

C/CAG

CITY/COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS OF SAN MATEO COUNTY

Atherton • Belmont • Brisbane • Burlingame • Colma • Daly City • East Palo Alto • Foster City • Half Moon Bay • Hillsborough • Menlo Park • Millbrae • Pacifica • Portola Valley • Redwood City • San Bruno • San Carlos • San Mateo • San Mateo County • South San Francisco • Woodside

AGENDA BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE (BPAC)

Date: Thursday, September 24, 2026	Join by Zoom Meeting: https://us02web.zoom.us/j/87362024773
Time: 6:00 p.m.	Zoom Meeting ID: 873 6202 4773
Location: Burlingame Community Center 850 Burlingame Avenue Burlingame, CA, 94070	Password: 894749
Teleconference Locations: (Alternate Public Access): 30 Stone Creek Trail Alpharetta, GA 30004 c/o Three Brothers 138 Homer Pt Rd Diamond Point, NY 12824	Join by Phone: (669) 900-6833

*** IN-PERSON MEETING WITH REMOTE PUBLIC PARTICIPATION AVAILABLE***

This meeting of the C/CAG BPAC will be held in person at the location listed above. Members of the public will be able to participate in the meeting remotely via the Zoom platform or in person at the location above. The Committee welcomes comments, including criticism, about the policies, procedures, programs, or services of the agency, or of the acts or omissions of the Board and committees. Speakers shall not disrupt, disturb, or otherwise impede the orderly conduct of a Board meeting. For information regarding how to participate in the meeting, either in person or remotely, please refer to the instructions at the end of the agenda.

1.	Call to Order	Action (Thayer)	No materials
2.	Public comment on items not on the agenda	Limited to 2 minutes per speaker.	No materials

C/CAG

CITY/COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS OF SAN MATEO COUNTY

Atherton • Belmont • Brisbane • Burlingame • Colma • Daly City • East Palo Alto • Foster City • Half Moon Bay • Hillsborough • Menlo Park • Millbrae • Pacifica • Portola Valley • Redwood City • San Bruno • San Carlos • San Mateo • San Mateo County • South San Francisco • Woodside

- | | | | |
|----|--|-------------------------|---------------|
| 3. | Approval of the minutes from the July 30, 2026 Meeting. | Action
(Thayer) | Pages 1 – 3 |
| 4. | Review and recommend revised Transportation Development Act Article 3 (TDA 3) FY 2026-2028 Bicycle and Pedestrian prioritized project list to the C/CAG Board for funding allocation. | Action
(Shiramizu) | Pages 4 – 6 |
| 5. | Confirm receipt of the MTC Complete Streets Checklists for the One Bay Area Grant (OBAG) Cycle 4 County & Local Program and Community Action Resource and Empowerment Technical Assistance (CARE TA) project submissions. | Action
(Lacap) | Pages 7 – 8 |
| 6. | Review and recommend approval of the proposed funding package, consisting of \$24,111,142 in One Bay Area Grant (OBAG) Cycle 4 County & Local Program nominations for eight projects and \$782,319 in Community Action Resource and Empowerment Technical Assistance (CARE TA) funding for two projects. | Action
(Lacap) | Pages 9 – 21 |
| 7. | Receive a presentation on the C/CAG Countywide E-bike whitepaper and strategy. | Information
(Lacap) | Pages 22 – 23 |
| 8. | Member Communications. | Information
(Thayer) | No materials |
| 9. | Adjournment. | Information
(Thayer) | No materials |

The next regularly scheduled BPAC meeting will be on October 22, 2026.

Upcoming BPAC items/discussion topics:

- a. San Bruno-Millbrae El Camino Real Multimodal Study updates
- b. Complete Streets Checklists Review
- c. County Sheriff's Office Update on Online Incident Reporting System

C/CAG

CITY/COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS OF SAN MATEO COUNTY

Atherton • Belmont • Brisbane • Burlingame • Colma • Daly City • East Palo Alto • Foster City • Half Moon Bay • Hillsborough • Menlo Park • Millbrae • Pacifica • Portola Valley • Redwood City • San Bruno • San Carlos • San Mateo • San Mateo County • South San Francisco • Woodside

PUBLIC NOTICING: All notices of C/CAG regular BPAC meetings, standing committee meetings, and special meetings will be posted at the San Mateo County Court Yard, 555 County Center, Redwood City, CA, and on C/CAG's website at: <http://www.ccag.ca.gov>.

PUBLIC RECORDS: Public records that relate to any item on the open session agenda for a regular BPAC meeting, standing committee meeting, or special meeting are available for public inspection. Those public records that are distributed less than 72 hours prior to a regular meeting are available for public inspection at the same time they are distributed to all members, or a majority of the members, of the Committee. The BPAC has designated the office of the City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County (C/CAG), located at 555 County Center, 5th Floor, Redwood City, CA 94063, for the purpose of making public records available for inspection. Such public records are also available on C/CAG's website at: <http://www.ccag.ca.gov>. Please note that C/CAG's office is temporarily closed to the public; please contact Audrey Shiramizu at ashiramizu@smcgov.org for inspection of public records.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION DURING VIDEOCONFERENCE MEETINGS: Persons with disabilities who require auxiliary aids or services to participate in this meeting should contact Audrey Shiramizu at ashiramizu@smcgov.org, five working days prior to the meeting date.

ADA REQUESTS: Persons with disabilities who require auxiliary aids or services to participate in this meeting should contact Audrey Shiramizu at ashiramizu@smcgov.org by 10:00 a.m. on the day prior to the meeting date.

