ballot measures to the Secretary of State aimed at prohibiting local governments from imposing special taxes, including those taxes passed by way of a voter initiative, from being approved unless these taxes secure a two-thirds vote of the electorate. [One](#) of these measures secured the necessary signatures and these have been submitted to the Secretary of State for verification. In addition to addressing the issue above related to the approval threshold, the measure would also nullify any prior taxes passed at a lower threshold two years from the approval of the Jarvis measure.

### ***Governor's Office, DOF, and MTC Announce Agreement on Bay Area Transit Loan***

On January 30, the Office of Governor Newsom, the California Department of Finance (DOF) and the MTC announced that they reached an agreement on a \$590 million state loan to AC Transit, BART, Caltrain, and Muni.

The agreement authorizes the loan to be funded no later than July 1, 2026, using money awarded but not yet allocated for Bay Area projects by the California Transportation Commission through the Transit and Intercity Rail capital Program (TIRCP).

Consistent with Senate Bill 105 enacted last fall, the loan agreement includes a clearly defined repayment structure, a guaranteed revenue source to secure the loan and an agreed-upon interest rate:

- 12-year repayment term, with interest-only payments during the first two years.
- Repayment secured by the "revenue-based" portion of State Transit Assistance that goes directly to the transit agencies.
- Variable interest rate tied to the state's Surplus Money Investment Fund, ensuring the state is fully repaid at the same rate it would have earned had the funds remained in state accounts.

The [language reflecting the agreement](#) was released by DOF on February 2 and was amended into [AB 117](#) and [SB 117](#), respectively, on February 13. The [language reflecting the agreement](#) was released by DOF on February 2 and was amended into [AB 117](#) and [SB 117](#), respectively, on February 13, and signed into law on February 19.

### ***AHSCP Update***

As you are aware, the Governor's budget proposes programmatic changes to the Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities Program (AHSCP) to align it with the Governor's recent state agency reorganization, which created the California Housing and Homelessness Agency (effective July 1, 2026). The affordable housing component of the AHSCP will be nested within CHHA within the Housing Development and Homelessness Committee (HDFC) and the sustainable communities and agricultural land conservation components of AHSCP will continue to be administered by the Strategic Growth Council. The Governor's proposed trailer bill language can be found [here](#).

### ***Bills with Positions***

#### **SB 601 (Allen) Waste Discharge – OPPOSE (2-YR BILL)**

This bill expands the NPDES/WDR permits under the Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act to cover previously unregulated waterways as defined by the Clean Water Act, now including “nexus waters” to essentially mean any waters of the state not designated as navigable under federal law, except for wetlands, which are protected under the State Wetland Policy. The bill would thereby significantly increase the types and number of regulated waterways and the costs to municipalities of implementing new water quality objectives for the expanded nexus waters. Importantly, it would also expand citizen suit provisions under the Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act applicable to all newly regulated nexus waters, creating significant risk of liability and associated costs to municipalities and public utility rate payers. ***This bill is a two-year bill in the second house and can move in June.*** To see the votes on this bill, please click [here](#).

#### **SB 908 (Wiener) Senate Bill 79 Clean up – COMMENTS/CONCERNS**

As a brief reminder, SB 79 requires that a residential development proposed within one-half or one-quarter mile of a transit-oriented development stop be subject to specified development standards. Senator Wiener introduced SB 908 to act as a clean-up bill for SB 79. As a reminder, C/CAG submitted a letter on January 6, 2026 requesting the following items to be addressed in clean-up legislation: (1) extend the implementation deadline to be in alignment with initiation of the next RHNA cycle; (2) only include completed bus rapid transit stations projects; (3) reconsider impacts of the current definition of ferry service, and; (4) clarify that SB 79 does not preclude cities from requiring ground floor retail in its high-density mixed-used developments. ***This bill is pending referral in Senate Rules.***

#### **AB 1557 (Papan) Electric Bicycles – SUPPORT**

Current law defines an electric bicycle as a bicycle equipped with fully operable pedals and an electric motor that does not exceed 750 watts of power. AB 1557 clarifies the existing e-bike power restriction by specifying that the 750W limit on motors applies to maximum peak output. This closes the loophole that manufacturers have been using to build and distribute overly-powerful e-bikes. Electric bicycles that have a maximum capability of producing more than 750W will cease to be legally classified as e-bikes. ***This bill has been referred to the Assembly Transportation Committee.***

