City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County (C/CAG)

Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee (BPAC)
Meeting Minutes
October 23, 2025

1. Call to Order

Chair Swire called the meeting to order at 6:01 PM.

Name	Agency	Jan 2025	Mar 2025	May 2025	July 2025	Sep 2025	Oct 2025
Public							
Matthew Self	County of San Mateo	X				X	X
Malcolm Robinson	San Bruno		X	X			X
Alan Uy – Vice Chair	Daly City		X	X	X		
Angela Hey	Portola Valley	X		X	X	X	X
Justin Yuen	South San Francisco	X	X		X		
Mike Swire - Chair	San Mateo	X		X	X	X	X
Jean Yang	Redwood City			X*	X	X	X
Elected							
Flor Nicolas	South San Francisco		X†	X	X†	X†	X
Mary Bier	Pacifica	X	X	X		X	
Patrick Sullivan	Foster City	X	X		X	X	X
Desiree Thayer	Burlingame	X†	X	X	X	X	X
Stephen Rainaldi	Millbrae		X*	X	X	X	X†
Isabella Chu	Redwood City			X†*	X†		X†
Paul Nagengast	Half Moon Bay			X*	X		X
Greg Wright	Pacifica			X*	X	X†	X†

†Attended meeting online via Assembly Bill 2449.

*First meeting.

Noted: Member Rainaldi and Member Wright attended the meeting online via Assembly Bill 2449. Member Chu attended the meeting as a Teleconference member.

C/CAG Staff present: Audrey Shiramizu, Kaki Cheung, Jeff Lacap, Sophia Palacio, Eva Gaye (online).

Guest(s): Sue-Ellen Atkinson (San Mateo County Transportation Authority), Amy Linehan (San

Mateo County Transportation Authority), and others in attendance.

2. **Public comment on items not on the agenda**

   Public Member John Langbein noted that the County of San Mateo is working on changes for the I-280 and Alpine Road Interchange, and Alpine Road is a major cycling route on Portola Valley Loop. John commented that the existing configuration is more favorable than proposed designs. Chair Swire thanked John for his participation.

   Public Member James Cowing noted that the Redwood Shores Cycling Meetup hosted their annual event in September and had 300 cyclists through various jurisdictions. He commented that it was successful and noted the upcoming Bike to the Future event in Silicon Valley. He also noted that e-bikes are becoming a safety hazard. C/CAG Executive Director Sean Charpentier responded that an e-bike strategy and jurisdiction approach concepts are being discussed by San Mateo County. He also noted that C/CAG received $400,000 from the Safe Streets For All (SS4A) grant to begin implementing the Countywide Vision Zero Local Roadway Safety Plan (LRSP), including a White Paper focused on e-bike policy.

3. **Ratify comments for the MTC Complete Streets Checklists from the City of San Carlos and San Mateo County for State Transportation Improvement Program projects, and from SamTrans for the Countywide Transit Signal Priority – Network Optimization Working Group Program.**

   C/CAG Staff Member Audrey Shiramizu noted that due to a lack of quorum at the September meeting, the committee was unable to vote to confirm the receipt of comments for the MTC Complete Streets Checklists. Committee members were asked to review a comment summary and to submit additional comments by October 10th.

   C/CAG Executive Director noted that the comments were submitted to MTC, and C/CAG Staff Member Jeff Lacap noted that additional comments may be emailed to the project sponsors.

   Motion: Member Self motioned to ratify comments for the MTC Complete Streets Checklists from the City of San Carlos and San Mateo County for State Transportation Improvement Program projects, and from SamTrans for the Countywide Transit Signal Priority – Network Optimization Working Group Program. Member Robinson seconded the motion. All members in attendance voted to approve. The motion passed.

4. **Approval of the minutes from the July 24, 2025 and September 25, 2025 Meetings.**

   Motion: Member Robinson motioned to approve the July 24, 2025 minutes. Member Sullivan seconded the motion. All members in attendance voted to approve. The motion passed.

   Motion: Member Self motioned to approve the September 25, 2025 minutes. Member

Robinson seconded the motion. Members Nicholas and Nagengast abstained. All other members in attendance voted to approve. The motion passed.

5. **Nominations and Elections of the Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee Chairperson and Vice-Chairperson.**

   Member Rainaldi nominated Member Thayer as Chair. Member Nicholas seconded the nomination. Member Thayer accepted the nomination.

   Motion: Chair Swire motioned to approve Member Thayer as Chair. Member Nicholas seconded the motion. All in attendance voted to approve. The motion passed.

   Chair Swire nominated Chair Swire as Vice Chair. Member Robinson seconded the nomination.