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION: Members of the public may address the Committee as follows:

Written comments should be emailed in advance of the meeting. Please read the following instructions carefully:

1. Your written comment should be emailed to ashiramizu@smcgov.org.
2. Your email should include the specific agenda item on which you are commenting or note that your comment concerns an item that is not on the agenda.
3. Members of the public are limited to one comment per agenda item.
4. The length of the emailed comment should be commensurate with the two minutes customarily allowed for verbal comments, which is approximately 250-300 words.
5. If your emailed comment is received at least 2 hours prior to the meeting, it will be provided to the C/CAG BPAC members, made publicly available on the C/CAG website along with the agenda. Emails received less than 2 hours before the meeting will be provided to the C/CAG BPAC members and included in the administrative record of the meeting as soon as practicable.

Spoken comments will be accepted during the meeting in person and through Zoom. Public comments will be taken first by speakers in person, followed by via Zoom. Please read the following instructions carefully:

***In-person participation:**

1. If you wish to speak to the C/CAG BPAC, please fill out a speaker's slip placed by the entrance of the meeting room. If you have anything that you wish distributed to the Committee and included in the official record, please hand it to the C/CAG staff who will distribute the information to the Committee members and staff.

C/CAG

CITY/COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS OF SAN MATEO COUNTY

Atherton • Belmont • Brisbane • Burlingame • Colma • Daly City • East Palo Alto • Foster City • Half Moon Bay • Hillsborough • Menlo Park • Millbrae • Pacifica • Portola Valley • Redwood City • San Bruno • San Carlos • San Mateo • San Mateo County • South San Francisco • Woodside

***Remote participation:**

1. The C/CAG BPAC meeting may be accessed through Zoom at the online location indicated at the top of this agenda.
2. You may download the Zoom client or connect to the meeting using an internet browser. If using your browser, make sure you are using a current, up-to-date browser. Certain functionality may be disabled in older browsers including Internet Explorer.
3. You will be asked to enter an email address and name. We request that you identify yourself by your name as this will be visible online and will be used to notify you that it is your turn to speak.
4. When C/CAG Staff or Co-Chairs call for the item on which you wish to speak, click on “raise hand.” Staff will activate and unmute speakers in turn. Speakers will be notified shortly before they are called on to speak. If calling in via phone, press *9 to raise your hand and when called upon press *6 to unmute.
5. When called, please limit your remarks to the time allotted.

If you have any questions about this agenda, please contact C/CAG staff:

Senior Transportation Programs Specialist: Audrey Shiramizu (ashiramizu@smcgov.org)

City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County (C/CAG)

Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee (BPAC)

Meeting Minutes

July 30, 2026

1. Call to Order

Chair Thayer called the meeting to order at 6:02 PM.

Name	Agency	Jan 2026	Mar 2026	May 2026	Jul 2026
<u>Public</u>					
Matthew Self	County of San Mateo	X			X
Malcolm Robinson	San Bruno	X		X	X
Alan Uy	Daly City	X	X	X	
Angela Hey	Portola Valley		X	X	X
Justin Yuen	South San Francisco	X	X	X	X
Mike Swire – Vice Chair	San Mateo	X	X	X	X
Jean Yang	Redwood City	X	X		
<u>Elected</u>					
Flor Nicolas	South San Francisco	X	X		X
Mary Bier	Pacifica	X	X		X
Patrick Sullivan	Foster City	X	X	X	X
Desiree Thayer – Chair	Burlingame	X	X	X	X
Stephen Rainaldi	Millbrae	X	X	X	
Isabella Chu	Redwood City	X	X	X	X
Paul Nagengast	Half Moon Bay			X	X
Greg Wright	Pacifica	X	X	X	X

†Attended meeting online via Assembly Bill 2449.

C/CAG Staff present: Audrey Shiramizu, Kaki Cheung, Jeff Lacap.

Guest(s): Michelle Stewart (Caltrain), Jim Cowing, Cherie Chan, and others in attendance.

2. Public comment on items not on the agenda

Jim Cowing commented on the Redwood Shores Cycling Meetup group. He noted the upcoming annual Civilized Century bike ride event on August 1.

3. Approval of the minutes from the May 28, 2026 Meeting. (Action)

Motion: Member Sullivan motioned to approve the May 28, 2026 minutes. Member Robinson seconded the motion. All members in attendance voted to approve. The motion passed.

4. Review and recommend Transportation Development Act Article 3 (TDA 3) FY 2026-28 Bicycle and Pedestrian project proposals to the C/CAG Board for funding allocation. (Action)

C/CAG Senior Transportation Programs Specialist Audrey Shiramizu recapped the fiscal year 2026-2028 TDA 3 grant cycle, schedule, and summary of applications. Audrey presented the ranked list of priority projects based on the Committee's evaluation. She summarized staff's recommendation to forward scenario 2 to the C/CAG Board for approval.

Staff described the available Bay Area Air District's Transportation Fund for Clean Air (TFCA) Regional Funds and why the City of Pacifica would be eligible for funding. Members Wright and Bier thanked staff for identifying additional funds for the City of Pacifica. Staff confirmed that the TFCA funding would not impact the Committee's TDA scoring and evaluation.

Committee members provided feedback on this TDA 3 cycle. Feedback included positive feedback on this year's evaluation process and publishing the summary table of all committee member's scores. Vice Chair Swire suggested increasing the local match requirement. Member Chu suggested criteria that evaluated return on investment. Member Wright suggested surveying all cities on the application process. Member Self suggested focusing the scoring more on the merit of the project proposal and less on how the application is written.

Motion: Member Chu motioned to recommend scenario 2 of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 (TDA 3) FY 2026-28 Bicycle and Pedestrian project proposals to the C/CAG Board for funding allocation. Member Nicolas seconded the motion. All members in attendance voted to approve. The motion passed.

5. Receive and confirm receipt of an MTC Complete Streets Checklist from SamTrans for the Advancement Toward the Long-Range Service Vision Project.

Michelle Stewart from Caltrain was available online to answer questions on the project and checklist.

Public Member Jim Cowing asked about plans to increase bike capacity during commuting hours. Michelle noted that Caltrain is investing in more bike lockers at stations.