### ***Bills with Recommended Positions***

#### **AB 2346 (Wilson) Safer E-Bikes, Safer Communities Act – RECOMMEND SUPPORT**

This bill puts forward various changes recommended by the Mineta Transportation Institute. Firstly, it requires all e-bikes being sold in California to be equipped with speedometers and integrated lights, beginning January 1, 2029. Secondly, it requires that manufacturers and retailers selling e-bikes in California provide consumers with a summary of e-bike laws. Finally, it allows local jurisdictions to impose various speed limits on bicycle, multi-use, or paths with appropriate signage; sets a statewide speed limit of 5 mph when riding on a sidewalks; and sets a clear statewide speed limit of 15 mph for any minor under 15 years old, regardless of the device or where they are riding. ***This bill is pending referral from Assembly Rules.***

**AB 2595 (Papan) San Mateo Electric Bicycle Safety Pilot Program – RECOMMEND SUPPORT**

This bill establishes the San Mateo Electric Bicycle Safety Pilot Program and would, until January 1, 2031, authorize a local authority within the County of San Mateo, or the County of San Mateo in unincorporated areas, to adopt an ordinance or resolution that would prohibit a person under 12 years of age from operating a class 1 or 2 electric bicycle. For the first 60 days following the adoption of an ordinance or resolution for this purpose, the bill would make a violation of the ordinance or resolution punishable by a warning notice. After 60 days, the bill would make a violation of the ordinance or resolution punishable by a fine of \$25. ***This bill is pending referral from Assembly Rules.***

**AB 2002 (Solache) Regional Early Action Planning – RECOMMEND SUPPORT**

This bill codifies the Regional Early Action Planning grant program (REAP 1.0) to support future RHNA implementation and local planning. If funded by the legislature through a one-time allocation, the bill proposes distributing funding on a population basis to support regional governments' Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) responsibilities. ***This bill is pending referral from Assembly Rules.***

***Other Bills of Interest***

**SB 1159 (Cabaldon): Artificial intelligence: transparency and governance – WATCH**

This bill would specify that, for purposes of the California Public Records Act, the Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act, the Ralph M. Brown Act, the Political Reform Act of 1974, the Administrative Procedure Act, and CEQA, "person," "interested person," "participant," "member of the public," as applicable, and any other similar terms under each act referring to those who may engage with governmental agencies, do not include artificial intelligence, as defined, systems, autonomous agents, robots, or other nonhuman entities, whether physical or digital. ***This bill is pending referral from Senate Rules.***

**AB 1569 (Davies) Electric Bicycle Pupil Safety Program – WATCH**

This bill would require each school that allows pupils in kindergarten or any of grades 1 to 12 to park a class 1, 2, or 3 electric bicycle on campus during regular school hours to require pupils to complete the electric bicycle safety and training program developed by the Department of the California Highway Patrol or a related safety course as a condition for parking on campus. The bill would also require a pupil to submit proof of completion of the above-described course to their school before parking their class 1, 2, or 3 electric bicycles on the school campus during school hours. The bill would exempt schools that adopted a policy related to electric bicycle safety, on or before January 1, 2027, from these requirements. ***This bill has been referred to the Assembly Education Committee and Transportation Committee.***

**AB 2051 (Wicks) Coastal Resilience Permitting Working Group – WATCH**

This bill directs the California Natural Resources Agency (CNRA), in consultation with the California Environmental Protection Agency (CalEPA), to convene an interagency Coastal Resilience Permitting Working Group to identify administrative actions and legislative recommendations to streamline and accelerate permitting for coastal resilience and sea level rise adaptation projects. ***This bill is pending referral from Assembly Rules.***