   Motion: Chair Swire motioned to approve Chair Swire as Vice Chair and Chair Swire. Member Robinson seconded the motion. All in attendance voted to approve. The motion passed.

6. **Review and approval of the 2026 Committee Meeting Calendar.**

   C/CAG Staff Member Audrey Shiramizu presented the proposed 2026 Committee meeting calendar. Staff proposed keeping a similar schedule and time to the 2025 Calendar.

   Motion: Member Rainaldi motioned to approve the calendar. Member Wright seconded the motion. All members in attendance voted to approve. The motion passed.

7. **Receive a presentation from the San Mateo County Transportation Authority on the US 101 San Mateo County Crossing Improvement Plan.**

   Sue-Ellen Atkinson and Amy Linehan from the San Mateo County Transportation Authority (TA) presented on the San Mateo County Transportation Authority's US 101 San Mateo County Crossings Improvement Implementation Plan and updates since the 2024 presentation.

   Member Wright noted his strong support and appreciation for the Plan and the team's work. He asked if other municipalities could use the Plan's content and strategies to implement within their own jurisdiction's plans. Sue-Ellen noted that she is happy to help with implementation and to reach out.

   Member Robinson asked for clarification of the decision to not use the full length of El Camino Real as a North-South corridor. Sue-Ellen clarified that the Plan does run throughout the County, but it is not a direct line and focuses on connectivity across US-101.

Member Robinson asked if the TA would consider technical assistance for projects. Sue-Ellen noted that the TA provided technical assistance and any jurisdiction can apply for technical assistance and the Highway Program funding for higher cost projects.

Member Robinson noted that none of the projects cross US-101 and there is a lack of connectivity across the freeway. C/CAG Executive Director Sean Charpentier noted that the C/CAG Board just approved $12.5 million in funding of State Transportation Improvement Program funds for the Holly Street Crossing.

Member Chu asked for the definition of a bicycle facility. Sue-Ellen noted that some projects contain Class III bicycle routes while others have shared bike/vehicle facilities. Member Chu noted the data-driven approach and empiric data use for facility implementation and asked for clarification on these strategies. Sue-Ellen noted that Active 101 is looking to support what cities have already identified and is based on community-driven input.

Member Wright asked if the project will be ADA compliant. Sue-Ellen noted that ADA compliance is required for projects that touch sidewalks or curb.

Member Thayer asked for the definition of fully separated bike facilities and if that differs near transit stops. Sue-Ellen noted that she would review and return with an answer.

Chair Swire commented at the lack of US-101 crossings and asked if there is funding associated with the Plan. Sue-Ellen noted that there is no funding attributed and only technical assistance. She also noted that the TA plans to provide bonus points in an upcoming Call for Projects for priority projects in this Plan.

8. Receive an update on the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Call for Projects.

C/CAG Staff Member Audrey Shiramizu reviewed the previous committee suggestions and ideas for the upcoming Transportation Development Act Article 3 (TDA 3) Call for Projects. The update noted that there will be two separate Calls for Projects, one for TDA 3 and one for One Bay Area Grant 4 (OBAG 4). Audrey reviewed the Call for Projects schedule and noted that the Call for Projects packet will be brought to the C/CAG Board in February 2026. Audrey also noted that the TDA 3 Call for Projects will encompass three years to combine more funds for more projects, and the OBAG 4 Call for Projects will happen later in 2026.

Member Robinson asked if the applications will be online and if all members can review the applications. C/CAG Executive Director noted that all members can review applications. Audrey noted that the applications will be online.

Member Nagengast asked if there is a cap for project application funds. Audrey noted that staff is finalizing the cap amounts.

C/CAG Deputy Director Kaki Cheung clarified that there will be no changes in the TDA 3 Call for Projects application and review processes, and that the Committee will remain as the evaluation panel.

9. Member Communications

Member Self noted the lack of local and public objections for the County meeting for I-280 options.

Member Robinson noted his trip to the Eastern Alps and their advanced public transportation.

C/CAG Executive Director Sean Charpentier noted that the County is pursuing technical support to the Local Roadway and Safety Plan (LRSP) to ensure all jurisdictions are eligible to apply for Safe Streets for All funding.

Member Chu noted that Europe has many approaches that San Mateo County could adopt.

Chair Swire noted Governor Newsom's new bills signed including red light camera issues addressed and revisions to speed limit laws. He also noted that jurisdictions should collaborate on education and strategies for e-bike safety, emphasizing youth. Member Chu noted that the automobile industry spends half of their funds advertising dangerous and aggressive driving. She noted her support for Chair Swire's push for infrastructure as a solution for safety measures.

10. Adjournment

Chair Swire adjourned the meeting at 7:35 PM.