Multiple Members expressed the desire to bike along the Caltrain route with grade separations and noise protection.

Member Sullivan asked about signal coordination. Michelle noted that this is primarily in the cities' jurisdictions.

Motion: Member Robinson motioned to confirm review and receipt of the MTC Complete Streets Checklist from SamTrans and Caltrain for the Advancement Toward the Long-Range Service Vision Project. Member Bier seconded the motion. All members in attendance voted to approve. The motion passed.

6. Receive the MTC Complete Streets Checklists for the One Bay Area Grant (OBAG) Cycle 4 County & Local Program and Community Action Resource and Empowerment Technical Assistance (CARE TA) project submissions

C/CAG Program Director Jeff Lacap provided an overview of the OBAG 4 and CARE TA Call for Projects. He noted that the Committee received the checklists for today's meeting, allowing the Committee ample time to review the checklists and provide comments. Jeff noted that staff will return to the BPAC in September to confirm that the Committee completed its review of the checklists. Staff will also present the draft prioritized project nomination list in September.

7. Member Communications

Vice Chair Swire noted that two cyclists were recently killed in Woodside, and one pedestrian was killed in Redwood City.

Member Chu noted that at the July 13 Redwood City Council meeting, Council voted 4-3 to establish a new neighborhood between Woodside Road and Stanford Campus, including improvements on Broadway to make the corridor more people centered.

8. Adjournment

Chair Thayer adjourned the meeting at 7:08 PM.

C/CAG AGENDA REPORT

Date: September 24, 2026

To: Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee

From: Audrey Shiramizu, Senior Transportation Programs Specialist

Subject: Review and recommend revised Transportation Development Act Article 3 (TDA 3) FY 2026-28 Bicycle and Pedestrian prioritized project list to the C/CAG Board for funding allocation.

(For further information, contact Audrey Shiramizu at ashiramizu@smcgov.org)

RECOMMENDATION

That the C/CAG Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee reviews and recommends the revised Transportation Development Act Article 3 (TDA 3) FY 2026-28 Bicycle and Pedestrian prioritized project list to the C/CAG Board for funding allocation.

FISCAL IMPACT

C/CAG initially anticipated \$4.7M available for TDA 3 FY 2026-28 program based on the Metropolitan Transportation Commission's (MTC) fund estimate report. However, MTC's August 2026 fund estimate report indicated higher-than-anticipated revenues for TDA 3. C/CAG now anticipates \$5.4M available for the FY 2026-28 TDA 3 program.

SOURCE OF FUNDS

TDA Article 3 funds are derived from the following sources:

- Local Transportation Funds (LTF), derived from a ¼ cent of the general sales tax collected statewide
- State Transit Assistance Fund (STA), derived from the statewide sales tax on gasoline and diesel fuel.

BACKGROUND

At the July 30, 2026 meeting, Committee members reviewed the TDA 3 scores and project rankings. The Committee voted to recommend Scenario 2, fully funding two planning proposals and the top six ranked capital project proposals, using a combination of Transportation Fund for Clean Air (TFCA) and TDA 3 funds to fully fund the City of Pacifica's project. This scenario preserved the Committee's merit-based project rankings and maximized the number of projects receiving funding by leveraging outside resources (e.g., TFCA) as feasible.

This scenario freed up TDA 3 funds for the seventh ranked capital project proposal, San Mateo County's Montara Main Street Traffic Calming and Bicycle/Pedestrian Connectivity Project.

Under Scenario 2, San Mateo County would receive \$492,794 TDA 3 funds of their requested \$750,000.

In August 2026, MTC released an updated fund estimate report indicating higher-than-anticipated revenues for the TDA 3 program. Per the updated report, C/CAG now anticipates an additional \$700,000 available for the FY 2026-28 TDA program, for a new total of \$5.4M.

With the additional TDA 3 resources, staff recommends directing the available revenues to the next two highest rated projects: the San Mateo County's Montara Main Street Project and the City of Millbrae's Skyline Boulevard Trail Maintenance Project. See Attachment 1 for the revised recommended project list. The Committee's project rankings have remained the same. The City of Pacifica's project will still receive full funding from a combination of TFCA and TDA 3 grants. The only difference from the Committee's recommendation in July is that the revised project list now includes fully funding San Mateo County and Millbrae's projects.

Staff recommend that the Committee recommends approval of the revised priority project list in Attachment 1.

EQUITY CONSIDERATIONS

In recent years, C/CAG has worked to prioritize equity and incentivize the development of more TDA 3 projects in underserved areas. For example, in the FY 2024-2025 cycle, staff updated the scoring criteria to include more points for projects located within C/CAG Equity Focus Areas (EFA) or MTC Equity Priority Communities (EPCs). Additionally, maximum points were awarded if a project was located mostly in an EFA or EPC and provided the minimum local match. Additionally, C/CAG distributed the Call for Projects to C/CAG's new Community Based Organization (CBO) list. These equity-based scoring criteria and outreach practices were also applied for the FY 2026–2028 cycle.

For the TDA 3 FY2026-2028 cycle, C/CAG added new criteria to encourage more countywide participation. Points were given to projects in jurisdictions that do not contain an MTC Priority Development Area (PDA) or do not have a transit station qualifying for the Transit-Oriented Community (TOC) Policy. This change encourages high-quality bicycle and pedestrian projects in jurisdictions that have had historically fewer opportunities to access regional active transportation funding.