***For a full list of bills we are tracking for C/CAG, please click [here](#).***

<b>Proposed California Electric Bicycle Bills</b>						
<b>Bill Number</b>	<b>Author</b>	<b>Applicable Jurisdiction</b>	<b>Brief Description</b>	<b>Fiscal</b>	<b>Current Location</b>	<b>CCAG Position</b>
<b>Technology Requirements</b>						
<a href="#">AB 1557</a>	Papan	Statewide	Limits e-bike definition to “not capable of exceeding 750 watts of peak power”	No	Asm Trans	Support 2/12
<b>Manufacturer/Seller Requirements</b>						
<a href="#">AB 2284</a>	Dixon	Statewide	Public list of non-compliant e-bikes & e-bike products	Yes	Asm Rules	
<b>Rules of the Road</b>						
<a href="#">AB 1569</a>	Davies	Statewide	Training for students parking e-bikes on campus	No	Asm Ed	Staff Rec Watch
<a href="#">AB 1614</a>	Dixon	Statewide	No “piggybacking” on bikes and e-bikes on a Class I bikeway	Yes	Asm Trans	
<a href="#">AB 1942</a>	Bauer-Kahan	Statewide	License plates for class 2 & 3 e-bikes	Yes	Asm Rules	
<b>Local Limits</b>						
<a href="#">SB 956</a>	Choi	Statewide	<i>Spot bill - will restore some local authority over passing e-bike safety ordinances</i>	TBD	Sen Rules	
<a href="#">AB 2595</a>	Papan	San Mateo County	San Mateo Electric Bicycle Safety Pilot Program - would allow localities to prohibit kids under 12 from operating class 1 or 2 ebikes	No	Asm Rules	Staff Rec Support 3/12
<b>Kitchen Sink</b>						
<a href="#">SB 1167</a>	Blakespear	Statewide	Distinguishes e-bikes from mopeds or “e-motos” & requires customer disclosure	Yes	Sen Rules	
<a href="#">AB 2346</a>	Wilson	Statewide	Speedometer/front & rear lamp requirements; Written description of e-bike laws for customers; Allows various speed limits to be imposed	Yes	Asm Rules	Staff Rec Support 3/12



*Atherton • Belmont • Brisbane • Burlingame • Colma • Daly City • East Palo Alto • Foster City • Half Moon Bay • Hillsborough • Menlo Park • Millbrae • Pacifica • Portola Valley • Redwood City • San Bruno • San Carlos • San Mateo • San Mateo County • South San Francisco • Woodside*

January 6, 2026

The Honorable Scott Wiener  
1021 O Street, Room 8620  
Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: SB 677 (Wiener) Comments

Dear Senator Wiener:

The City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County (C/CAG) represents all 21 jurisdictions in San Mateo County and advocates for those who live, work, and play in San Mateo County. Supporting feasible and achievable compact development along major transit routes is an important goal to help us achieve our mobility goals. C/CAG recognizes that amendments to SB 79 are necessary to achieve its goals. C/CAG has the following comments on SB 677.

**First**, cities need more time to adjust and adequate time to update their plans, if necessary. We support the League of California Cities's request for a time extension for the implementation of SB 79 so that it complies with the initiation of the next RHNA cycle. Additional time will give cities the ability to potentially adjust their local plans to comply with SB 79. A July 2026 effective date does not give cities any time to budget for planning changes, much less to analyze and implement them.

**Second**, the inclusion of future bus rapid transit stations that have yet to be constructed could jeopardize the planning and support for bus rapid transit expansions. Current law applies SB 79 to bus rapid transit projects that are included in the long-range Regional Transportation Plan (MTC's Plan Bay Area), regardless of if the project has funding committed, has been fully environmentally cleared, or has begun construction. The potential to trigger SB 79 developments around potential bus rapid transit stations in the planning phase may actually ensure that these projects are never completed, having a detrimental impact on transit service. Instead, SB 79 should be amended to only be in effect around bus rapid transit stations once a project is complete.

**Third**, there needs to be more outreach and analysis on the definition of ferry service. The inclusion of ferries based on frequencies would allow residential development on any commercial zoned land within ½ mile of a qualifying ferry station. There might be commercial uses within the ½ mile zone that are incompatible with residential uses.

**Fourth**, the potential impact on and displacement of small businesses is a concern. Small businesses provide necessary jobs, services, and goods for our cities. Furthermore, thriving groundfloor retail is necessary for the creation of walkable downtown. Groundfloor retail improves the quality of life for the residents and creates support for the higher densities. SB 677 should include language that clarifies that SB 79 does not preclude cities from requiring groundfloor retail in its high-density mixed-use developments.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment on SB 677. The C/CAG Board of Directors will continue to review and comment on this legislation as it moves through the legislative cycle.

Please contact Sean Charpentier, C/CAG Executive Director, at [scharpentier@smcgov.org](mailto:scharpentier@smcgov.org) if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Adam Rak', written in a cursive style.

Adam Rak, Chair  
City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County

CC:  
Assemblymember Papan  
Assembly Member Berman  
Assemblymember Stefani  
State Senator Becker  
State Senator Weiner  
State Senator Aisha Wahab