ATTACHMENTS:

1. TDA 3 project ranking summary (as of September 10, 2026)

Attachment 1: TDA 3 project ranking summary (as of September 10, 2026)

	Applicant	Average Score	Project Funding Requested	Proposed Award Amount	Cumulative TDA Funding Amount
Planning Project Proposals					
1	Half Moon Bay: Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan Update <i>Update existing Bike/Ped Master Plan.</i>	74.9	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$200,000
2	Brisbane: Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan Update <i>Update existing Bike/Ped Master Plan.</i>	71.2	\$125,000	\$125,000	\$325,000
Capital Project Proposals					
1	South San Francisco: Centennial Trail Active Transportation Safety and Rehabilitation Project <i>Rehab and enhance the effective width of existing shared-use path.</i>	82.1	\$750,000	\$750,000	\$1,075,000
2	CICAG: Safety Applications For Every Traveler (SAFE-T) <i>Deploy Traffic Signal Safety Interventions and Centralized Emergency Vehicle Pre-emption.</i>	79.6	\$750,000	\$750,000	\$1,825,000
3	Pacifica: Oceana Boulevard Bicycle Lane Improvement Project <i>Construct 1.21 miles of new Class II bike lanes, enhanced ped crossings, and improve striping.</i>	74.1	\$750,000	\$407,206*	\$2,232,206
4	East Palo Alto: Fordham Street Roundabout Project <i>Design and construction of a roundabout on Fordham Street at Notre Dame Avenue.</i>	71.9	\$475,000	\$475,000	\$2,707,206
5	Half Moon Bay: Half Moon Bay Coastal Trail Safety & Rehabilitation <i>Rehab ~3.4 miles including updated striping, pavement markings, and wayfinding.</i>	69.2	\$750,000	\$750,000	\$3,457,206
6	Daly City: John Daly Boulevard Pedestrian and Bicycle Facility Improvements Project <i>Improve multiuse path to allow for safer two-way travel for peds and bicyclists and ADA updates.</i>	67.8	\$750,000	\$750,000	\$4,207,206
7	San Mateo County: Montara Main Street Traffic Calming and Bicycle/Pedestrian Connectivity Project <i>Safety and accessibility improvements including bike route signage, striping, and curb ramps.</i>	61.2	\$750,000	\$750,000	\$4,957,206
8	Millbrae: Skyline Boulevard Trail Maintenance Project <i>Trail repairs, resurfacing, and rehabilitation.</i>	59.2	\$400,000	\$400,000	\$5,357,206
9	Colma: El Camino Real Complete Streets Project (Segment B) <i>Construction of multimodal infrastructure along El Camino Real (Segment B).</i>	58.1	\$750,000	\$750,000	\$6,107,206
10	Burlingame: Chapin Avenue Safety and Streetscape Improvement Project <i>Ped and bike safety improvements including traffic calming and pedestrian crossings.</i>	57.5	\$750,000	\$750,000	\$6,857,206
11	Woodside: Woodside Road Multi-Use Path Maintenance Project (from Woodside Elementary School to Kings Mountain Road) <i>Maintain a Class I shared-used path.</i>	52.5	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$6,957,206
		Total	\$7,300,000		

*Remainder of the funding is proposed to come from the TFCA Fund.

C/CAG AGENDA REPORT

Date: September 24, 2026

To: C/CAG Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee (BPAC)

From: Jeff Lacap, Program Director

Subject: Confirm receipt of the MTC Complete Streets Checklists for the One Bay Area Grant (OBAG) Cycle 4 County & Local Program and Community Action Resource and Empowerment Technical Assistance (CARE TA) project submissions.

(For more information, please contact Jeff Lacap at jlacap@smcgov.org.)

RECOMMENDATION

That the C/CAG Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee (BPAC) confirms receipt of the MTC Complete Streets Checklists for the One Bay Area Grant (OBAG) Cycle 4 County & Local Program and Community Action Resource and Empowerment Technical Assistance (CARE TA) project submissions.

FISCAL IMPACT

Other than staff time, there are no direct fiscal impacts to C/CAG at this time. Upon C/CAG recommendation and MTC approval, the OBAG 4 and CARE TA funds will be allocated to project sponsors directly.

SOURCE OF FUNDS

Funding for the One Bay Area Grant Cycle 4 Program is comprised of Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) and Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBG) funds. This funding is administered by the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC).

BACKGROUND

One Bay Area Grant (OBAG) Cycle 4 County & Local Program and Community Action Resource and Empowerment Technical Assistance (CARE TA) Program

As part of the One Bay Area Grant Cycle 4 (OBAG 4) and Community Action Resource and Empowerment Technical Assistance (CARE TA) funding programs administered by the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC), projects that are seeking federal funding are required to adhere to MTC's Complete Streets Policy (Resolution 4493). The policy promotes the development of transportation facilities that accommodate all modes. In accordance with the Policy, project sponsors seeking federal funding or endorsement for all transportation projects (with a total project cost of \$250,000 or more) are required to complete a Complete Streets Checklist. The checklists are then reviewed and approved by the local agency or County Transportation Agency (CTA) Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee (BPAC).

With the recent consolidated 2026 C/CAG Call for Projects, project sponsors submitted a total of 11 Complete Streets Checklists. At the July 30, 2026 BPAC Committee meeting, staff provided the checklists as an information item. Members of the Committee were instructed by staff to submit comments on the checklists by August 28, 2026. Upon receiving comments from the committee, project sponsors are tasked to address Committee's comments. To date, no comments on the checklists have been received. Committee members are welcome to provide comments at the meeting. Following the Committee's review, project sponsors will document the comments received in the BPAC Review section of the MTC Complete Streets Checklist, revising responses to integrate the committee's feedback where feasible. Sponsors will then submit the final checklist through the Complete Streets Checklist Portal prior to MTC approval and fund programming.

EQUITY CONSIDERATIONS

The MTC Complete Streets Checklist requirement supports equity by ensuring that the needs of people walking, bicycling, and using other modes of active transportation, who often include people with fewer transportation options, are considered during the early stages of project development.

RECOMMENDATION

C/CAG staff requests that the Committee reviews and confirms receipt of the MTC Complete Streets Checklists for the One Bay Area Grant (OBAG) Cycle 4 County & Local Program and Community Action Resource and Empowerment Technical Assistance (CARE TA) project submissions.

Attachments

The following attachment is available on the C/CAG BPAC website (See "*Additional Agenda Materials*" for the relevant meeting) at: <https://ccag.ca.gov/committees/bicycle-and-pedestrian-advisory-committee>

1. MTC Complete Streets Checklists from applications received through the C/CAG 2026 Call for Projects

C/CAG AGENDA REPORT

Date: September 24, 2026

To: Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee

From: Jeff Lacap, Program Director

Subject: Review and recommend approval of the proposed funding package, consisting of \$24,111,142 in One Bay Area Grant (OBAG) Cycle 4 County & Local Program nominations for eight projects, \$782,319 in Community Action Resource and Empowerment Technical Assistance (CARE TA) funding for two projects.

(For further information or questions contact Jeff Lacap at jlacap@smcgov.org)

RECOMMENDATION

That the C/CAG Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee review and recommend approval of the proposed funding package, consisting of \$24,111,142 in One Bay Area Grant (OBAG) Cycle 4 County & Local Program nominations for eight projects and \$782,319 in Community Action Resource and Empowerment Technical Assistance (CARE TA) funding for two projects.

FISCAL IMPACT

C/CAG combined multiple funding programs into a coordinated call for projects to simplify the application process, match projects with the most suitable funding source, and increase the resources available to local agencies. The proposed overall funding package recommends approximately \$24.8 million for local and countywide transportation projects. It fully programs San Mateo County's \$24.1 million OBAG Cycle 4 nomination target and advances two community-identified projects with \$782,319 in CARE TA funds.

The OBAG Cycle 4 and CARE TA funds would be allocated to project sponsors following C/CAG's recommendation and MTC's final approval.

SOURCE OF FUNDS

MTC allocates federal funds through the OBAG Cycle 4 County & Local Program, including Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) funds and Surface Transportation Program Block Grant (STBG) funds.

BACKGROUND***C/CAG 2026 Call for Projects***

On May 11, 2026, C/CAG released the 2026 Call for Projects, which included funding for the One Bay Area Grant Program Round 4 (OBAG 4), Community Action Resource and Empowerment Technical Assistance (CARE TA) Program, and the Transportation Fund for

Clean Air (TFCA) 40% Fund Program. C/CAG held applicant workshops, answered project-specific questions, and conducted public workshops to solicit project ideas. By incorporating these funding streams into a single call for projects, C/CAG was able to streamline administration, efficiently coordinate staff resources, and stretch the available dollars to support the greatest number of worthwhile projects.

Funding Source	Amount
MTC OBAG 4 County & Local Program	\$24.1M
Transportation Fund for Clean Air (TFCA) 40% Fund	\$2.1M
MTC Community Action Resource & Empowerment Technical Assistance (CARE TA)	\$1.3M
Total	\$27.5M

By the application deadline, C/CAG received a total of 12 applications from seven jurisdictions and one partner agency, requesting approximately \$32.3 million in funding. Following eligibility review, C/CAG staff determined that one application did not meet the OBAG 4 program eligibility requirements and the project sponsor withdrew its application. The total eligible OBAG 4 funding requests totaled approximately \$30.9 million, equivalent to 128% of the \$24.1 million nomination target. The total eligible CARE TA Program requests totaled \$782,319.

The three programs provide approximately \$27.5 million in total available funding. This report recommends approximately \$27.0 million in awards. The remaining \$517,681 in CARE TA funding would be offered through a subsequent call for projects anticipated in spring 2027.

Program Purposes

- The **OBAG Cycle 4** Program supports transportation investments that advance regional growth, safety, multimodal access, and federal programming priorities.
- The **CARE TA** program advances community-identified capital projects from Community-Based Transportation Plans or comparable processes toward final design and construction readiness. Eligible projects must serve an Equity Priority Community and demonstrate meaningful community support.
- The **TFCA** supports eligible projects that reduce motor vehicle emissions. C/CAG used TFCA on qualifying bicycle infrastructure costs to preserve OBAG and TDA Article 3 funds for other eligible projects.

Attachment 5 summarizes the list of project applications received.

Project Evaluation Process

Upon the initial staff screening, staff forwarded the project applications to the seven-member evaluation panel comprised of representatives from the C/CAG Congestion Management and Environmental Quality (CMEQ) and Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee (BPAC) Committees, Commute.org, Silicon Valley Bicycle Coalition, and the San Mateo County Transportation Authority. The panel scored project need and benefits, consistency with local priorities, and equity impacts. C/CAG staff separately reviewed program eligibility, readiness, schedule, funding plan, and the ability to meet federal programming and obligation requirements.

Staff used the following principles to develop the recommended funding package:

- Maintain the evaluation panel's relative ranking while considering the complete application.
- Prioritize projects that can meet applicable programming, obligation, and delivery deadlines.
- Use TFCA for eligible costs so OBAG and TDA Article 3 funds can support projects with fewer funding options.
- Advance competitive projects across the county while protecting San Mateo County's federal allocation.

Deliverability is particularly important because OBAG funds must be obligated by federal deadlines. If a selected project cannot meet required milestones, the funds may need to be reprogrammed and could ultimately be redirected outside San Mateo County.

C/CAG Staff reviewed and screened the remaining qualitative and programmatic categories for all the applications. Attachment 6 provides a summary of preliminary project rankings under the OBAG 4 program.

OBAG 4 Staff Recommendation

Based on the preliminary rankings and the factors described above, staff recommends funding the highest-ranked projects that can meet program delivery requirements, using TFCA funds for eligible project costs, and directing the remaining OBAG balance to the C/CAG sponsored Countywide Safety Improvement Project. The recommended OBAG awards total \$24,111,142.

Due to limited funding, not all eligible applications can be recommended. Staff does not recommend two applications for OBAG funding in this cycle based primarily on readiness and deliverability considerations. The Millbrae El Camino Real Bikeway and Pedestrian Crossing Project ranked sixth but received a lower readiness/deliverability assessment due to the extensive coordination yet to be completed with Caltrans. The Aviador Avenue Bridge Replacement Project in Millbrae ranked ninth, received the lowest overall score and also presents deliverability and readiness concerns. Millbrae's highest-ranked project, the Downtown Specific Plan Improvement Project, is recommended for funding. C/CAG staff will continue coordinating with Millbrae regarding project readiness and potential future funding opportunities.

Countywide Safety Improvement Project (SAFE-T)

After funding the recommended competitive projects at amounts that can advance deliverable project scopes, approximately \$1.9 million remains. Staff recommends directing the remaining OBAG balance of \$1,903,906 to C/CAG's countywide Safety Applications for Every Traveler project, known as SAFE-T. The OBAG program permits county transportation agencies to nominate countywide projects that advance regional safety, mobility, equity, and program-delivery objectives. The SAFE-T project is particularly well suited for countywide implementation because it addresses active transportation user and roadway safety with emergency-response benefits across jurisdictional boundaries. Rather than having individual jurisdictions deliver this project separately, a countywide approach would be more efficient.

The project would deploy traffic signal safety interventions at approximately 70 high-risk

locations countywide. Improvements may include signal-system technologies that improve intersection safety, enhance detection and operations for people walking and bicycling, and support emergency vehicle response. Delivering these improvements as a coordinated countywide investment would also produce more consistent traffic-signal technology across jurisdictional boundaries. Improvements would be deployed at approximately 70 preliminary locations. The number of locations included in the initial phase will depend on final technology selection, site conditions, participating-agency agreements, and available funding. Attachment 7 provides a preliminary location map of where the improvements would be deployed across the county..

Proposed locations leverage findings in the Countywide Local Road Safety Plan (LRSP), High Injury Network data, local Vision Zero plans, and input received from local jurisdictions during the development of earlier grant applications. Because C/CAG would implement the project countywide, individual jurisdictions would not need to independently pursue funding, procure compatible systems, or manage separate deployments. If approved, C/CAG would coordinate with member agencies to confirm locations, responsibilities, and delivery schedules before final implementation.

The SAFE-T project was not submitted or scored as a local competitive application because it is a C/CAG sponsored countywide project. Consistent with OBAG provisions allowing county transportation agencies to nominate countywide projects, staff evaluated SAFE-T separately for program eligibility, countywide need and benefits, readiness, deliverability, and consistency with regional safety, mobility and equity objectives. Programming the remaining OBAG balance to SAFE-T would also avoid fragmenting the funds into amounts that may be insufficient to advance another federal-aid project.

The C/CAG Board previously reserved approximately \$3 million for the SAFE-T program before establishing the funding amount available through the competitive call for projects. That prior set-aside is not included in the OBAG recommendation table because it was removed before calculating the amount available to applicants. The proposed \$1,903,906 award would supplement the earlier set-aside, bringing the currently identified resources for SAFE-T to approximately \$4.9 million and allowing C/CAG to advance a meaningful initial phase of countywide implementation. Attachment 1 summarizes the recommended OBAG nominations

MTC CARE TA Staff Recommendation

Staff recommends \$782,319 for two community-identified projects. Approximately \$517,681 remains available, based on MTC's \$1.3 million county target. Staff intend to issue another Call for Projects in Spring 2027 to provide member and community partners another opportunity to seek the remaining CARE TA funds. Attachment 2 provides a summary of the funding recommendation.

Transportation Fund for Clean Air (TFCA) 40% Funding Recommendations

C/CAG reviewed qualifying projects from the combined OBAG 4 call for projects, the Transportation Development Act Article 3 call for projects, and a supplemental solicitation distributed to the local jurisdictions. This coordinated review allowed C/CAG to use TFCA for eligible bicycle infrastructure costs, stretch other limited funding sources, and retain this revenue source for projects in San Mateo County. Funding for the FY 2026-27 TFCA cycle must be fully allocated by November 2026 to avoid forfeiture or regional reapportionment.

Eligibility for TFCA funds was determined using the following criteria:

- Project met Air District policies for eligible expenditures.
- Project was to be recommended for OBAG or TDA Article 3 award.
- Project would be generally eligible for over \$150,000 in TFCA funds, as calculated using the funding eligibility tool released annually by the Air District. That tool allows applicants to input various project parameters and receive a maximum TFCA funding value based on applied specifications.

Through the combined call, C/CAG staff determined that seven projects were eligible for TFCA funding, utilizing all of the \$2.1M made available by the Air District. C/CAG staff are recommending all seven of those projects for TFCA funding. Attachment 3 provides the recommended funding package for TFCA funds. Attachment 4 provides an overview of the recommended funding package for the 2026 C/CAG Call for Projects.

Next Steps

- Following C/CAG Board approval, staff will submit the OBAG 4 and CARE TA nominations to MTC for final review and selection.
- Staff will submit the TFCA recommendations to the Bay Area Air District and complete the applicable funding agreements.
- Staff anticipates releasing another CARE TA call for projects in Spring 2027 for the remaining county target.
- Staff will continue coordinating with all project sponsors on project delivery, federal requirements, and future funding opportunities.

Recommendation

C/CAG staff requests that the C/CAG Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee review and recommend approval of the proposed funding package, consisting of \$24,111,142 in One Bay Area Grant (OBAG) Cycle 4 County & Local Program nominations for eight projects and \$782,319 in Community Action Resource and Empowerment Technical Assistance (CARE TA). At their September 17th meeting, the C/CAG Technical Advisory Committee recommended approval of the proposed funding package.

EQUITY IMPACTS AND CONSIDERATIONS

The OBAG program supports equity in San Mateo County by directing funding toward projects that improve access to safe, reliable, and sustainable transportation options for all communities, including historically underserved and disadvantaged populations. Through the county program, local jurisdictions can prioritize investments in a broad range of transportation projects.

Funding from the Bay Area Air District allocated through these programs serves to benefit all community members by facilitating the implementation of projects aimed at reducing air pollution from motor vehicles.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Recommended OBAG 4 Funding Package

2. Recommended CARE TA Funding Package
3. Recommended TFCA Funding Package
4. Recommended 2026 C/CAG Call for Projects Funding Package (OBAG 4/CARE TA/TFCA)
5. Final List of Applications Received Through the C/CAG 2026 Call for Projects
6. OBAG 4 Preliminary Project Rankings
7. C/CAG SAFE-T Preliminary Project Location Map

Attachment 1: Recommended OBAG 4 Funding Package

Rank	Sponsor	Project Title	Proposed OBAG 4 Award
1	Colma	El Camino Real Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvement Project - Segment A	\$3,655,248
2	South San Francisco	Chestnut Avenue Multimodal Safety and Access Improvement Project	\$2,669,995
3	Millbrae	Downtown Specific Plan Improvement Project	\$1,600,000
4	BART	Colma BART Station Wayfinding Project	\$2,610,000
5	San Carlos	US 101/Holly St Interchange Improvements & Ped Overcrossing Project	\$3,671,993
6	Millbrae	El Camino Real Bikeway and Pedestrian Crossing Project	Not Recommended for Funding
7	County of San Mateo	Guadalupe Canyon Parkway Class IV Project	\$4,000,000
8	Burlingame	Chapin Avenue Streetscape Project	\$4,000,000
9	Millbrae	Aviador Avenue Bridge Replacement Project, Bridge No. 35C0174	Not Recommended for Funding
N/A*	C/CAG	Countywide Safety Improvement Project	\$1,903,906
			\$24,111,142

* The Countywide safety improvement project, Safety Application for Every Traveler, SAFE-T, was evaluated separately as a C/CAG-sponsored countywide project and was not included in the competitive scoring process.

Attachment 2: Recommended CARE TA Funding Package

Project Sponsor	Project Title	Proposed CARE TA Amount
Pacifica	Crespi Drive / Highway 1 Multimodal Safety and Connectivity Project	\$251,319
South San Francisco	Orange Avenue Complete Streets Planning and Design Project	\$531,000
	Total	\$782,319

Attachment 3: Recommended TFCA Funding Package

Associated call for projects	Lead Applicant	Project Title	TFCA Grant Request Amount
OBAG 4	Colma	El Camino Real Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvement Project - Segment A	\$344,752
OBAG 4	San Carlos	US 101/Holly St Interchange Improvements & Ped Overcrossing Project	\$328,007
OBAG 4	County of San Mateo	Guadalupe Canyon Parkway Class IV Project	\$310,676
OBAG 4	South San Francisco	Chestnut Avenue Multimodal Safety and Access Improvement Project	\$432,005
TDA	Pacifica	Oceana Boulevard Bicycle Lane Improvement Project	\$348,619
Supplemental call	Redwood City	Bay Road Complete Street Rehabilitation Project	\$222,818
Supplemental call	Commute.org	Bicycle Parking Reimbursement Program	\$144,553
Totals:			\$2,131,430

Attachment 4: Recommended 2026 C/CAG Call for Projects Funding Package (OBAG 4/TFCA/CARE TA)

Sponsor	Project Title	Recommended OBAG 4 Award	Recommended TFCA Award	Recommended CARE TA Award
BART	Colma BART Station Wayfinding Project	\$2,610,000	-	-
Burlingame	Chapin Avenue Streetscape Project	\$4,000,000	-	-
C/CAG	Countywide Safety Improvement Project	\$1,903,906		-
Colma	El Camino Real Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvement Project - Segment A	\$3,655,248	\$344,752	-
Commute.org	Bicycle Parking Reimbursement Program	-	\$144,553	-
County of San Mateo	Guadalupe Canyon Parkway Class IV Project	\$4,000,000	\$310,676	-
Millbrae	Downtown Specific Plan Improvement Project	\$1,600,000	-	-
Millbrae	El Camino Real Bikeway and Pedestrian Crossing Project	-	-	-
Millbrae	Aviador Avenue Bridge Replacement Project, Bridge No. 35C0174	-	-	-
Pacifica	Crespi Drive / Highway 1 Multimodal Safety and Connectivity Project	-	-	\$251,319
Pacifica	Oceana Boulevard Bicycle Lane Improvement Project	-	\$348,619	-
Redwood City	Bay Road Complete Street Rehabilitation Project	-	\$222,818	-
San Carlos	US 101/Holly St Interchange Improvements & Ped Overcrossing Project	\$3,671,993	\$328,007	-
South San Francisco	Chestnut Avenue Multimodal Safety and Access Improvement Project	\$2,669,995	\$432,005	-
South San Francisco	Orange Avenue Complete Streets Planning and Design Project	-	-	\$531,000
Total		\$24,111,142	\$2,131,430	\$782,319

Attachment 5: Final List of Applications Received Through the C/CAG 2026 Call for Projects (in alphabetical order)

Project Sponsor	Project Title	Total OBAG/TFCA Request	Total CARE TA Request
BART	Colma BART Station Wayfinding Project	\$ 2,610,000	\$ -
Burlingame	Chapin Avenue Streetscape Project	\$ 4,000,000	\$ -
Colma	El Camino Real Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvement Project - Segment A	\$ 4,000,000	\$ -
County of San Mateo	Guadalupe Canyon Parkway Class IV Project	\$ 4,310,676	\$ -
Millbrae	Downtown Specific Plan Improvement Project	\$ 1,600,000	\$ -
Millbrae	El Camino Real Bikeway and Pedestrian Crossing Project	\$ 4,000,000	\$ -
Millbrae	Aviador Avenue Bridge Replacement Project, Bridge No. 35C0174	\$ 4,000,000	\$ -
Pacifica	Crespi Drive / Highway 1 Multimodal Safety and Connectivity Project	\$ -	\$ 251,319
San Carlos	US 101/Holly St Interchange Improvements & Ped Overcrossing Project	\$ 4,000,000	\$ -
South San Francisco	Orange Avenue Complete Streets Planning and Design Project	\$ -	\$ 531,000
South San Francisco	Chestnut Avenue Multimodal Safety and Access Improvement Project	\$ 3,102,000	\$ -
	Total Request	\$	32,404,995

Attachment 6: OBAG 4 Preliminary Project Rankings

Rank	Project Sponsor	Project Title	Average Panel Score (Max 70pts)	Staff Scores (Max 40pts)	Total Score
1	Colma	El Camino Real Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvement Project - Segment A	60.8	36.5	97.3
2	South San Francisco	Chestnut Avenue Multimodal Safety and Access Improvement Project	65.0	31.5	96.5
3	Millbrae	Downtown Specific Plan Improvement Project	59.7	30.5	90.2
4	BART	Colma BART Station Wayfinding Project	57.3	32.0	89.3
5	San Carlos	US 101/Holly St Interchange Improvements & Ped Overcrossing Project	58.5	30.5	89.0
6	Millbrae	El Camino Real Bikeway and Pedestrian Crossing Project	61.3	27.5	88.8
7	County of San Mateo	Guadalupe Canyon Parkway Class IV Project	56.8	30.5	87.3
8	Burlingame	Chapin Avenue Streetscape Project	48.3	26.0	74.3
9	Millbrae	Aviador Avenue Bridge Replacement Project, Bridge No. 35C0174	56.7	14.0	70.7

Project Overview Map

Preliminary locations shown for planning purposes. Final locations will be confirmed in coordination with participating jurisdictions.

Attachment 7

Legend:

Countywide High Injury Network

Project Intersections - Urban Areas

Project Intersections - Rural Areas

MTC Equity Priority Community

San Mateo County Equity Focus Area - Tier 1

San Mateo County Equity Focus Area - Tier 2

An aerial map of San Mateo County, California, overlaid with project planning data. The map shows major highways (Interstates 280, 805, 880, 92, 84, and State Routes 101, 92, 84) and various cities including Daly City, San Bruno, Hillsborough, Belmont, Emerald Hills, Woodside, West Menlo Park, Palo Alto, Stanford, and Kings Mountain. The map is color-coded to show equity focus areas: Tier 1 (dark purple) and Tier 2 (light purple). A network of orange lines represents the Countywide High Injury Network. Blue circular icons with a white 'S' and a red exclamation mark indicate project intersections in urban areas, while green circular icons with a white 'S' and a red exclamation mark indicate project intersections in rural areas. The map is bordered by the San Francisco Bay to the north and the Pacific Ocean to the west.

C/CAG

City/County Association of Governments
of San Mateo County

SAFE-T

Safety Applications For Every Traveler

Page 21

10,000 ft

C/CAG AGENDA REPORT

Date: September 24, 2026

To: Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee

From: Jeff Lacap, Program Director

Subject: Receive a presentation on the C/CAG Countywide E-bike whitepaper and strategy.

(For further information or questions contact Jeff Lacap at jlacap@smcgov.org)

RECOMMENDATION

That the C/CAG Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee receive a presentation on the C/CAG Countywide E-bike whitepaper and strategy.

FISCAL IMPACT

None; this is an informational item.

SOURCE OF FUNDS

The Countywide E-Bike Strategy is part of C/CAG's \$441,471 federally funded Safe Streets for All Supplemental Planning Project.

BACKGROUND

The City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County (C/CAG) received a Safe Streets and Roads for All (SS4A) grant in August 2024, from the U.S. Department of Transportation (USDOT), to implement the San Mateo Countywide Supplemental Planning Project. This funding builds upon the completed Countywide Local Roadway Safety Plan (LRSP) and supports the next phase of coordinated data-driven safety improvements across the county.

As part of that effort, C/CAG is developing a Countywide E-Bike Strategy to align e-bike policies and practices across jurisdictions. As e-bike usage continues to rise in San Mateo County, the strategy is meant to guide the jurisdictions in establishing a forward-looking e-bike policy and implementation framework. The white paper aims to provide practical, systemwide guidance addressing policy, regulatory, design, and operational considerations. This includes facility design, speed management, signage, parking, conflict reduction strategies, enforcement and education approaches, interagency coordination, and performance monitoring. Together, these measures support the safe and consistent management of e-bike activity across San Mateo County.

C/CAG's e-bike delivery strategy is organized across five areas to assist with local implementation:

- *Stakeholder Facilitation*: Bring stakeholders into a shared countywide conversation.
- *Analysis and Monitoring*: Help agencies understand safety trends, data gaps, and crash patterns.
- *Grants and Funding*: Identify funding opportunities and support member agencies in pursuing funding for implementation.
- *Technical Assistance*: Provide practical tools and design guidance that agencies can adapt locally.
- *Policy Support*: Help agencies understand what they can regulate, where state law applies, what current legislation is available in the county, and how to align local approaches across jurisdictions.

This presentation will cover work currently in progress across these areas, including coordination with partner agencies; technical assistance covering education, enforcement, design, speed management, and local implementation; and policy support including model ordinance language, local policy guidance, and decision-making tools for consistent e-bike policies across jurisdictions. Staff are seeking the Committee's input and feedback on the strategy's direction and priorities to help inform continued development.

EQUITY IMPACTS AND CONSIDERATIONS

The initiatives undertaken through the Countywide E-Bike Strategy will aim to reduce e-bike-related injuries and fatalities in disadvantaged and historically underserved communities across San Mateo County. By using data-driven analysis and community input to guide investment decisions, the project will help direct resources to areas with the greatest safety needs and establish performance measures to monitor outcomes and ensure that the benefits of consistent e-bike policies and design guidance are equitably distributed.

ATTACHMENTS

None.